

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline: Strapping and Taping**
 2
 3 **Date of Implementation: April 19, 2012**
 4
 5 **Product: Specialty**
 6

7 **Table of Contents**

8 GUIDELINES 2
 9 Medically Necessary 2
 10 Not Medically Necessary..... 2
 11 Unproven 3
 12 DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND 3
 13 Strapping 3
 14 Elastic Therapeutic Taping (e.g., Kinesio™ tape, Spidertech™ tape) 4
 15 Rigid Therapeutic Taping (i.e., McConnell Taping) 6
 16 DOCUMENTATION GUIDELINES 7
 17 EVIDENCE REVIEW..... 7
 18 Strapping 7
 19 Strapping of the Hand, Finger, or Toes 7
 20 Strapping/Taping of the Foot or Ankle..... 9
 21 Strapping of the Thorax14
 22 Strapping for Other Conditions14
 23 Strapping of Shoulder14
 24 Strapping of Elbow or Wrist.....15
 25 Strapping of Hip15
 26 Strapping of Knee.....15
 27 Strapping of Back15
 28 Elastic Therapeutic Taping15
 29 Rehabilitation of Orthopedic Conditions15
 30 Rehabilitation for Neurologic Conditions32
 31 Performance and Function.....34
 32 Miscellaneous37
 33 Rigid Therapeutic Taping.....38
 34 Orthopedic Conditions.....38
 35 Neurologic Conditions.....46

1 CODING/BILLING INFORMATION.....47
 2 Strapping of Hand or Finger47
 3 Strapping of Ankle or Foot.....47
 4 Strapping of Toes48
 5 Considered Not Medically Necessary48
 6 PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING48
 7 References49

8
 9

GUIDELINES

10
 11

Medically Necessary

American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers strapping medically necessary for the management of immobilization of a joint and restriction of movement with strapping tape (i.e., rigid, non-elastic or non-stretchy tape) for **ANY** of the following indications:

- 15 • Strapping of hand or finger (Current Procedural Terminology [CPT®] code 29280):
 - 16 ○ Fracture of finger
 - 17 ○ Dislocation of finger
- 18 • Strapping/taping of ankle or foot (CPT® code 29540) for:
 - 19 ○ Acute sprains and strains of ankle and foot
 - 20 ○ Dislocations of ankle and foot
 - 21 ○ Fractures of ankle and foot
 - 22 ○ Tendinitis and synovitis of ankle and foot
 - 23 ○ Plantar fasciitis
 - 24 ○ Tarsal tunnel syndrome
- 25 • Strapping of toes (CPT® code 29550) for:
 - 26 ○ Fracture of toes
 - 27 ○ Dislocation of toes
 - 28 ○ Sprains and strains of toes
 - 29 ○ Hallux valgus
 - 30 ○ Hammer toe

31
 32

Not Medically Necessary

Strapping is considered not medically necessary for the following body parts and for any other indications:

- 35 • Shoulder (CPT® code 29240)
- 36 • Chest or thorax (CPT® code 29200)
- 37 • Hip (CPT® code 29520)
- 38 • Elbow or wrist (CPT® code 29260)
- 39 • Knee (CPT® code 29530)
- 40 • Back (CPT® code: 29799)

Unproven

Elastic therapeutic taping (i.e., Kinesio Taping®) or rigid therapeutic taping (i.e., McConnell) is considered unproven for **ANY** indication including but not limited to:

- Back pain
- Radicular pain syndromes
- Other back-related conditions
- Lower extremity spasticity
- Meralgia paresthetica
- Post-operative subacromial decompression
- Wrist injury
- Performance enhancement
- Prevention of ankle sprains.

DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**Strapping**

Strapping is used when the desired effect is to provide immobilization or restriction of movement. Strapping refers to the application of overlapping strips of tape or adhesive plaster to a body part to exert pressure on it and serve as a splint to hold a structure in place and reduce motion. There are many types of tape used for strapping purpose, but in general the tape used for strapping is a rigid, non-elastic or non-stretchy tape. In general, strapping may be used to treat strains, sprains, dislocations, and some fractures. The purpose of strapping is to stabilize or protect a fracture, injury, or dislocation and/or to afford comfort to a patient without a restorative treatment or procedure. Strapping limits ROM and/or restricts muscle movement. Strapping is used for acute injuries or as a result of disease or surgery. The goals and outcomes are stabilization of the injured area, reduced pain, aid recovery, and to provide support so the area heals in the correct position. Strapping services are usually provided outside a therapy plan of care. At times, the term taping is used interchangeably with strapping. However, taping that is not used to provide immobilization or restriction of movement or is used as part of a therapy program is not considered strapping. If the purpose of the taping is to immobilize a joint, then the strapping codes are appropriate as these codes describe the use of a strap or other reinforced material applied post-fracture (or other injury) to immobilize the joint. Strapping materials are rigid and non-elastic. They are usually highly adhesive. Often pre-wrap is required prior to application. Premade splints are not strapping materials.

Strapping is not synonymous with therapeutic taping when considering methods such as McConnell taping or elastic therapeutic taping (e.g., Kinesio® tape, Spidertech tape). These types of taping are used in conjunction with provision of skilled therapeutic exercises, functional training, gait training, manual therapy, or neuromuscular re-education (NMR) techniques and would be considered part of the exercise or NMR or other procedure. Indications include orthopedic and neurologic conditions. Proposed benefits

1 include but are not limited to improved feedback and timing of muscle activation, reduced
2 pain, reduced swelling, and improved circulation.

3
4 Strapping can be performed as an initial treatment or as a replacement service during or
5 after follow-up care. Strapping may also refer to taping for prevention of injury or re-injury
6 to support a joint with ligamentous instability. An adhesive white athletic tape is used that
7 is stiff in nature and not elastic. As an example, the proposed mechanism of
8 strapping/taping of the ankle joint is to limit physiological range of motion (ROM) and
9 control talar tilt. It is also suggested that adhesive strapping/taping can act as a secondary
10 ligament based on tape alignment and application in a way that prevents extremes of
11 motion. This is also similar to low dye taping for plantar fasciitis. Low dye taping assists
12 the soft tissues in support of the longitudinal arch of the foot to reduce stress on the plantar
13 fascia. The combination of the body tissues and strapping/taping improves the capacity to
14 dissipate the energy associated with potentially traumatic forces. It is also believed that the
15 strapping/taping stimulates the skin receptors which facilitates muscle contraction.

16
17 **Elastic Therapeutic Taping (e.g., Kinesio® tape, Spidertech® tape)**

18 Elastic therapeutic tape differs from traditional white athletic tape in the sense that it is
19 elastic and can be stretched to 140% of its original length before being applied to the skin.
20 It is theorized that it provides a constant pulling (shear) force to the skin over which it is
21 applied unlike traditional white athletic tape. The fabric of this specialized tape is air
22 permeable and water resistant and can be worn for repetitive days (Halseth et al., 2004).
23 This specialized taping, also referred to as Kinesio Taping® (KT), is utilized as part of a
24 rehabilitation program, and is not used for acute injury or to immobilize a body part. This
25 type of taping is generally provided in therapy by chiropractors, physical therapists, and
26 occupational therapists. The application of the tape is included in the time spent in direct
27 contact with the patient to provide either re-education of a muscle and movement, or to
28 stabilize one body area to enable improved strength or ROM. The application of tape may
29 be performed in combination with education of the patient on various functional movement
30 patterns and with therapeutic exercise, gait training, neurological re-education, and manual
31 therapy in the treatment of orthopedic, neuromuscular, or neurological conditions.
32 Generally, the tape will be left in place after instruction related to movements. Taping
33 provided during a therapy program should be included in the therapeutic modality that is
34 being provided and should not be billed separately.

35
36 The tape is available in various lengths or pre-cut. There are several types of elastic
37 therapeutic tape available including:

- 38 • Kinesio® tape (Kinesio Taping, LLC., Albuquerque, NM)
- 39 • SpiderTech® tape (SpiderTech Inc., Toronto, Ontario)
- 40 • KT Tape®/KT Tape Pro® (KT Health, LLC., American Fork, UT)

1 Use of elastic therapeutic taping purportedly acts to prolong the benefits of manual therapy
 2 administered in the clinical setting. A second technique is used to lift the skin over an area
 3 of inflammation, thereby increasing the interstitial space, promoting circulation and
 4 lymphatic drainage in an effort to reduce swelling, pressure and pain. It is generally related
 5 to the following diagnoses:

- 6 • Bruising
- 7 • Edema and swelling
- 8 • Repetitive strains/sprains
- 9 • Pain due to arthritis
- 10 • Trauma or chronic pain syndrome
- 11 • Rotator cuff injuries
- 12 • Plantar fasciitis
- 13 • Weakness resulting in postural and biomechanical imbalances
- 14 • Restricted range of motion and joints not tracking properly

15
 16 The expected benefits of treatment include:

- 17 • Improved feedback and timing of muscle activation in controlling joint stability
 18 during functional exercises
- 19 • Stimulation of optimal muscle activation and strength
- 20 • Lessened irritation of subcutaneous neural pain receptors
- 21 • Reduced swelling, improved circulation
- 22 • Enhanced functional stability and mobility
- 23 • Support of weakened and strained muscles

24
 25 Elastic tape is applied in a specific manner relying on the origin and insertion of the muscle.
 26 Per course education, it can be applied in different directions, and with differing amounts
 27 of stretch; which (hypothetically) determines its ability to re-educate the neuromuscular
 28 system, reduce inflammation and pain, promote circulation and healing, prevent injury, and
 29 enhance performance. It should always be used in conjunction with other treatment
 30 interventions during the acute rehabilitation and chronic phase of treatment. The wear time
 31 is 3-4 days according to KT course education.

32
 33 As mentioned previously, elastic therapeutic tape is used while providing skilled
 34 therapeutic exercises, manual therapy, or NMR techniques in the treatment of sports
 35 injuries and a variety of other disorders. Dr. Kenso Kase, a chiropractor, developed Kinesio
 36 Taping® (KT) techniques in the 1970s. It is claimed that elastic therapeutic tape supports
 37 injured muscles and joints and helps relieve pain by lifting the skin and allowing improved
 38 blood and lymph flow. Opening up this area is also thought to relieve pressure on nerve
 39 endings that send pain messages to the brain. Additionally, the tape is thought to stretch
 40 the fascial tissue for extended periods of time which is claimed to be beneficial; this is
 41 thought to also reduce muscle spasms. Elastic therapeutic tape users also propose that with

1 muscle application, which is common in athletic settings, application of tape for a line of
 2 pull from origin to insertion will enhance or facilitate muscle activity and taping from
 3 insertion to origin will inhibit or relax muscle based on Golgi tendon organ (GTO) actions.
 4 From a proprioceptive standpoint, it is theorized that placing it over a tendon or ligament
 5 will amplify signals to the brain regarding the amount of tension over that particular area.
 6 In this way, it stimulates the GTO and helps the brain perceive and react to the support.
 7 Other stated proposed uses of the tape are for functional corrections. The tape would be
 8 applied to muscles and joints that are flexed and the tape is then used to ‘preload’ or assist
 9 the joint through its ROM. Proponents postulate that in this shortened position more
 10 information is passed through the neural network and muscle contractions are supported or
 11 assisted. Currently, these are all theoretical in nature.

