Clinical Practice Guideline: Passive Physiotherapy Modalities

2

4 5

1

Date of Implementation: July 16, 2009

Product:

Specialty

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

Related Policies:

CPG 30: Laser Therapy (LT)

CPG 135: Physical Therapy Medical Policy/Guideline

CPG 155: Occupational Therapy Medical Policy/Guideline

CPG 272: Electric Stimulation for Pain, Swelling and Function

CPG 273: Superficial Heat and Cold

CPG 274: Deep Heating Modalities (Therapeutic Ultrasound and Diathermy)

CPG 275: Mechanical Traction

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

GUIDELINES

This Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) provides a brief description of passive physiotherapy modalities that represent a diverse group of therapeutic modalities commonly used in clinical practice including, but not limited to such therapies as electrical stimulation, diathermy, therapeutic ultrasound, superficial heat and cold, and hydrotherapy. A distinguishing feature of these physiotherapy modalities is that they are passive in nature, requiring little or no participation on the part of the patient. They should be used as an adjunct to other treatments in clinical practice and only for a brief period in the initial stages of treatment.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) clinical committees have determined that the use of passive physiotherapy modalities, when appropriate, is professionally recognized and have a favorable benefit:risk profile. However, much of the literature regarding passive physiotherapeutic modality use does not provide sufficient information to establish them as clinically effective or ineffective for the management of most musculoskeletal and related conditions. There is general knowledge that passive physiotherapy modalities have specific physiologic effects such as superficial or deep heat, mechanical stimulation, or electrokinetic effects such as muscle stimulation, and that some produce activation of sensory receptors which may have an effect on pain sensation.

343536

37

38 39

40

41 42 Further, ASH clinical committees have determined that it is professionally recognized to use passive physiotherapy modalities for the treatment of an acute inflammatory response, pain, and/or muscle tightness or spasm in the acute stage and with documented significant flare-ups, as well as, when appropriate, to facilitate treatment of musculoskeletal and related conditions with manual and active therapeutic procedures. There is some evidence to support the use of specific passive physiotherapy modalities in the treatment of specific conditions; this evidence may infer effectiveness for treatment of similar conditions. See

the Electric Stimulation for Pain, Swelling and Function (CPG 272 - S), Deep Heating Modalities (CPG 274 - S); Superficial Heat and Cold (CPG 273 - S); Mechanical Traction (CPG 275 - S); and Laser Therapy (LT) (CPG 30 - S) clinical practice guidelines for further information and literature review.

ASH peer review clinical committees recommend the following guidelines for the use of passive physiotherapy modalities:

• Generally, passive physiotherapy modalities are used to manage the acute inflammatory response, pain, and/or muscle tightness or spasm in the early stages of musculoskeletal and related condition management. The use of passive physiotherapy modalities in the treatment of sub-acute or chronic conditions beyond the acute inflammatory response time frame requires documentation of the anticipated benefit and condition-specific rationale (e.g., Used along with active treatment particularly as an effective alternative for pharmacological management of chronic pain) in order to be considered medically necessary.

• The selection of a passive physiotherapy modality should be based on an understanding of the known physiologic effects of the modality, contraindications, the stage of injury and/or tissue healing, anatomical location to be treated, patient-specific complicating factors (e.g., inability for the patient to respond to modality effects due to cognitive level and ability to understand (e.g., young age, dementia), cautious use of heat in patients with sensory deprivation), and the likelihood of the therapy to enhance recovery or facilitate treatment with manual and active therapeutic procedures.

Modalities chosen to treat the patient's symptoms/conditions should be selected based on the most effective and efficient means of achieving the patient's functional goals. Seldom should a patient require more than one (1) or two (2) modalities to the same body part during the therapy session. Use of more than two (2) modalities on each visit date is unusual and should be justified in the documentation.

Use of multiple passive physiotherapy modalities with similar physiologic effects to the same region should be considered redundant and not medically necessary.

Certain physical medicine modalities are considered redundant in nature, and it would be inappropriate to perform or bill for these services to the same region during the same session. Exceptions are rare and usually involve musculoskeletal pathology/injuries in which both superficial and deep structures are impaired. Documentation must support the use of multiple modalities as contributing to the patient's progress and restoration of function. For example, it would not be medically necessary to perform both thermal ultrasound and thermal diathermy on the same area, in the same visit, as both are considered deep heat modalities.