12 **Rigid Therapeutic Taping (i.e., McConnell Taping)**

13 Rigid taping methods to illicit positional changes include McConnell taping, which uses
 14 Leukotape applied over Cover-roll tape to change joint mechanics through positional
 15 changes of boney and/or soft tissue structures as part of a comprehensive rehabilitation
 16 program. Jenny McConnell has pioneered its use. McConnell taping began with the
 17 patellofemoral joint and is now being utilized for other joints in the body, such as the hip
 18 and shoulder joints. For the patellofemoral joint, the physical correction of malalignment
 19 is just one reason why patella taping is thought to be effective for Patellofemoral Pain
 20 Syndrome (PFPS). As the patella is more correctly positioned within the trochlear groove,
 21 tracking during flexion and extension of the knee is normalized. Theoretically, with this
 22 repositioning, the vastus medialis oblique (VMO) function may also be enhanced. Similar
 23 principles exist for the other joints with regard to correcting position of the head of the
 24 humerus and scapula. Taping for the hip joint, with its surrounding soft tissue thickness,
 25 primarily focuses on muscle length changes. The neuromuscular reeducation CPT code is
 26 used with this type of rigid taping. Additionally, this form of taping is not used for
 27 immobilization of joints (e.g., wrist, hand, elbow, ankle, and knee due to severe
 28 sprain/strain or in some cases, fracture) and does not use overlapping straps.

29
 30
 31 The following uses of therapeutic taping are professionally recognized and safe; however,
 32 additional studies are needed before the clinical effectiveness can be established. Use of
 33 elastic or rigid taping techniques as part of comprehensive treatment program may be
 34 clinically appropriate for the following:

- 35 • Rigid therapeutic taping for pain reduction in patellofemoral pain syndrome
- 36 • Rigid therapeutic taping of the shoulder in patients with hemiplegia

37
 38 The use of rigid taping or elastic taping for rehabilitation of orthopedic or neurologic
 39 conditions is not intended as a sole treatment or as a separately billable procedure, but
 40 rather is part of a broad treatment program that includes exercise, manual therapy and/or
 41 neuromuscular re-education (NMR) and is inclusive in these procedures. Strapping codes
 42 are not allowed for application of therapeutic taping.

1 DOCUMENTATION GUIDELINES

2 “Medically necessary” or “medical necessity” shall mean health care services that a
3 healthcare practitioner/provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a
4 patient for the purpose of evaluating, diagnosing, or treating an illness, injury, disease or
5 its symptoms, and that are (a) in accordance with generally accepted standards of medical
6 practice; (b) clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site, and duration;
7 and considered effective for the patient’s illness, injury, or disease; and (c) not primarily
8 for the convenience of the patient or healthcare provider, and not more costly than an
9 alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent
10 therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient’s illness,
11 injury, or disease. The patient’s medical records should document the clinical rationale for
12 performing the specific strapping or taping procedures, as well as the patient’s response.

13
14 Any time taping is done; the health care record must clearly document the specific reasons
15 for, and location of, the taping. If the service that includes the taping is billed to a payor,
16 the taping must be consistent with the documented chief complaint / clinical examination
17 findings, diagnosis, and treatment plan. The assessment will support the medical necessity
18 and is often established through the history and objective evaluation. After medical
19 necessity is established, a treatment plan with goals and objective measures, including time
20 frames, is documented.

21
22 According to the AMA CPT Assistant, if Kinesio Taping® is performed to facilitate
23 movement by providing support, and the tape is applied specifically to enable less painful
24 use of the joint and greater function, (restricting in some movement, facilitating in others),
25 application of the tape in this manner is typically part of neuromuscular re-education
26 (97112) or therapeutic exercises (97110), depending on the intent and the outcome desired.
27 In these cases, the application of the tape would be included in the time spent in direct
28 contact with the patient and would not be appropriately billed using strapping codes.

30 EVIDENCE REVIEW

31 Strapping

32 *Strapping of the Hand, Finger, or Toes*

33 Injuries of the fingers or the toes, such as certain fractures, sprains, strains, or dislocations
34 are common injuries in the United States (U.S.). Treatment frequently includes protected
35 mobilization and treatment of presenting symptoms such as pain and swelling. Both
36 immobilization and protected mobilization support soft tissue healing while protecting
37 against further injury. With protected mobilization some movement is allowed so that
38 stiffness can be prevented, and range of motion (ROM) maintained to some degree.
39 Strapping, in the form of buddy, neighbor, or functional taping, is one method of providing
40 protected mobilization (Basset et al., 2016; Joshi et al., 2016; Boutis, 2016). With this
41 method, the healthy digit acts as a splint, keeping the injured one in a natural position for
42 healing. It is a known method for treating sprains, dislocations, and other injuries of fingers

1 or toes and is considered a standard of care (Won et al., 2014). Buddy taping is a standard
2 intervention for the treatment of both non-displaced fractures and displaced fractures
3 following reduction (Hatch, 2003; Jones, 2012; Nellans, 2013). Buddy taping of the
4 fractured toe to an adjacent stable toe usually provides satisfactory alignment and relief of
5 symptoms (Wells et al., 2016)

6
7 Multiple studies support that the use of strapping for achieving results similar or better than
8 splinting or other forms of immobilization (Braakman, 1998; Chalmer, 2013; Park, 2015;
9 Paschos, 2014; Poolman, 2005; van Aaken, 2007). Conservative or non-surgical treatment
10 generally involves fracture reduction, where the bone fragments are put back into place,
11 followed by immobilization by various means (e.g., plaster cast, splint, brace or strapping
12 of adjacent fingers). Although the published evidence is not strong, a Cochrane review
13 compared functional treatment with immobilization, and to compare different periods and
14 types of immobilizations including functional taping, for the treatment of closed fifth
15 metacarpal neck fractures in adults did note that no single non-operative treatment regimen
16 for this fracture can be recommended as superior to another. The review did note that
17 recovery was generally excellent whichever method of treatment was used (Poolman et al.,
18 2009). Based on textbooks and published evidence strapping of fingers and toes for
19 fractures, dislocations, sprains, and strains is considered medically necessary and standard
20 of care.

21
22 In addition to injuries, strapping is commonly used as an alternative or adjunctive
23 postoperative treatment to surgery for deformities. For example, strapping may be used to
24 facilitate realignment in minor nonsurgical cases of hammertoe or hallux valgus, or to
25 maintain correct position during postoperative healing. American College of Foot and
26 Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS) published a clinical consensus statement for digital deformities
27 (hammer toe). Initial treatment options include padding, debridement of hyperkeratoci
28 lesions, corticosteroid injections, taping and footwear changes (Clinical Practice Guideline
29 Forefoot Disorders Panel et al., 2009). Hallux valgus is the lateral deviation of the great
30 toe towards the midline of the foot. It is usually accompanied by a bunion, which is the
31 inflammation and thickening of the first metatarsal joint of the great toe. The terms bunion
32 and hallux valgus are often used interchangeably. The medial eminence, or bunion, is often
33 the most visible component of a hallux valgus deformity. Nonsurgical care is considered
34 the first option for a patient with this deformity and is typically attempted prior to
35 considering surgical intervention. Initial treatment is often self-directed and may include
36 wider, lower-heeled shoes, bunion pads, ice, over-the-counter analgesics, and non-steroidal
37 anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs). Metatarsal pads, foot orthoses or taping of the
38 hallux may be utilized. Local anesthetic and steroid injection into the first
39 metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint may provide short-term pain relief but is not considered
40 to be curative (Frontera et al., 2014; Hecht et al., 2014; Canale et al., 2013).

1 Hammer toe is the term often used to denote any toe with a dorsal contracture. While
 2 hammer toe is the most common of the lesser toe deformities (i.e., toes 2–5), it is one of
 3 several conditions that are included in this group. A hammer toe deformity, which is a
 4 flexion contracture of the proximal interphalangeal joint, may also include an extensor
 5 contracture of the metatarsophalangeal joint. The deformity may be either fixed and rigid
 6 or flexible in which case it is passively correctable to the neutral position. This is the most
 7 common of the lesser toe deformities. A hallux valgus deformity can be a factor in
 8 development of hammer toe by placing pressure on the second toe. A claw toe is an
 9 extension contracture of the metatarsophalangeal joint and flexion contracture of the
 10 proximal interphalangeal joint, with additional flexion contraction of the distal
 11 interphalangeal joint. This condition is frequently caused by neuromuscular diseases and
 12 is often present in all toes. A mallet toe is a single flexion contraction at the distal
 13 interphalangeal joint, with pressure being placed on the tip of the toe. This deformity occurs
 14 less frequently than a hammer toe deformity. A fixed hammer toe deformity of the fifth toe
 15 can include a cock-up deformity, which includes dorsiflexion of the metatarsophalangeal
 16 joint and flexion of the interphalangeal and distal interphalangeal joint. Initial treatment is
 17 conservative in nature, often self-directed and may include wider, lower-heeled shoes;
 18 bunion pads; ice; over-the-counter analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory
 19 medications (NSAIDs). Conservative treatment may also include debridement, padding,
 20 anti-inflammatory injections, steroid injections, and foot orthoses (Frontera et al., 2014;
 21 Canale et al., 2013).

22
 23 American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS) published a clinical consensus
 24 statement for digital deformities (hammer toe). Initial treatment options include padding,
 25 debridement of hyperkeratoci lesions, corticosteroid injections, taping and footwear
 26 changes (Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel et al., 2009d). Based on
 27 medical textbooks strapping of toes may be used for fractures, dislocation, sprains, strains,
 28 hallux valgus, and hammer toe deformities.

29 30 ***Strapping/Taping of the Foot or Ankle***

31 Strapping of ankle and/or foot may be used in treatment of acute severe strains and sprains
 32 of the ankle. Sprains range in severity from mild stretching of ligamentous fibers (first
 33 degree) to a tear of some portion of the ligament (second degree) to complete ligamentous
 34 separation (third degree), sometimes with avulsion of small bony fragments. Sprain usually
 35 occurs when excessive inversion or eversion stress is applied to the ankle while it is in the
 36 relatively unstable plantar-flexed position. Rest, ice, compression, and elevation (RICE)
 37 therapy is often recommended for the first 24 to 48 hours following injury. Additional
 38 treatment options range from complete immobilization with casting to no supportive
 39 devices. Functional treatment or partial immobilization with strapping allows for some
 40 movement to maintain ROM while providing some support. Taping/strapping of the ankle
 41 may be used in treatment of ankle sprains. The purpose of taping the ankle is to prevent
 42 further stretching of the injured ligaments until healing has occurred (Chiodo et al., 2009;

1 Canale et al., 2013). During functional rehabilitation, it may be of benefit to use splints,
2 braces, elastic bandages, or taping to try to reduce instability, protect the ankle from further
3 injury, and to limit swelling (Maughan, 2015). The 2013 American Physical Therapy
4 Association (APTA) Clinical Practice Guidelines on Ankle Ligament Sprains recommends
5 individuals use some type of external support, including strapping/taping, in the acute
6 phase along with progressive weight-bearing. In the 2021 APTA Clinical Practice
7 Guideline on Lateral Ankle Ligament Sprains, taping or bracing is recommended for acute
8 and subacute phases of care to provide external support, in addition to progressive weight
9 bearing. The type of support should be based upon the severity of the injury. There is some
10 debate regarding the best treatment for ankle injuries, however strapping/taping remains a
11 standard of care as a functional treatment option. Functional treatment allows individuals
12 to ambulate and quickly regain function and restore flexibility and strength as compared to
13 complete immobilization with casting (Ardèvol, 2002; Kannus, 1991; Seah, 2010;
14 Sommer, 1989).

15
16 Seah and Mani-Babu (2011) presented a systematic review of the management of ankle
17 sprains. Findings suggest that for mild to moderate ankle sprains, treatment options such
18 as elastic bandaging, soft casting, or taping or orthoses with coordination training were
19 found to be statistically significantly better than immobilization for many outcome
20 measures. For severe ankle sprains, a short period of immobilization with a pneumatic
21 brace resulted in quicker recovery than with a compression bandage alone. Lace up braces
22 were found to be more effective than elastic bandaging and help to reduce swelling in the
23 short term better than when using a semi-rigid support, elastic bandaging, and tape.
24 Lardenoye et al. (2012) studied the effect of taping vs. semi-rigid bracing (such as an
25 Aircast) on outcomes and satisfaction in patients with ankle sprains. One hundred patients
26 identified via the emergency room with grade II and III ankle sprains were randomized into
27 two groups. Prior to randomization, patients received standard ER care of rest, ice,
28 compression, and elevation. After 5-7 days from the ER visit, for 4 weeks one group
29 received ankle taping for support (standard overlapping strips, basket weave) and the other
30 group received a semi-rigid ankle brace. Both groups also received standardized physical
31 and proprioceptive training. Patients reported significantly greater comfort and satisfaction
32 with the semi-rigid brace over taping. Functional outcomes and pain were similar between
33 groups. Kaminski et al. in coordination with the National Athletic Trainers' Association
34 (2013) created a position statement on the conservative management of prevention of ankle
35 sprains in athletes. The purpose of the position statement was to present recommendations
36 for athletic trainers and other allied health care professionals to manage and/or prevent
37 ankle sprains. Considerations for appropriate preventive measures (including taping and
38 bracing), initial assessment, long and short-term management strategies, return to play
39 guidelines, recommendations for syndesmotic ankle sprains and chronic ankle instability.
40 Recommendations included those athletes with a history of previous ankle sprains should
41 wear prophylactic ankle supports in the form of ankle taping or bracing for all practices
42 and games. Both lace-up and semi-rigid ankle braces and traditional ankle taping are

1 effective in reducing the rate of recurrent ankle sprains in athletes (Grade B evidence).
2 Clinical practice guidelines from the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) for
3 ankle ligament sprain includes taping/strapping as a method of providing external support
4 (Martin et al., 2013). (Level II: Evidence obtained from lesser-quality diagnostic studies,
5 prospective studies, or randomized controlled trials (e.g., weaker diagnostic criteria and
6 reference standards, improper randomization, no blinding, less than 80% follow-up). Based
7 on clinical practice guidelines and medical textbooks strapping of the foot and ankle is
8 considered a standard of care and medically necessary for acute severe strains and sprains
9 of the ankle, fracture of foot and ankle, dislocations of ankle and foot.

10
11 Due to the ability of strapping to temporarily support and restrict movement, it may be used
12 for other types of foot or ankle injuries such as plantar fasciitis or tendinitis, or post-
13 operatively. Plantar fasciitis describes the local inflammation and subsequent pain
14 occurring at the insertion at the heel or along the course of the fascial band as it connects
15 the heel to the toe (Ferri, 2015). Plantar fasciitis is a common cause of heel pain in adults.
16 Symptoms usually start gradually with mild pain at the heel, pain after exercise and pain
17 withstanding first thing in the morning. Conservative treatment may provide relief from
18 the pain. Conservative treatment may include tape support of the affected plantar surface,
19 a technique referred to as low-Dye taping (Buchbinder, 2016; Goff et al., 2011). Four strips
20 of tape are applied in a specific fashion to provide support. Podolsky et al. (2015) reported
21 on a systematic review regarding the efficacy of different taping techniques in relieving
22 symptoms and dysfunction caused by plantar fasciitis. Five randomized control trials, one
23 cross-over study and two single group repeated measures studies met the inclusion criteria.
24 Two studies were high quality; two were moderate quality and four were of poor
25 methodological quality. All eight studies favored the use of different taping techniques,
26 with the most common technique being low dye taping. The author noted that all studies
27 investigated the short-term effect of taping, with the longest follow-up of only one week.
28 The study noted that additional studies are essential in order to investigate the long-term
29 effect of taping. Low dye taping and calcaneal taping were found to have the best evidence
30 in this review. The results suggest that taping is a beneficial technique for plantar fasciitis
31 in short-term treatment.

32
33 Van de Water et al. (2010) reported on a systematic review that assessed efficacy of a
34 taping construction as an intervention or as part of an intervention in patients with plantar
35 fasciosis (plantar fasciitis) on pain and disability. The review included five controlled trials
36 with three trials found to have high methodological quality and had clinical relevance. The
37 findings indicated strong evidence of pain improvement at one-week follow-up,
38 inconclusive results for change in level of disability in the short term, and that the addition
39 of taping on stretching exercises has a surplus value. Landorf et al. (2008) reported on a
40 systematic review of treatments of plantar fasciitis. The review found based on two
41 randomized controlled studies that for pain relief compared with no taping/no treatment
42 Low-dye taping is more effective than no taping at one week at reducing first step pain,

1 and calcaneal taping is more effective than sham taping at improving pain at one week
 2 (moderate-quality evidence) and categorized as likely to be beneficial. Further research is
 3 likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may
 4 change the estimate. Radford et al. (2006) conducted a randomized controlled trial to assess
 5 effectiveness of low dye taping for plantar heel pain. The trial included 92 participants who
 6 were randomized to low dye taping and sham ultrasound or sham ultrasound alone with
 7 duration of one week. Outcome measures included 'first-step' pain that was measured on a
 8 100 mm Visual Analogue Scale and Foot Health Status Questionnaire domains of foot pain,
 9 foot function and general foot health. The results indicated that participants treated with
 10 low-dye taping reported a small improvement in 'first-step' pain after one week of treatment
 11 compared to those who did not receive taping. The estimate of effect on 'first-step' pain
 12 favored the low-Dye tape (ANCOVA adjusted mean difference - 12.3 mm; 95% CI -22.4
 13 to - 2.2; P=0.017). There were no other statistically significant differences between groups.
 14 Limitations of the study include that it was short-term, and that it included one type of
 15 taping for heel pain. Clinical practice guidelines from the American Physical Therapy
 16 Association (APTA) for heel pain and plantar fasciitis include strapping as a treatment for
 17 this condition. The guidelines include a recommendation that clinicians should use
 18 antipronation taping for immediate (up to 3 weeks) pain reduction and improved function
 19 for individuals with heel pain/plantar fasciitis (Martin et al., 2014). American College of
 20 Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS) published a clinical consensus statement for diagnosis
 21 and treatment of heel pain (Thomas et al., 2010). These guidelines include taping/strapping
 22 as an initial treatment of plantar heel pain, including plantar fasciitis. In addition, they note
 23 that if improvement is noted, the initial therapy program is continued until symptoms are
 24 resolved.

25
 26 Morrissey et al. (2021) developed a best practice guide for managing people with plantar
 27 heel pain (PHP). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating any intervention for
 28 people with PHP in any language were included subject to strict quality criteria. Trials with
 29 a sample size greater than $n=38$ were considered for proof of efficacy. International experts
 30 were interviewed using a semi-structured approach and people with PHP were surveyed
 31 online. Fifty-one eligible trials enrolled 4,351 participants, with 9 RCTs suitable to
 32 determine proof of efficacy for 10 interventions. Forty people with PHP completed the
 33 online survey and 14 experts were interviewed resulting in 7 themes and 38 subthemes.
 34 There was good agreement between the systematic review findings and interview data
 35 about taping and plantar fascia stretching for first step pain in the short term. Clinical
 36 reasoning advocated combining these interventions with education and footwear advice as
 37 the core self-management approach. There was good expert agreement with systematic
 38 review findings recommending stepped care management with focused shockwave for first
 39 step pain in the short-term, medium-term, and long-term and radial shockwave for first step
 40 pain in the short term and long term. The authors found good agreement to 'step care' using
 41 custom foot orthoses for general pain in the short term and medium term. Authors
 42 concluded that best practice from a mixed-methods study synthesizing systematic review

1 with expert opinion and patient feedback suggests core treatment for people with PHP
 2 should include taping, stretching and individualized education. Patients who do not
 3 optimally improve may be offered shockwave therapy, followed by custom orthoses.

4
 5 Other musculoskeletal conditions of the foot and ankle may be treated with conservative
 6 treatment that includes strapping and taping to immobilize the area and treat the pain. These
 7 include tendinitis, also referred to as tendinopathy, and synovitis (Biundo, 2012; Chiodo et
 8 al., 2009; Simpson et al., 2009). Hyland et al. (2006) conducted a prospective, randomized
 9 study to examine the effects of a calcaneal and Achilles-tendon-taping technique, utilizing
 10 only 4 pieces of tape, and not involving the medial arch, on the symptoms of plantar heel
 11 pain. The study included 41 patients who were appointed to one of four groups: stretching
 12 of the plantar fascia; calcaneal taping; control (no treatment); and sham taping. A visual
 13 analog scale (VAS) for pain and a patient-specific functional scale (PSFS) for functional
 14 activities were measured pretreatment and after 1 week of treatment. Results indicated a
 15 significant difference in post-treatment among the groups for the VAS ($P < .001$).
 16 Specifically, significant differences were found between stretching and calcaneal taping
 17 (mean \pm SD, 4.6 ± 0.7 versus 2.7 ± 1.8 ; $P = .006$), stretching and control (mean \pm SD, $4.6 \pm$
 18 0.7 versus 6.2 ± 1.0 ; $P = .026$), calcaneal taping and control (mean \pm SD, 2.7 ± 1.8 versus
 19 6.2 ± 1.0 ; $P < .001$), and calcaneal taping and sham taping (mean \pm SD, 2.7 ± 1.8 versus 6.0
 20 ± 0.9 ; $P < .001$). No significant difference among groups was found for post-treatment PSFS
 21 ($P = .078$). Calcaneal taping was demonstrated to be a more effective tool for the relief of
 22 plantar heel pain than stretching, sham taping, or no treatment. Limitations of the study
 23 included the small sample size and the short duration. Clinical practice guidelines from the
 24 American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) for Achilles tendinopathy include the
 25 recommendation that taping may be used in an attempt to decrease strain on the Achilles
 26 tendon in patients with Achilles tendinopathy (Recommendation based on expert opinion)
 27 (Carcia et al., 2010).

28
 29 Tarsal tunnel syndrome refers to tibial nerve compression in the region of the ankles as the
 30 nerve passes under the transverse tarsal ligament (Rutkove, 2016; Campbell et al., 2008;
 31 Scherer, 2004). Beneath this there is a tunnel containing the tendons of the flexor digitorum
 32 longus and flexor hallucis longus muscles, the vascular bundle, the posterior tibial nerve,
 33 and the medial and lateral plantar nerves. A frequent cause of tarsal tunnel syndrome is a
 34 fracture or dislocation involving the talus, calcaneus, or medial malleolus. In these cases,
 35 scar tissue, bone or cartilage fragments, or bony spurs may be found compressing the nerve.
 36 Patients with tarsal tunnel syndrome typically present with aching, burning, numbness, and
 37 tingling involving the sole of the foot, the distal foot, the toes, and occasionally the heel.
 38 Treatment may include a trial of conservative therapy, including nonsteroidal anti-
 39 inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), shoe modification, taping and orthotics. If the patient does
 40 not respond, corticosteroid injection may be used. When patient does not respond to
 41 conservative treatment, surgery, decompression of tibial nerve, may be necessary.

1 Based on clinical practice guidelines and medical textbooks strapping of the foot and ankle
2 is considered a standard of care and medically necessary for acute severe strains and sprains
3 of the ankle, fracture of foot and ankle, dislocations of ankle and foot, tendinitis and
4 synovitis of ankle and foot, plantar fasciitis, tarsal tunnel syndrome.

6 ***Strapping of the Thorax***

7 There no evidence supporting the use of chest or thorax strapping for any conditions,
8 including back or neck pain. Chest wall strapping results in breathing in lower lung
9 volumes and mimics the effects of restrictive lung diseases. While chest strapping can limit
10 pain associated with fractured ribs, the risk of adverse pulmonary outcomes and alternative
11 treatments for pain recommend against chest immobilization (Lazcano, 1989; Quick,
12 1990). There does not appear to be a role for the use of taping/strapping of the chest or
13 thorax, including fractured ribs. Once significant associated injuries have been evaluated
14 and treated, the cornerstone of rib fracture management is pain control. Early and adequate
15 pain relief is essential to avoid complications from splinting and atelectasis, primarily
16 pneumonia. For isolated injuries (i.e., single rib fracture), clinicians generally begin
17 treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) with or without opioids.
18 For more severe injuries, particularly if ventilation is compromised, admission and invasive
19 treatments, such as intercostal nerve blocks, may be needed (Karlson, 2015). An ideal
20 method of managing pain in patients with multiple fractured ribs is one that is safe and
21 simple, provides complete and prolonged analgesia, permits deep breathing and clearance
22 of secretions, and allows cooperation during chest physiotherapy (Karmaker et al., 2003).