 • The use of modalities as stand-alone treatments is rarely therapeutic, and thus not required or indicated as the sole treatment approach to a patient's condition. The use of exercise and activities has proven to be an essential part of a therapeutic

- program. Therefore, a treatment plan should not consist solely of modalities, but should also include therapeutic procedures. (There are exceptions, including wound care or when patient care is focused on modalities because the acute patient is unable to endure therapeutic procedures.) Use of only passive modalities that exceeds 4 visits should be very well supported in the documentation.
- O Prolonged reliance on passive physiotherapy modalities is not supported by the clinical literature. The risk of treatment dependency should be considered. Transition from passive physiotherapy modalities to active treatment procedures should be timely and evidenced in the medical record, including instructions on self/home care. And in most cases, active treatment should be initiated in addition to modality use at a level that is appropriate for the patient.
- When the symptoms that required the use of certain modalities begin to subside (e.g., reduction of pain, inflammation, and muscle tightness) and function improves, the medical record should reflect the discontinuation of those modalities, so as to determine the patient's ability to self-manage any residual symptoms. As the patient improves, the medical record should reflect a progression of the other procedures of the treatment program (therapeutic exercise, therapeutic activities, etc.). In all cases, the patient and/or caregiver should be taught aspects of self-management of his/her condition from the start of therapy.

DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

Current literature assessing the clinical effectiveness of passive therapeutic modalities as isolated treatment for acute, sub-acute, or chronic musculoskeletal and related conditions is often of poor methodological quality and is insufficiently homogenous to allow for pooling of results. There is a general lack of agreement in the literature regarding the effectiveness of passive modalities for musculoskeletal pain. Ongoing limitations of the current body of evidence include variability in devices, dosage, and treatment parameters. A wide variety of musculoskeletal conditions have been studied, and studies often demonstrate poor study design or methodologic flaws. There appears to be a trend toward improved study design with more double blind, randomized controlled trials using standardized outcome measures. Most of the systematic reviews in the literature conclude with a call for larger, multi-center randomized controlled trials. Therefore, clearly effective treatments are not supported at this time for the treatment of acute, sub-acute, or chronic symptoms by any isolated passive modality.

Another limitation of the current body of published evidence is the focus of the investigation. Most studies are attempting to determine if the modality, by itself or in combination with other therapeutic interventions, changes the short or long-term outcome of the condition. This is an important question to study. However, many of the passive modalities are utilized by healthcare practitioners as a means of transient management of pain and other signs of acute inflammation in order to facilitate other interventions of demonstrated effectiveness such as manipulation, mobilization, exercise, and a return to

normal activity. It is possible to find that a modality does not change the eventual outcome but affords a window of opportunity for a practitioner or patient to perform activities that would otherwise be limited by pain, spasm, or fear-avoidance behavior.

Although there are precautions and contraindications associated with any modality and some harms were reported, the literature precludes reliable and valid estimates of the risks of major and minor harm associated with these modalities and the treatments included in the research studies reviewed are relatively benign. The majority of studies do not report side effects or injuries. Further, because the literature implies both the risks and benefits among treatment options are similar, it is reasonable that patient/practitioner preference should be an important guide in choice of treatment.

When determining the appropriate course of treatment for an individual patient, the practitioner must consider contraindications, the physiologic effects of the modality, the likelihood of the modality to enhance recovery or facilitate treatment with manual therapies, and timely transition from passive to active treatment.

Overall, the scientific literature addressing physical modalities for neck, back, and extremity pain conditions suffers from many of the same limitations observed in the literature of other non-invasive interventions. Much of the literature is still of relatively low methodological quality, and the substantial heterogeneity among studies makes pooling of results extremely difficult. Firm conclusions regarding the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of many of the physical modalities for neck, back, and extremity pain conditions remain difficult. The emergence of more methodologically sound randomized clinical trials could change what is now known.

Most literature on low back, neck and extremity pain conditions has recommended that patients be encouraged to remain as active as possible and avoid immobilization or complete rest/inactivity (Guzman et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2016; Qaseem et al., 2017; McDonagh et al., 2020; Chou et al., 2020; Skelly et al., 2020; Tick et al., 2018; Knezevic et al., 2021; Mertens et al., 2022; French et al., 2022). A distinguishing feature of physical modalities is that they are passive in nature.

PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING

Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their education, training and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

3 4 5

6

1

2

Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals, 2020).

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as appropriate. See policy *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* for information.

16 17 18

19

References

Andersson GB. Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. Lancet. 1999;354(9178):581-585. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)01312-4

202122

23

Bellew JW. Michlovitz SL, Nolan Jr TP. Michlovitz's Modalities for Therapeutic Intervention. 6th edition. F.A. Davis;2016

2425

Bergman S. Management of musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2007;21(1):153-166.

2627

Bogduk N. Management of chronic low back pain. Med J Aust. 2004;180(2):79-83.

28 29 30

Cameron MH. Physical Agents in Rehabilitation: From Research to Practice. (5th ed.). Elsevier – Health Sciences Division; 2017.

313233

Carey TS, Freburger JK, Holmes GM, et al. A long way to go: practice patterns and evidence in chronic low back pain care. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009;34(7):718-724.

343536

37

38 39

40

41

42

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Outpatient Physical and Occupational Therapy Services (L33631). Retrieved on April 18, 2023 from https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20oc cupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AA AAAQAAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact

Page 5 of 9

1 Chamberlain MA, Care G, Harfield B. Physiotherapy in osteoarthrosis of the knees. A 2 controlled trial of hospital versus home exercises. Int Rehabil Med. 1982;4(2):101-106. 3 doi:10.3109/09638288209166889

4 5

6

7

8

9

Chou R, Huffman LH; American Pain Society; American College of Physicians. Nonpharmacologic therapies for acute and chronic low back pain: a review of the evidence for an American Pain Society/American College of Physicians clinical practice guideline [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med. 2008 Feb 5;148(3):247-8]. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147(7):492-504. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-00007

10 11 12

13

14

15

Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med. 2008 Feb 5;148(3):247-8]. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147(7):478-491. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-00006

16 17 18

Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Low Back Pain. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); February 2016.

19 20 21

Chou R, Hartung D, Turner J, et al. Opioid Treatments for Chronic Pain. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2020.

222324

25

Croft PR, Macfarlane GJ, Papageorgiou AC, Thomas E, Silman AJ. Outcome of low back pain in general practice: a prospective study. BMJ. 1998;316(7141):1356-1359. doi:10.1136/bmj.316.7141.1356

262728

29

30

French HP, Abbott JH, Galvin R. Adjunctive therapies in addition to land-based exercise therapy for osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2022;10(10):CD011915. Published 2022 Oct 17. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011915.pub2

313233

34

Graham N, Gross AR, Carlesso LC, et al. An ICON Overview on Physical Modalities for Neck Pain and Associated Disorders. Open Orthop J. 2013;7:440-460. Published 2013 Sep 20. doi:10.2174/1874325001307010440

353637

38 39

40

Guzman J, Haldeman S, Carroll LJ, et al. Clinical practice implications of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders: from concepts and findings to recommendations. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008;33(4 Suppl):S199-S213. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181644641

Haldeman S, Carroll L, Cassidy JD, Schubert J, Nygren A; Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders: executive summary. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008;33(4 Suppl):S5-S7. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181643f40

6 7

8

Haldeman S, Dagenais S. What have we learned about the evidence-informed management of chronic low back pain? Spine J. 2008;8(1):266-277. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2007.10.026

9 10 11

Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Engberg M, Lauritzen T, Bruun NH, Manniche C. The course of low back pain in a general population. Results from a 5-year prospective study. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2003;26(4):213-219. doi:10.1016/s0161-4754(03)00006-x

13 14

12

Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Manniche C. Low back pain: what is the long-term course?
A review of studies of general patient populations. Eur Spine J. 2003;12(2):149-165.
doi:10.1007/s00586-002-0508-5

18

Huang MH, Yang RC, Lee CL, Chen TW, Wang MC. Preliminary results of integrated therapy for patients with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;53(6):812-820. doi:10.1002/art.21590

2223

24

25

Hurwitz EL, Carragee EJ, van der Velde G, et al. Treatment of neck pain: noninvasive interventions: results of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2009;32(2 Suppl):S141-S175. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2008.11.017

262728

Jamtvedt G, Dahm KT, Christie A, et al. Physical therapy interventions for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: an overview of systematic reviews. Phys Ther. 2008;88(1):123-136. doi:10.2522/ptj.20070043

30 31 32

29

Joint Commission International. Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources;2020.