23
24 There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature that demonstrates the
25 efficacy of strapping of chest or thorax for any indication, including but not limited to back
26 pain, neck pain or fractured ribs.

28 ***Strapping for Other Conditions***

29 There is no clinical evidence in the form of published medical literature or clinical practice
30 guidelines which support the use of strapping the elbow, wrist, shoulder, hip or knee. In
31 addition, there is no indication that strapping is a standard of care for any conditions in
32 these areas.

34 ***Strapping of Shoulder***

35 Acute anterior shoulder dislocation is an injury in which the top end of the upper arm bone
36 is pushed out of the joint socket in a forward direction. Afterwards, the shoulder is less
37 stable and is prone to re-dislocation or subluxation (Hanchard et al., 2015). Initial treatment
38 involves closed reduction or placing the joint back in place. Treatment is often conservative
39 and generally involves placement of the injured arm in a sling or in another immobilizing
40 device followed by specific exercises. Most fractures of the clavicle are treated closed.
41 Treatment includes immobilization with either a sling, figure of eight bandage, or
42 commercially available immobilizer for several weeks (Canale et al., 2013; Hatch, 2015,

1 Sherman, 2015). Strapping/taping does not appear to have a role in shoulder or clavicle
 2 fractures. There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature that
 3 demonstrates the efficacy of strapping of the shoulder for any indication.

4
 5 ***Strapping of Elbow or Wrist***

6 Elbow dislocations are treated with reduction of the dislocation, and then may be followed
 7 by immobilization with cast and/or sling. Severe cases may require surgery (Hackl et al.,
 8 2015; Murphy et al., 2016). The use of strapping or taping does not have a role in the
 9 treatment of elbow dislocations.

10
 11 There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature that demonstrates the
 12 efficacy of strapping of elbow or wrist for any indication.

13
 14 ***Strapping of Hip***

15 Treatment of hip fracture in children includes reduction (either open or closed), stable
 16 internal fixation and spica casting (Wells et al., 2016). Congenital dysplasia of the hip
 17 generally includes subluxation or partial dislocation of the femoral head, acetabular
 18 dysplasia, and complete dislocation of the femoral head from the true acetabulum.
 19 Congenital dysplasia of the hip or DDH is age related and tailored to the specific
 20 pathological condition and may include stabilizing the hip, open or closed reduction and
 21 use of bracing or casting (Canale et al., 2013; Clarke et al., 2012; Schwend et al., 2014).
 22 Strapping of the hip does not appear to have a role or to be a standard of care for conditions
 23 of the hip.

24
 25 There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature that demonstrates the
 26 efficacy of strapping of the hip for any indication.

27
 28 ***Strapping of Knee***

29 Most uses of tape are as part of a therapy program and not for immobilization purposes.
 30 There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature that demonstrates the
 31 efficacy of strapping of the knee for any indication.

32
 33 ***Strapping of Back***

34 There is insufficient evidence in the published medical literature that demonstrates the
 35 efficacy of strapping of the back for any indication.

36
 37 **Elastic Therapeutic Taping**

38 ***Rehabilitation of Orthopedic Conditions***

39 **Ankle/Foot Conditions**

40 Halseth et al. (2004) examined if KT on the anterior and lateral portion of the ankle would
 41 enhance ankle proprioception compared to the untaped ankle. A total of 30 subjects (15
 42 men, 15 women, ages 18 to 30 years) participated in this study. The results indicated no

1 significant differences in either absolute or constant error between the no-tape and
2 Kinesio® taped conditions in either plantar flexion or inversion with 20 degrees of plantar
3 flexion. This indicated that KT likely does not enhance proprioception when measured by
4 active ankle reproduction joint position sense (RJPS) in healthy subjects. The hypothesis
5 that ankle taping would decrease absolute error and constant error of reproduction joint
6 position sense was not supported by the data. The authors stated that in order to fully
7 understand the effect of KT on proprioception, further research needs to be conducted on
8 other joints, on the method of application of KT, and the health of the subject to whom it
9 is applied. In addition, further research may provide vital information about a possible
10 benefit of KT during the acute and sub-acute phases of rehabilitation, thus facilitating
11 earlier return to activity participation.

12
13 Nunes et al. (2021) investigated whether Kinesio Taping® technique, applied to ankles of
14 healthy people as a preventive intervention and people with ankle injuries, is superior to
15 sham or alternative interventions on ankle function. From 5,572 studies, 84 met the
16 eligibility criteria which evaluated 2,684 people. Fifty-eight meta-analyses from 44 studies
17 were performed (participants in meta-analyses ranging from 27 to 179). Fifty-one meta-
18 analyses reported ineffectiveness of Kinesio Taping®: moderate evidence for star
19 excursion balance test (anterior direction), jump distance, dorsiflexion ROM, and plantar
20 flexion torque for healthy people (effect size = 0.08-0.13); low to very-low evidence for
21 balance, jump performance, ROM, proprioception, muscle capacity and EMG for healthy
22 people; balance for older people; and balance and jump performance for people with
23 chronic instability. Seven meta-analyses reported results favoring Kinesio Taping®: low
24 to very-low evidence for balance and ankle inversion for healthy people; balance for older
25 people; and balance for people with chronic instability. Authors concluded that the current
26 evidence does not support or encourage the use of Kinesio taping applied to the ankle for
27 improvements in functional performance, regardless the population.

28
29 Biz et al. (2022) evaluated the effects of Kinesio Taping® (or KT) on sports performances
30 and ankle functions in athletes with chronic ankle instability (CAI). The outcomes
31 considered were gait functions, ROM, muscle activation, postural sway, dynamic balance,
32 lateral landing from a monopodal drop and agility. In total, 1,448 articles were identified,
33 and 8 studies were included, with a total of 270 athletes. The application of the tape had a
34 significant effect size on gait functions, ROM, muscle activation and postural sway.
35 Authors concluded that the meta-analysis showed a significant improvement in gait
36 functions (step velocity, step and stride length and reduction in the base of support in
37 dynamics), reduction in the joint ROM in inversion and eversion, decrease in the muscle
38 activation of the long peroneus and decrease in the postural sway in movement in the mid-
39 lateral direction. It is possible to conclude that KT provides a moderate stabilizing effect
40 on the ankles of the athletes of most popular contact sports with CAI.

1 Knee Conditions

2 Freedman et al. (2014) researched whether patellar KT would improve short term pain and
3 single leg hop measures in patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS) when
4 compared to sham KT. Forty-nine subjects (mostly female) between the ages of 12 and 24
5 received both experimental and sham taping while completing 4 functional tasks and the
6 single leg hop test. Separate paired t-tests found improvement in pain with the step up, step
7 down and single leg hop test between taping conditions. A main effect for taping condition
8 was determined through a 2 factor ANOVA. There was also an interaction between taping
9 condition and side. Subjects demonstrated significantly greater hop distances for the
10 experimental KT application vs. the sham application for the side with PFPS. Authors
11 concluded that patellar KT provided an immediate and significant improvement in pain
12 levels and single leg hop distance in patients with PFPS.

13
14 Lee et al. (2016) examined the effects of kinesiology taping therapy on degenerative knee
15 arthritis patients' pain, function, and joint ROM. The review included 30 patients with
16 degenerative knee arthritis who were divided into two groups: conservative treatment
17 group (CTG, $n=15$) and the kinesiology taping group (KTG, $n=15$) and received treatment
18 three times per week for four weeks. In intragroup comparisons of the kinesiology taping
19 group and the CTG, the visual analog scale and Korean Western Ontario and McMaster
20 Universities Osteoarthritis Index scores significantly decreased, and the ROM increased
21 more than significantly. In intergroup comparisons, the kinesiology taping group showed
22 significantly lower visual analog scale and Korean Western Ontario and McMaster
23 Universities Osteoarthritis Index scores and significantly larger ranges of motion than the
24 conservative treatment group. The study is limited by the small number of participants and
25 short study period. The authors concluded that kinesiology taping therapy may be
26 considered an effective nonsurgical intervention method for pain relief, daily living
27 activities, and ROM of degenerative knee arthritis patients. Further studies that contain
28 larger number of participants and review for a longer period of time are needed to validate
29 these results. The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) published clinical
30 practice guidelines for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee (AAOS, 2013). The
31 guidelines do not include taping for treatment of this condition. Li et al. (2018) investigated
32 outcomes including self-reported pain, knee flexibility, knee-related health status, adverse
33 events, muscle strength, and proprioceptive sensibility. Eleven randomized controlled trials
34 (RCTs) with 168 participants with knee OA provided data for the meta-analysis. The
35 overall quality of evidence was from moderate to very low. Authors concluded that there
36 was weak evidence to suggest that elastic taping was effective in the treatment of knee OA
37 due to lack power and poor design.

38
39 According to Gaitonde et al. (2019), treatment of PFPS includes rest, a short course of
40 nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and physical therapy directed at strengthening the
41 hip flexor, trunk, and knee muscle groups. Patellar Kinesio Taping® may provide

1 additional short-term pain relief; however, evidence is insufficient to support its routine
2 use. Surgery is considered a last resort.

3
4 Ye et al. (2020) assessed the effects of elastic taping on pain, physical function, ROM, and
5 muscle strength in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Eleven randomized controlled trials
6 involving 490 patients with knee osteoarthritis were included. A statistically significant
7 difference was detected in physical function, ROM, and quadriceps muscle strength. No
8 significant differences were found for the hamstring muscle strength. Authors concluded
9 that elastic taping has significant effects on pain, physical function, ROM, and quadriceps
10 muscle strength in patients with knee osteoarthritis. However, the current evidence is
11 insufficient to draw conclusions on the effects of elastic taping combined with other
12 physiotherapy for knee osteoarthritis. Further studies are needed to investigate the long-
13 term effects of elastic taping combined with other physiotherapy compared with elastic
14 taping alone for knee osteoarthritis. Pinheiro et al. (2020) analyzed the current evidence
15 about the effects of kinesiology taping (KT) with different amounts of tension in people
16 with knee osteoarthritis (OA). They included clinical trials that compared the application
17 of KT with and without tension in people with knee OA. Of the 850 studies identified, eight
18 met the inclusion criteria and were ultimately included in this review. Most studies had
19 moderate quality, with a satisfactory PEDro score. Results showed that KT application with
20 tension was not superior to the application without tension for the outcomes of pain,
21 physical function, ROM, and muscle strength. Evidence for edema, balance and quality of
22 life is still limited. Authors concluded that the current evidence does not support the use of
23 kinesiology taping in people with knee OA. Kolasinski et al. (2020) developed an evidence-
24 based guideline for the comprehensive management of osteoarthritis (OA) as a
25 collaboration between the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and the Arthritis
26 Foundation, updating the 2012 ACR recommendations for the management of hand, hip,
27 and knee OA. Based on the available evidence, either strong or conditional
28 recommendations were made for or against the approaches evaluated. Conditional
29 recommendations were made for Kinesio Taping® for first CMC OA.

30
31 Danazumi et al. (2020) examined the effect of Kinesio Taping® as an adjunct to combined
32 chain exercises compared with combined chain exercises alone in the management of
33 individuals with knee osteoarthritis. A total of 60 (27 male, 33 female) individuals (age
34 range = 50-71 years and mean age = 54.26 ± 8.83 yrs) diagnosed as having mild to
35 moderate knee osteoarthritis (based on the Kellgren and Lawrence grade I-III
36 classification) were randomly allocated into two groups with 30 participants each in the
37 Kinesio Taping® + combined chain exercises and combined chain exercises groups.
38 Participants in the Kinesio Taping® + combined chain exercises group received Kinesio
39 Taping® plus combined chain exercises and those in the combined chain exercises group
40 received only combined chain exercises. Each participant was assessed for pain, ROM,
41 functional mobility, and quality of life at baseline and after 8 weeks of intervention. A
42 mixed-design multivariate analysis of variance was used to analyze the treatment effect.

1 No significant differences were observed in the baseline characteristics of participants in
2 both groups. The result indicated that there was a significant time effect for all outcomes,
3 with a significant interaction between time and intervention. The Bonferroni post hoc
4 analyses of time and intervention effects indicated that the Kinesio Taping® + combined
5 chain exercises group improved significantly better than the combined chain exercises
6 group in all outcomes, pain, flexion ROM, functional mobility, and quality of life, after 8
7 weeks of intervention. Authors concluded that the findings of this study concluded that
8 Kinesio Taping® + combined chain exercises and combined chain exercises were both
9 effective but Kinesio Taping® plus combined chain exercises was more effective in the
10 management of individuals with knee osteoarthritis.

11
12 Heddon et al. (2021) analyzed the efficacy of elastic taping (ET) on pain in patients with
13 knee osteoarthritis by using The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis
14 Index (WOMAC) score. Six RCTs for a total of 392 participants met the criteria and were
15 included in the review. When the KT was compared to sham taping, the results show no to
16 moderate decreases of WOMAC scores in patients with primary knee osteoarthritis.
17 Authors concluded that although ET does not provide strong adverse outcomes, data do
18 not support the use of ET as a treatment alone because of too slight reductions of the
19 WOMAC score for reaching clinical efficiency. Thus, the systematic review shows no
20 strong evidence regarding the use of elastic taping for pain improvement in patients with
21 primary knee osteoarthritis. Pinheiro et al. (2021) analyzed the current evidence about the
22 effects of kinesiology taping (KT) with different amounts of tension in people with knee
23 osteoarthritis (OA). Of the 850 studies identified, eight met the inclusion criteria and were
24 ultimately included in this review. Most studies had moderate quality, with a satisfactory
25 PEDro score. Results showed that KT application with tension was not superior to the
26 application without tension for the outcomes of pain, physical function, ROM and muscle
27 strength. Evidence for edema, balance and quality of life is still limited. Authors concluded
28 that current evidence does not support the use of kinesiology taping in people with knee
29 OA. Luo and Li (2021) demonstrated whether KT is better than placebo taping, nonelastic
30 taping, or no taping in reducing chronic knee pain. In total, 8 studies involving 416
31 participants fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Results indicated that KT is better than other
32 tapings (placebo taping or nonelastic taping) in the early four weeks. Treatment methods
33 which were performed for more than six weeks show no significant difference in reducing
34 pain. In studies in which visual analogue scale was measured, a positive effect was
35 observed for KT combined with exercise program. Overall, authors suggest that KT
36 exhibited significant but temporary pain reduction.

37
38 Guney-Deniz et al. (2023) compare the efficacy of manual lymphatic drainage (MLD) and
39 Kinesio Taping® (KT) applications in terms of reducing lower extremity edema, pain, and
40 improving function in the early postoperative period of TKA. Forty-five female patients
41 with unilateral TKA were allocated to an additional postoperative MLD treatment ($n = 15$)
42 with exercises, additional Kinesio Taping® ($n = 15$) with exercises, or exercise-only

1 ($n = 15$). Lower limb circumference, range of motion (ROM), pain level, and knee
2 osteoarthritis outcome score (KOOS) were compared. Both MLD and the KT group had
3 lower edema and pain levels compared to the control group on postoperative day 4. These
4 beneficial effects continued only two weeks postoperatively, and no group differences were
5 found by 6 weeks. Authors concluded that additional MLD or KT applications to standard
6 exercises were both effective on early-stage lower extremity edema and pain levels.
7 Clinicians might implement one of these applications to the standard rehabilitation
8 programs to control pain and edema following TKA.

9
10 Nunes et al. (2023) summarized the effectiveness of interventions for changing movement
11 during weight-bearing functional tasks in people with patellofemoral pain (PFP).
12 Randomized controlled trials involving people with PFP and nonsurgical,
13 nonpharmacological interventions on task kinematics were included. Thirty-seven trials
14 were included ($n = 1,235$ participants). Combining knee/hip exercises with internal
15 feedback had the strongest effect on reducing frontal knee movements (moderate
16 evidence). On pairwise comparisons, the same combination of interventions reduced
17 frontal hip movements (moderate evidence) and increased sagittal knee movements
18 (moderate evidence), with no effects on sagittal hip movements (very low evidence),
19 compared to knee/hip exercises alone. There was no effect for single applications of braces
20 on the frontal knee movement (very low evidence) and taping on movements of the knee,
21 hip, and ankle (very low to low evidence) compared to no intervention. Authors concluded
22 that knee/hip exercises combined with internal feedback techniques may change knee and
23 hip movements in people with PFP. The combination of these interventions can reduce
24 frontal knee and hip movements and can increase sagittal knee movements.

25
26 Rethman et al. (2023) aimed to identify factors associated with kinesiophobia in individuals
27 with patellofemoral pain (PFP) and to identify interventions that may reduce kinesiophobia
28 in individuals with PFP in a systematic review and correlation meta-analysis. Seven
29 databases were searched for articles including clinical factors associated with
30 kinesiophobia or interventions that may reduce kinesiophobia in individuals with PFP.
31 Forty-one articles involving 2712 individuals were included. Correlation meta-analyses
32 using individual participant data indicated a moderate association between self-reported
33 function and kinesiophobia and a weak association between pain and kinesiophobia. Low-
34 certainty evidence from 2 articles indicated that passive treatment techniques were more
35 effective than minimal intervention in reducing kinesiophobia. Very low-certainty
36 evidence from 5 articles indicated that interventions to target kinesiophobia
37 (psychobehavioral interventions, education, and self-managed exercise) were better in
38 reducing kinesiophobia than physical therapist treatment approaches not specifically
39 targeting kinesiophobia. Authors concluded that higher levels of kinesiophobia were
40 moderately associated with poorer function and weakly associated with higher pain in
41 individuals with PFP. Taping and bracing may reduce kinesiophobia immediately after use,

1 and specific kinesiophobia-targeted interventions may reduce kinesiophobia following the
2 full intervention; however, the certainty of evidence is very low.

3
4 Chen et al. (2024) evaluated systematically the efficacy of Kinesio Taping® (KT) on the
5 knee function of individuals who undergo anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction
6 (ACLR). The outcome measures included six continuous variables: quadriceps strength,
7 hamstring strength, knee swelling, knee flexion angle, Lysholm knee function score, and
8 Visual Analog Scale (VAS) pain scores. Seven RCTs including 278 patients who
9 underwent ACLR were included in the systematic review. One of three (33%) studies
10 found a remarkable increase in quadricep strength associated with the use of KT compared
11 with the control group. Two of two (100%) studies found substantial increases in hamstring
12 strength associated with KT. Two of four (50%) studies reported KT reduced knee
13 swelling. Two of five (40%) studies reported considerable improvements in knee flexion
14 angle in the groups that used KT. All three (100%) studies found KT did not improve
15 Lysholm knee function scores. Three of four (75%) studies noted a significant reduction in
16 VAS pain scores associated with KT. Authors concluded that KT may help improve
17 hamstring strength and reduce knee swelling and pain in patients after ACLR. Further
18 studies are needed to determine the effects of KT on quadricep strength and knee flexion
19 angle.

20
21 Batista et al. (2024) evaluated whether postural control is impaired in people with
22 patellofemoral pain (PFP) and the effectiveness of interventions on postural control
23 measures. Fifty-three studies were included. Very low certainty evidence indicated that
24 people with PFP have shorter anterior and posterolateral reach distance, and worse
25 composite score. Very low to moderate certainty evidence indicated that people with PFP
26 have worse anterior-posterior and overall stability indexes during single-leg stance and
27 overall stability index during double-leg stance, but no differences in center of pressure
28 area during stair ascent. Low certainty evidence indicated that Kinesio Taping® improved
29 anterior reach distance, while no significant differences were observed between pre- and
30 post-intervention outcomes for conventional rehabilitation and rigid taping. Authors
31 concluded that clinicians should use clinic- (star excursion or Y-balance tests) and
32 laboratory-based (stability indexes) measures to identify impairments of postural control
33 in people with PFP. Low certainty of evidence suggests short-term improvement in postural
34 control with Kinesio Taping®.

35
36 Azimi et al. (2024) assessed the effect of postoperative KT on knee edema, pain, and ROM
37 when added to routine physiotherapy after knee surgery. Randomized controlled trials
38 (RCTs) comparing routine physiotherapy with and without KT were included. Sixteen
39 RCTs on 842 operated knees were included. KT reduced knee edema in first week, and 28
40 to 42 days postop. The KT demonstrated significant pain improvement in second week and
41 the fourth week. The KT groups demonstrated ROM improvement within second week and
42 in the 28th post-op day (POD). Subgroup analysis demonstrated minimal heterogeneity in

1 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (ACLR) cases. However, it did not show
 2 significant superiority regarding ankle, calf, or thigh edema and Lysholm scale. Authors
 3 concluded that this study suggests that adding KT to routine postoperative physiotherapy
 4 reduces pain and knee edema after total knee arthroplasty or ACLR. Low to very low
 5 certainty of evidence for all outcomes and the limited number of studies emphasize the
 6 need for more high-quality primary studies to explore the optimal method of KT
 7 application and its effectiveness in specific knee surgeries.

9 Shoulder Conditions

10 In a prospective, randomized, double-blinded, clinical study using a repeated-measures
 11 design, Thelen et al. (2008) determined the short-term clinical efficacy of KT when applied
 12 to college students with shoulder pain, as compared to a sham tape application. A total of
 13 42 subjects with clinically diagnosed rotator cuff tendonitis and/or impingement were
 14 randomly assigned to one of two groups: therapeutic KT group or sham KT group. Subjects
 15 wore the tape for two consecutive 3 day intervals. Self-reported pain and disability and
 16 pain-free active ranges of motion (ROM) were measured at multiple intervals to evaluate
 17 for differences between groups. The therapeutic KT group showed immediate
 18 improvement in pain-free shoulder abduction after tape application. No other differences
 19 between groups regarding ROM, pain, or disability scores at any time interval were found.
 20 The authors concluded that KT may be of some assistance to clinicians in improving pain-
 21 free active ROM immediately after tape application for patients with shoulder pain.
 22 Utilization of KT for decreasing pain intensity or disability for young patients with
 23 suspected shoulder tendonitis/impingement is not supported.

24
 25 Hsu et al. (2009) investigated the effect of elastic taping on kinematics, muscle activity,
 26 and strength of the scapular region in baseball players with shoulder impingement. This is
 27 the first study to investigate the effects of KT on the scapular kinematics and muscle
 28 performance in baseball players with shoulder impingement syndrome. The application of
 29 KT over the lower trapezius muscle improved the lower trapezius activity during 60 to 30
 30 degrees of the lowering phase of arm scaption and increased scapular posterior tilt at 30
 31 and 60 degrees of arm scaption. These results suggest that KT could be a useful therapeutic
 32 and prophylactic assistance both in a rehabilitation clinic and in the field.