3334

Knezevic NN, Candido KD, Vlaeyen JWS, Van Zundert J, Cohen SP. Low back pain. Lancet. 2021;398(10294):78-92.

37

McBeth J, Jones K. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2007;21(3):403-425. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2007.03.003 McDonagh MS, Selph SS, Buckley DI, et al. Nonopioid Pharmacologic Treatments for Chronic Pain. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2020.

4 5

Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. Science. 1965;150(3699):971-979. doi:10.1126/science.150.3699.971

6 7 8

9

10

Mercy Center Consensus Conference, (1992: Burlingame, California). Haldeman, S. (Ed.), Chapman-Smith, D. (Ed.), & Peterson, D. M (Ed.). Guidelines for chiropractic quality assurance and practice parameters: Proceeding of the Mercy Center Consensus Conference. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publications

11 12

Mertens MG, Meert L, Struyf F, Schwank A, Meeus M. Exercise Therapy Is Effective for Improvement in Range of Motion, Function, and Pain in Patients With Frozen Shoulder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2022;103(5):998-1012.e14. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2021.07.806

17

Michener LA, Walsworth MK, Burnet EN. Effectiveness of rehabilitation for patients with subacromial impingement syndrome: a systematic review. J Hand Ther. 2004;17(2):152-164. doi:10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.004

21 22

23

O'Connor D, Marshall S, Massy-Westropp N. Non-surgical treatment (other than steroid injection) for carpal tunnel syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;2003(1):CD003219. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003219

242526

Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on selected rehabilitation interventions for knee pain. Phys Ther. 2001;81(10):1675-1700.

272829

Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on selected rehabilitation interventions for shoulder pain. Phys Ther. 2001;81(10):1719-1730.

313233

30

Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on selected rehabilitation interventions for low back pain. Phys Ther. 2001;81(10):1641-1674.

353637

38 39

34

Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166(7):514-530. doi:10.7326/M16-2367

1	Riddle DL, Schappert SM. Volume and characteristics of inpatient and ambulatory medical
2 3	care for neck pain in the United States: data from three national surveys. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2007;32(1):132-141. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000250999.69978.24
4	1 u 1970). 2007,32(1).132 141. uoi.10.1097/01.013.0000230999.09970.24
5	Skelly AC, Chou R, Dettori JR, et al. Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for
6 7	Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2020.
8	Research and Quanty (OB), April 2020.
9 10	Tan JC. Practical Manual of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. In. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 1998.
11	1110505, 1990.
12	Tick H, Nielsen A, Pelletier KR, et al. Evidence-Based Nonpharmacologic Strategies for
13	Comprehensive Pain Care: The Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper. Explore
14 15	(NY). 2018;14(3):177-211. doi:10.1016/j.explore.2018.02.001
16	Trudel D, Duley J, Zastrow I, Kerr EW, Davidson R, MacDermid JC. Rehabilitation for
17	patients with lateral epicondylitis: a systematic review. J Hand Ther. 2004;17(2):243-
18 19	266. doi:10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.011
20	Tüzün EH. Quality of life in chronic musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.
21	2007;21(3):567-579. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2007.03.001
22	W-11-11 C Th - 1:-: -1
2324	Waddell G. The clinical course of low back pain. In: The Back Pain Revolution. Edinburgh, U.K.: Churchill Livingstone, 1998:103-17.
25	O.K.: Churchin Livingstone, 1998.103-17.
26	Walsh DM. TENS: Clinical Applications and Related Theory. In. New York: Churchill
27	Livingston; 1997.
28	
29	Walsh NE, Brooks P, Hazes JM, et al. Standards of care for acute and chronic
30	musculoskeletal pain: the Bone and Joint Decade (2000-2010). Arch Phys Med
31	Rehabil. 2008;89(9):1830-1845. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2008.04.009
32	

Whitman JM, Flynn TW, Childs JD, et al. A comparison between two physical therapy

Pa

treatment programs for patients with lumbar spinal stenosis: a randomized clinical

1976).

2006;31(22):2541-2549.

trial. Spine

(Phila

doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000241136.98159.8c

33

34

35

36