33
 34 Kaya et al. (2011) compared the effectiveness of KT and physical therapy modalities in
 35 patients with shoulder impingement syndrome. Patients ($n = 55$) were treated with KT (n
 36 $= 30$) three times by intervals of 3 days or a daily program of local modalities ($n = 25$) for
 37 2 weeks. Response to treatment was evaluated with the Disability of Arm, Shoulder, and
 38 Hand scale (DASH). Patients were questioned for the night pain, daily pain, and pain with
 39 motion. DASH and VAS scores decreased significantly in both treatment groups as
 40 compared with the baseline levels at weeks one and two. Pain scores were also statistically
 41 significantly lower at the first week examination, but not after the second week. KT has
 42 been found to be more effective than the local modalities at the first week and was similarly

1 effective at the second week of the treatment; however, modalities alone are not the typical
2 course of shoulder treatment. The authors stated that KT may be an alternative treatment
3 option in the treatment of shoulder impingement syndrome especially when an immediate
4 effect is needed. The findings of this small study need to be validated by well-designed
5 studies. Saracoglu et al. (2018) completed a systematic review to determine whether adding
6 any taping technique to standard physiotherapy care (e.g. exercise, electrotherapy, and
7 manual therapy) alone in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome. The outcome
8 measures were pain, disability, range of motion and muscle strength. Three randomized
9 controlled trials and one controlled trial (135 patients) were included. The results were
10 conflicting and weak on the effectiveness of taping as an adjunct therapy for improvement
11 of pain, disability, range of motion and muscle strength. Authors concluded that clinical
12 taping may be an option for these patients in addition to physiotherapy, but that further
13 study is needed with improved methodology.

14
15 Celik et al. (2020) evaluated the effects of Kinesio Taping® on shoulder disorders, as a
16 single treatment modality or as conjunction to other treatments. Fourteen studies were
17 included with 680 participants. Kinesio Taping® did not produce better results on pain
18 compared to sham, or passive treatments. Similarly, Kinesio Taping® was not found
19 superior to sham Kinesio Taping®, exercises, or passive treatments on function. There
20 were no significant differences for ROM compared to sham Kinesio Taping® compared to
21 passive treatment. Overall, effect size was found small to moderate. Authors concluded
22 that despite reported positive effects in some studies, there is no firm evidence of any
23 benefit of Kinesio Taping® on shoulder disorders. de Oliveira et al. (2021) investigated the
24 use of Kinesio Taping® (KT) for treating rotator cuff-related shoulder pain (RCRSP), as
25 its mid- and long-term effects have not been investigated. A total of 52 individuals with
26 RCRSP were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 groups (experimental: KT; control: no-KT) and
27 underwent a 6-week rehabilitation program composed of 10 physical therapy sessions. KT
28 was added to the treatment of the KT group. Symptoms and functional limitations were
29 assessed using the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) questionnaire
30 (primary outcome); Brief Pain Inventory (BPI); and Western Ontario Rotator Cuff
31 (WORC) index at baseline, 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 12 weeks, and 6 months. AHD, pain-free
32 ROM, and full ROM were measured at baseline and at week 6. No significant group × time
33 interactions were found for any outcomes. Time effects were observed as both groups
34 showed significant improvements for all variables studied; and full ROM abduction.
35 Authors concluded that given symptoms, functional limitations, ROM, and AHD improved
36 in both groups, the addition of KT did not lead to superior outcomes compared with
37 exercise-based treatment alone, in the mid and long term, for individuals with RCRSP.

38
39 Letafatkar et al. (2021) investigated if adding Kinesio® tape to therapeutic exercise is an
40 effective treatment to improve clinical outcomes compared to therapeutic exercise alone
41 and no intervention, in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome. One hundred and
42 twenty patients (mean (SD): age 37.8 (5.4)) with shoulder impingement syndrome. Patients

1 were randomly assigned to eight-weeks therapeutic exercise alone, therapeutic exercise
2 with Kinesio® tape, and control group. Pain was measured with a numerical rating scale
3 and disability and scapular kinematics were measured with a relative questionnaire and
4 motion analysis software respectively, at baseline and after eight-weeks intervention. There
5 was significant differences in therapeutic exercise with Kinesio® tape group vs.
6 therapeutic exercise alone and control group respectively for pain, disability, scapular
7 upward rotation at sagittal plane, scapular plane, scapular tilt at sagittal plane, and scapular
8 plane. Therapeutic exercise alone was superior over control group in all significant
9 outcomes. Authors concluded that although therapeutic exercises alone showed positive
10 effect on clinical outcomes, adding Kinesio® tape to therapeutic exercises had more
11 significant effects with larger effect sizes. Adding Kinesio® tape to therapeutic exercise
12 may be of some assistance to clinicians in improving clinical outcomes in patients with
13 shoulder impingement syndrome.

14
15 Araya-Quintanilla et al. (2022) sought to determine the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping®
16 (KT) with or without co-interventions for clinical outcomes in patients with subacromial
17 impingement syndrome (SIS) in a meta-analysis and systematic review. Ten trials for the
18 quantitative analysis were included. Authors concluded that Kinesio Taping® with or
19 without co-interventions was not superior to other interventions for improving shoulder
20 pain intensity, function and ROM flexion in patients with SIS.

21
22 Ager et al. (2023) synthesized the evidence on the effects of elastic KT on proprioception
23 in healthy and pathological shoulders. Eight studies (5 RCTs, 3 non-RCTs) were included,
24 yielding 187 shoulders (102 healthy and 85 pathological shoulders). Outcome measures
25 were active joint position sense (AJPS), passive joint position sense (PJPS), kinesthesia,
26 sense of force (SoF), and sense of velocity (SoV). Elastic KT has a mixed effect on AJPS
27 of healthy shoulders ($n=79$) (low certainty). Elastic KT improves AJPS (subacromial pain
28 syndrome and rotator cuff tendinopathy, $n=52$) and PJPS (chronic hemiparetic shoulders,
29 $n=13$) among pathological shoulders (very low certainty). Elastic KT has no effect on
30 kinesthesia among individuals with subacromial pain syndrome ($n=30$) (very low
31 certainty). Authors concluded that there is very low to low certainty of evidence that elastic
32 KT enhances shoulder AJPS and PJPS. The aggregate of evidence is currently so low that
33 any recommendation on the effectiveness of elastic KT on shoulder proprioception remains
34 speculative.

35
36 Turgut et al. (2024) evaluated the current literature regarding the effects of shoulder taping
37 in overhead athletes. Literature search was performed related to rotational ROM, posterior
38 shoulder tightness (PST), kinematics, muscular activity, acromiohumeral distance (AHD),
39 proprioception, strength, and performance. Twenty studies were eligible. The majority of
40 the applied taping methods were scapular and humeral head repositioning taping. Across
41 all studies, there was limited to moderate evidence in favor of taping in overhead athletes
42 with regard to rotational ROM, AHD, proprioception, and altering scapular kinematics,

1 while taping did not enhance PST, muscular activity, shoulder strength, and performance.
2 Therefore, the current evidence showed taping can alter some of the investigated factors
3 that may have a therapeutic or preventive role. However, in the management of the athlete
4 shoulder, taping-only approaches should not be focused on, and taping can be integrated in
5 a more comprehensive approach for the overhead athletes.

6 7 Neck and Low Back Conditions

8 González-Iglesias et al. (2009) examined the short-term effects of KT, applied to the
9 cervical spine, on neck pain and cervical ROM in individuals with acute whiplash-
10 associated disorders (WADs). A total of 41 patients (21 females) were randomly assigned
11 to one of two groups: (i) the experimental group received KT to the cervical spine (applied
12 with tension) and (ii) the placebo group received a sham KT application (applied without
13 tension). Both neck pain (11-point numerical pain rating scale) and cervical ROM data
14 were collected at baseline, immediately after the KT application, and at a 24-hour follow-
15 up by an assessor blinded to the treatment group of the patients. The group-by-time
16 interaction was statistically significant for pain and all directions of ROM, indicating that
17 patients receiving KT experienced a greater decrease in pain and ROM immediately post-
18 application and at the 24-hour follow-up. The authors concluded that patients with acute
19 WAD receiving an application of KT, applied with proper tension, exhibited statistically
20 significant improvements immediately following application of the KT and at a 24-hour
21 follow-up. However, the improvements in pain and cervical ROM were small and may not
22 be clinically meaningful.

23
24 Goodwin et al. (2016) reported on a systematic review to establish the current evidence
25 base for the use of orthotics and taping for people with osteoporotic vertebral fracture
26 (OVF). The review included nine studies comprising two parallel-group randomized
27 controlled trials, four randomized cross-over trials, two before-after (single arm) studies
28 and a parallel group observational study. There were no qualitative studies were identified.
29 The studies included a wide range of outcomes assessing impairments, activities and
30 participation were assessed but the findings were mixed. The quality of studies was limited.
31 The authors concluded that the current evidence for using orthotic devices or taping for
32 people with OVF is inconsistent and of limited quality and therefore careful consideration
33 should be taken by clinicians before prescribing them in practice.

34
35 The American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's practice guidelines
36 on "Evaluation and management of common health problems and functional recovery in
37 workers" (Hegmann, 2007) did not recommend taping or KT for acute, subacute, or chronic
38 LBP, radicular pain syndromes or other back-related conditions. Paoloni et al. (2011)
39 conducted a two-part study of 39 patients to evaluate the effect of Kinesio Taping® (KT)
40 on chronic low back pain. Phase I was based on an intra-subject pre-test/post-test procedure
41 where pain intensity was evaluated means of 10cm horizontal visual-analog scale (VAS)
42 score. Phase II was based on a randomized, single-blinded controlled trial where patients

1 were randomized to one of three groups: KT and exercise group, KT alone or exercise
2 alone. Outcomes were assessed at one month after therapy by an investigator who was
3 blinded to treatment assignment, and included pain assessed by VAS, disability assessed
4 by surface electromyographic (sEMG), and disability assessed by the Roland Morris
5 Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ). In the three groups it was noted that there was a
6 significant reduction in pain after treatment, with only the exercise-alone group displayed
7 reduced disability. KT appeared to reduce pain over short follow-up comparable to
8 therapeutic exercise. The study was limited by small sample size and short follow-up
9 timeframe.

10
11 Castro-Sanchez et al. (2012) reported on a randomized trial, with concealed allocation,
12 assessor blinding, and intention-to-treat analysis ($n=60$). The experimental intervention
13 was Kinesio Taping® over the lumbar spine for one week and control intervention was
14 sham taping. At one week, the experimental group had significantly greater improvement
15 in disability, by 4 points (95% CI 2 to 6) on the Oswestry score and by 1.2 points (95% CI
16 0.4 to 2.0) on the Roland-Morris score. It was noted that these effects were not significant
17 four weeks later. The experimental group had a greater decrease in pain than the control
18 group immediately after treatment (mean between-group difference 1.1cm, 95% CI 0.3 to
19 1.9), which was maintained four weeks later (1.0cm, 95% CI 0.2 to 1.7). Similarly, trunk
20 muscle endurance was significantly better at one week (by 23 sec, 95% CI 14 to 32) and
21 four weeks later (by 18 sec, 95% CI 9 to 26). Other outcomes were not significantly
22 affected. The authors concluded that Kinesio Taping® reduced disability and pain in
23 people with chronic non-specific low back pain, however, the effects may be too small to
24 be clinically worthwhile. While there was some effect immediately after treatment, the
25 effect did not have lasting effect at 4 weeks.

26
27 Kachanathu et al. (2014) reported on a randomized, controlled trial with the aim of
28 comparing the effect of Kinesio Taping® (KT) compared with traditional management for
29 nonspecific low back pain (NSLBP). Forty male and female patients were randomly
30 divided into two groups: group 1 ($n=20$) underwent conventional physical therapy with
31 KT, and group 2 ($n=20$) underwent only conventional physical therapy. Intervention
32 sessions were three times per week for four weeks. Outcomes were assessed for activities
33 of daily living (ADL) using the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire, pain severity
34 using a visual analogue scale, and ranges of motion (ROMs) of trunk flexion and extension
35 using the modified Schober's test. There were significant differences in measures of pain,
36 ADL, and trunk flexion and extension ROMs observed post-intervention within each
37 group. In comparison, there were no significant differences in measures of pain, ADL, and
38 trunk flexion and extension ROMs post intervention between the groups. Vanti et al. (2015)
39 reported on a systematic review of randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) regarding the
40 effects of elastic and non-elastic taping on spinal pain and disability. Eight RCTs were
41 included in the review ($n=409$). Meta-analysis of four RCTs on low back pain indicated
42 that elastic taping does not significantly reduce pain and disability immediately post-

1 treatment. In addition, results from single trials demonstrated that both elastic and non-
2 elastic taping are not better than placebo or no treatment on spinal disability. Positive
3 results were found for elastic taping, however only for short-term pain reduction in
4 whiplash associated disorders or specific neck pain. In general, it was found that the effect
5 sizes were very small or not clinically relevant, with all results supported by low quality
6 evidence. The authors concluded that the results of the systematic review did not show
7 effectiveness of different types of taping.

8
9 Nelson (2016) aimed to review the results of RCTs investigating the effects of KT on
10 chronic LBP. In total, five studies involving 306 subjects met the inclusion criteria and
11 corresponded to the aim of this review. The methodological quality of the included RCTs
12 was good, with a mean score of 6.6 on the 10-point PEDro Scale. Moderate evidence
13 suggests KT, as a sole treatment or in conjunction with another treatment, is no more
14 effective than conventional physical therapy and exercise with respect to improving pain
15 and disability outcomes. There is insufficient evidence suggesting that KT is superior to
16 sham taping in improving pain and disability. Limited evidence suggests that KT is more
17 effective than sham taping in improving range of motion (ROM) and global perceived
18 effect (GPE) in the short term. Very limited evidence indicates that KT is more effective
19 than conventional physical therapy in improving anticipatory postural control of the
20 transversus abdominus muscles and improved cerebral cortex potential. Authors conclude
21 that Kinesio Taping® is not a substitute for traditional physical therapy or exercise. Rather,
22 KT may be most effective when used as an adjunctive therapy, perhaps by improving
23 ROM, muscular endurance, and motor control. More high-quality studies that consider the
24 multiple factors that mediate CLBP, in the short, intermediate, and long term, are needed
25 to strengthen the evidence of the effectiveness of KT on CLBP. Another 2016 published in
26 the Spine journal (Al-Shareef et al.) was a randomized controlled trial with 2-week Kinesio
27 Taping® intervention. The aim of the study was to investigate the effectiveness of Kinesio
28 Taping® application on pain, functional disability, and trunk flexion ROM in patients with
29 chronic nonspecific low back pain (chronic NSLBP). Forty-four patients with chronic
30 NSLBP were randomized into experimental group ($n = 21$) and placebo group ($n = 23$).
31 The experimental group was treated with Erector Spinae Taping, whereas the placebo
32 group was treated with placebo taping. The primary endpoint was pain intensity on visual
33 analog scale. Secondary endpoints were functional disability on Arabic version of
34 Oswestry disability index (ODI) and trunk flexion ROM on Modified Schober's test. All
35 measurements were recorded at baseline (W0), after 2-week intervention (W2), and at 4-
36 week (W4) follow-up. No significant differences existed at baseline. Authors concluded
37 that Kinesio Taping® reduces pain and disability and improves trunk flexion ROM after 2
38 weeks of application. However, these effects were very small to be considered clinically
39 relevant and meaningful when compared with placebo taping.

40
41 Added et al. (2016) performed an RCT to determine the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping®
42 in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain when added to a physical therapy

1 program consisting of exercise and manual therapy. One hundred forty-eight patients with
2 chronic nonspecific low back pain were randomly allocated to receive 10 (twice weekly)
3 sessions of physical therapy, consisting of exercise and manual therapy, or the same
4 treatment with the addition of Kinesio Taping® applied to the lower back. The primary
5 outcomes were pain intensity and disability (5 weeks after randomization) and the
6 secondary outcomes were pain intensity, disability (3 months and 6 months after
7 randomization), global perceived effect, and satisfaction with care (5 weeks after
8 treatment). Data were collected by a blinded assessor. Authors concluded that patients who
9 received a physical therapy program consisting of exercise and manual therapy did not get
10 additional benefit from the use of Kinesio Taping®. Overall, the literature on taping for
11 mechanical low back pain is insufficient to determine effectiveness for pain and function.
12 Much of literature is varied in taping application and methodological limitations.
13 According to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) review on
14 Noninvasive Treatments for Low Back Pain (Chou et al., 2016), for chronic low back pain,
15 no differences were noted for taping versus exercise therapy in pain and function and no
16 differences were noted between taping and sham taping for function; results for pain were
17 inconsistent and insufficient to draw conclusions from. Authors also noted no trials have
18 noted harms or adverse events.

19
20 Araujo et al. (2018) investigated the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping® in patients with
21 chronic low back pain after 6 months from randomization. This was a randomized
22 controlled trial with a 6 month follow up. One hundred and forty-eight participants were
23 randomly assigned to the experimental (Kinesio Taping® with skin convolutions) or
24 control (Kinesio Taping® without convolutions-Sham Taping) group. Participants from
25 both groups had the tape reapplied twice a week for four weeks. The outcomes were pain,
26 disability, and global impression of recovery after 6 months. After 6 months there were no
27 statistically significant between-group differences in pain intensity, global impression of
28 recovery or disability. Authors concluded that four weeks of Kinesio Taping® treatment
29 was no better than sham taping for patients with chronic low back pain, at 6 months follow-
30 up.

31
32 Lin et al. (2020) summarized the results of randomized controlled trials on the effectiveness
33 of Kinesio Taping® (KT) for chronic nonspecific low back pain (CNLBP) and disability.
34 Eleven RCT studies involving 785 patients were retained for the meta-analysis. Limitations
35 of the review included a lack of homogeneity, different methodologies and treatment
36 duration of KT application, and relatively small sample sizes. Authors concluded that there
37 is low-quality evidence that KT has a beneficial role in pain reduction and disability
38 improvement for patients with CNLBP. More high-quality studies are required to confirm
39 the effects of KT on CNLBP. Li et al. (2020) explored the effects of Kinesio® tape on pain
40 and disability in individuals with chronic low back pain. A total of 10 articles were included
41 in this meta-analysis. A total of 627 participants were involved, with 317 in the Kinesio®
42 tape group and 310 in the control group. The effects of Kinesio® tape on pain and disability

1 were explored. While Kinesio® tape was not superior to placebo taping in pain reduction,
 2 either alone ($P=0.07$) or in conjunction with physical therapy ($P=0.08$), it could
 3 significantly improve disability when compared to the placebo taping ($P < 0.05$). Authors
 4 conclude that because Kinesio® tape is convenient for application, it could be used for
 5 individuals with chronic low back pain in some cases, especially when the patients could
 6 not get other physical therapy. Luz Júnior et al. (2019) investigated the effects of Kinesio
 7 Taping® (KT) in patients with nonspecific low back pain. 11 RCTs were included for this
 8 systematic review (pooled $n=743$). Two clinical trials (pooled $n=100$) compared KT to no
 9 intervention at the short-term follow-up. Four studies compared KT to placebo (pooled
 10 $n=287$) at short-term follow-up and two trials (pooled $n=100$) compared KT to placebo at
 11 intermediate-term follow-up. Five trials (pooled $n=296$) compared KT combined with
 12 exercises or electrotherapy to exercises or spinal manipulation alone. No statistically
 13 significant difference was found for most comparisons. Authors concluded that very low
 14 to moderate quality evidence shows that KT was no better than any other intervention for
 15 most the outcomes assessed in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain. Authors
 16 found no evidence to support the use of KT in clinical practice for patients with chronic
 17 nonspecific low back pain.

18
 19 Chen et al. (2021) compared conservative care strategies on their efficacy and safety for
 20 women with pregnancy-related LBP through systematic review with pairwise meta-
 21 analysis and network meta-analysis. Twenty-three studies were included in the qualitative
 22 synthesis (18 randomized controlled trials were included in the network meta-analysis).
 23 For women with LBP during pregnancy, progressive muscle relaxation therapy and
 24 Kinesio Taping® reduced pain intensity compared with placebo. Authors concluded that
 25 for patients with LBP during pregnancy, progressive muscle relaxation therapy and Kinesio
 26 Taping® may help to decrease pain, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation may
 27 improve physical function. Jassi et al. (2021) investigated the effects of star-shape Kinesio
 28 Taping® (KT) compared with both sham KT and minimal intervention (MI) on pain
 29 intensity and postural control. A total of 120 people with chronic low back pain (CLBP)
 30 aged 18-60 years ($N=120$). Interventions were star-shape KT, sham KT (no tension) and
 31 MI (educational booklet for self-management). The primary outcome measures were pain
 32 intensity and center of pressure (COP) mean sway speed, and disability score (Oswestry
 33 Disability Index) was a secondary outcome. The outcomes were obtained immediately after
 34 initial KT application, on the seventh day of intervention and at the 1-month follow-up.
 35 Authors concluded that results showed no meaningful effect of star-shape KT intervention
 36 on pain intensity and postural control in people with CLBP compared with MI or sham KT.
 37 The observed reduction of 1.3 units between star-shape KT and MI groups was statistically
 38 different, but it could not be considered clinically relevant. The results of this trial suggest
 39 that benefits from KT are more likely attributable to contextual factors rather than specific
 40 taping parameters.

1 van Amstel et al. (2021) systematically reviewed the literature to analyze the effect of
2 lumbar elastic tape application on trunk mobility, surpassing the minimal detectable change
3 of the used outcome measurement tool, and to analyze the additional effect of applied
4 tension and direction of elastic tape application in low back pain and participants without
5 low back pain. Eight out of 6,799 studies were included; 5 studied individuals with low
6 back pain, and 3 studied participants without low back pain. None of the reported
7 significant changes in trunk mobility due to elastic tape application exceeded the indicated
8 minimal detectable change. No conclusions can be drawn from the direction and applied
9 tension of elastic tape application. Authors concluded that based on the results of this
10 systematic review, there is no evidence supporting the effect of lumbar elastic tape
11 application. The authors recommend consensus in the use of more reliable and valid
12 instruments in future studies.

13
14 Sun and Lou (2021) critically examined and evaluated the evidence of recent randomized
15 controlled trials regarding the effectiveness of KT as an adjunct to PT for CLBP for at least
16 2 weeks in a systematic review and meta-analysis. Twelve randomized controlled trials
17 with a total of 676 patients were included in our study. Mean improvements were
18 significantly higher in the KT+PT group than the PT group for pain score and disability.
19 Of 12 studies based on the pain score, 7 reported KT+PT patients to have significantly less
20 pain at latest follow-up when compared with PA patients. Of 11 studies based on the
21 disability, 8 reported KT+PT patients to have significantly better improvements at latest
22 follow-up when compared with PA patients ($P < .05$). Authors concluded that Kinesio
23 Taping® combined with physical therapy provided better therapeutic effects regarding
24 pain reduction and disability improvement compared with physical therapy alone in
25 individuals with chronic low back pain.

26 27 Sports/Musculoskeletal Conditions

28 Williams et al. (2012) completed a meta-analysis of the evidence for the effectiveness of
29 KT in the prevention and treatment of sports injuries. From 97 total articles, only ten met
30 the inclusion criteria (outcome data and control group were used). Of these ten studies,
31 only two investigated sports injuries (shoulder impingement) and only one involved injured
32 athletes. The healthy subjects were identified from a preventive standpoint. Overall, pain
33 relief from KT was not clinically relevant based on results. Range of motion improvements
34 was inconsistent, with a trend toward beneficial results. There was likely a proprioceptive
35 benefit regarding grip force sense error, but not ankle proprioception. Seven outcomes
36 relating to strength were beneficial, though numerous trivial findings occurred for
37 hamstrings, quadriceps, and grip strength measures. Some substantial effects on muscle
38 activity were noted, but it was unclear if these were harmful or beneficial. There was little
39 quality evidence to support the use of KT over other types of taping or versus control
40 groups in the management or prevention of injuries. ROM, strength, and force sense error
41 improvements may be noted in certain populations, but further research is needed to
42 confirm these findings. In particular, future studies need to focus on appropriate design to

1 improve the quality of research available. Parreira et al. (2014) conducted a systematic
2 review to evaluate if Kinesio® tape is more effective than no treatment or sham/placebo in
3 people with musculoskeletal conditions for the outcomes of pain intensity, disability,
4 quality of life, return to work and global impression of recovery. The review included 12
5 randomized trials involving 495 participants with various musculoskeletal conditions. It
6 was found that Kinesio Taping® was no better than sham taping/placebo and active
7 comparison groups. In addition, it was noted that for all comparisons where Kinesio
8 Taping® was found to be better than an active or a sham control group, the effect sizes
9 were small and probably not clinically significant or the trials were of low quality.

10
11 Montalvo et al. (2014) completed a systematic review and meta-analysis on the
12 effectiveness of KT on pain in individuals with musculoskeletal injuries. Results indicate
13 that KT may have limited potential for pain reduction of musculoskeletal injury; however
14 specific pain measures were not reduced beyond outcomes of other modalities identified
15 within the included studies. Authors suggest that KT may be used in addition or in place
16 of more traditional therapies, but more research is necessary. Lim and Tay (2015)
17 performed a systematic review with meta-analysis focused on pain and methods of tape
18 application. The authors compared the pain and disability in individuals with chronic
19 musculoskeletal pain who were treated with Kinesio Taping® with those using minimal or
20 other treatment approaches. Seventeen clinical-controlled trials were identified and
21 included in the meta-analyses. When compared to minimal intervention, Kinesio Taping®
22 was superior to minimal intervention for pain relief. However, existing evidence does not
23 establish the superiority of KT to other treatment approaches to reduce pain and disability
24 in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain.

25
26 There is insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature regarding the efficacy of
27 therapeutic elastic tape for treatment of any indication including musculoskeletal
28 conditions.

29
30 Tran et al. (2023) performed a systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy of
31 Kinesio Taping® in musculoskeletal disorders compared to other interventions. Twelve
32 electronic databases were used for systemic search and data relevant to pain and disability
33 were extracted. Meta-analysis was performed to compare the efficacy of Kinesio Taping®
34 to other modalities of musculoskeletal disorders. As a result, 36 studies were included in
35 the quantitative analysis. Kinesio Taping® was found to provide an improvement of both
36 pain and disability when applied to any region of the body. In the first five days of
37 application, Kinesio Taping® significantly reduced the pain in all body regions. This was
38 also noted after four-to-six weeks of application. When Kinesio Taping® was used for
39 disability in low back pain patients, it significantly reduced the disability within five days
40 of application. Finally, Kinesio Taping® has shown an improvement of the disability in all
41 body regions after four-to-six weeks of application. Authors concluded findings support
42 Kinesio Taping® as an adjuvant to other treatments for musculoskeletal disorders.

1 ***Rehabilitation for Neurologic Conditions***

2 In a single-center, randomized, and double-blind study, Karadag-Saygi and colleagues
 3 (2010) evaluated the effect of KT as an adjuvant therapy to botulinum toxin A (BTX-A)
 4 injection in lower extremity spasticity in 20 hemiplegic patients with spastic equinus foot.
 5 A clinical assessment was done before injection and at 2 weeks and 1, 3, and 6 months.
 6 Outcome measures were modified Ashworth scale (MAS), passive ankle dorsiflexion, gait
 7 velocity, and step length. Improvement was recorded in both KT and sham groups for all
 8 outcome variables. The application of KT combined with botulinum toxin A provided no
 9 superior effect compared to sham taping with botulinum toxin A. Improvements were seen
 10 for both groups, with the improvement in range of motion being the only outcome that was
 11 greater in the treatment group than the sham taping group. Simsek et al. (2011) studied the
 12 effects of KT on sitting posture, functional independence, and gross motor function in
 13 children with cerebral palsy. One group received taping to their trunk in addition to
 14 exercises focusing on tone, upper extremity (UE) activities, and sitting and balance
 15 reactions. The control group received only exercises. No direct effects of KT were observed
 16 on gross motor function and functional independence, though sitting posture (head, neck,
 17 foot position and arm, hand function) was affected positively. These results may imply that
 18 in clinical settings KT may be a beneficial assistive treatment approach when combined
 19 with physical therapy.
 20

21 Güçhan et al. (2017) reported on a systematic review that investigated the effectiveness of
 22 taping on the rehabilitation of children with cerebral palsy (CP). The review included nine
 23 papers with five randomized controlled trials, three case series, and one a single case study.
 24 Four papers were high quality according to the methodological critical forms of this review,
 25 and two of these found that taping was effective in increasing activity in children with CP.
 26 Seven papers used elastic tape, one paper used inelastic tape, and one used both types. The
 27 authors noted that despite some promising results supporting the use of taping by therapists
 28 as being a helpful method of reaching rehabilitation goals, the specifics of how and when
 29 to use taping to get the best effect remain unclear and that many more randomized
 30 controlled trials with larger sample sizes and standardized procedures for the application
 31 of taping are required. Cunha et al. (2017) systematically reviewed the evidence of the
 32 effects of elastic therapeutic taping on motor function in children with motor impairments.
 33 Final selection consisted of 12 manuscripts (five randomized controlled trials), published
 34 in the last 10 years. Among them, cerebral palsy (CP) was the most recurrent disorder
 35 ($n = 7$), followed by congenital muscular torticollis ($n = 2$) and brachial plexus palsy
 36 ($n = 2$). Positive results were associated with taping application: improvement in the upper
 37 limb function, gross motor skills, postural control, muscular balance, and performance in
 38 the dynamics functional and daily activities. Authors concluded that although clinical trials
 39 have indicated improvement in the postural control and functional activities with both,
 40 upper and lower limbs, and increase in the functional independency resulting from the
 41 taping use, higher quality studies and well-established protocols are needed to increase the
 42 confidence in applying elastic therapeutic taping to specific clinical conditions.

1 Elbasan et al. (2018) examined the combined effect of NDT, NMES and KT applications
2 on postural control and sitting balance in children with CP. Forty-five children, in 3 groups,
3 between the ages 5-12 years were included in the study. Group 1 received NDT; group 2
4 received NDT + NMES; and the group 3 received NDT + NMES + KT for 6 weeks. Sitting
5 function evaluated by the sitting section of the gross motor function measure (GMFM),
6 and postural control assessed with the seated postural control measurement (SPCM).
7 Seating section of GMFM was improved significantly in all the groups; however, increases
8 in the group 3 were higher than groups 1 and 2. Postural control was also improved in all
9 groups but the change in the third group was higher than groups 1 and 2. Authors concluded
10 that implementation of the NMES, and KT additionally to NDT improve the sitting posture,
11 postural control, seating function, and gross motor function in children with CP.

12
13 Inamdar et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on the effectiveness
14 of physical therapy interventions to improve sitting ability in young children with or at risk
15 for cerebral palsy (CP). Twelve unique studies met the inclusion criteria and were
16 categorized into one of two categories: (1) comparison of two physical therapy
17 interventions or (2) physical therapy plus adjunct versus physical therapy alone. Authors
18 concluded that there is a lack of strong evidence for physical therapy interventions targeting
19 sitting in young children with or at-risk for CP due to limitations in methodological rigor
20 and sample sizes. They did recognize that Kinesio Taping® may be an effective adjunct to
21 conventional physical therapy in improving sitting ability in children with spastic bilateral
22 CP. Aydin et al. (2021) investigated the acute effects of kinesiology taping (KT) on
23 physical performance, gait characteristics, and balance in early-stage Duchenne Muscular
24 Dystrophy (DMD). Forty-five children at early functional level of DMD were included. 6-
25 minute walk test (6MWT), and timed performance tests were performed; gait
26 characteristics, and balance were assessed before and one hour after taping. KT was applied
27 to bilateral quadriceps and tibialis anterior muscles. The comparison of assessments was
28 performed by using Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test. Significant increase in the distance of
29 6MWT, decrease in the duration of descending 4 steps, and 10 m walk timed performance
30 tests, improvements in all of the gait characteristics, and balance were determined after
31 taping. Authors concluded that KT has positive acute effects on performance and gait of
32 children with DMD at early functional level which encourages therapists to use KT as a
33 complementary approach in rehabilitation programs.

34
35 Deng et al. (2021) evaluated the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping® for the management of
36 hemiplegic shoulder pain. A total of nine studies ($n = 424$) met the inclusion criteria. A
37 meta-analysis demonstrated a significant effect of Kinesio Taping® on pain, motor
38 function of upper limb, magnitude of shoulder subluxation and activities of daily living
39 post-intervention. Authors concluded that this meta-analysis suggests a beneficial effect of
40 Kinesio Taping® for reducing shoulder subluxation, improving motor function of the
41 upper limb and activities of daily living in patients with hemiplegic shoulder pain post-
42 intervention, which could not be interpreted simply as a placebo effect. And it was

1 associated with reduced pain for patients with chronic stroke. Wang et al. (2022) evaluated
2 the efficacy of kinesiology taping on the functions of upper limbs in patients with stroke
3 and to collect the main outcomes evaluated in the analyzed studies. Twelve articles were
4 included. Pooled data provided evidence that there was significance between kinesiology
5 taping groups and control groups in pain intensity, shoulder subluxation, general disability,
6 upper extremity function, and the PROM of flexion. Authors concluded that the current
7 evidence suggested that kinesiology taping could be recommended to improve upper limb
8 function in patients with stroke in pain intensity, shoulder subluxation, general disability,
9 upper extremity function, and the PROM of flexion.

10 ***Performance and Function***

11 In a pilot study, Fu and associates (2008) examined the possible immediate and delayed
12 effects of KT on muscle strength in quadriceps and hamstring when taping is applied to the
13 anterior thigh of healthy young athletes. Muscle strength of the subject was assessed by the
14 isokinetic dynamometer under three conditions: (i) without taping; (ii) immediately after
15 taping; (iii) 12 hours after taping with the tape remaining in situ. The result revealed no
16 significant difference in muscle power among the three conditions. KT on the anterior thigh
17 neither decreased nor increased muscle strength in healthy non-injured young athletes.
18 Yoshida and Kahanov (2007) studied the effect of KT on lower trunk range of motion
19 (ROM). Fifteen persons received KT first and had ROM measured first with the tape and
20 then without the tape. The other 15 subjects were measured without tape first, followed by
21 measurements with tape. The subjects were taped with KT using the Y-shaped method for
22 the sacrospinalis muscle. Results suggested that KT may increase active range of motion
23 of lower trunk flexion even though no effect was identified for extension and lateral
24 flexion. The application of Kinesio® tape in a Y-flexion pattern may improve active range
25 of motion of trunk flexion in healthy subjects but needs to be examined in a population
26 with muscular pathology. Limitations of this study include small sample size, participants
27 without a low back injury and absence of a control group. No studies have specifically
28 studied the effects of KT on low back pain (LBP).

29
30
31 Chang et al. (2010) studied the immediate effect of forearm KT on maximal grip strength
32 and force sense in healthy college athletes. Twenty-one male subjects participated in the
33 study. Pre- and post-maximal grip strength measurements were taken. Fifty percent of
34 maximal grip strength was established as the reference value for the force sense part of the
35 study. Three conditions were tested: (i) without taping; (ii) with placebo taping; and (iii)
36 with KT. Results demonstrated no significant differences for maximal grip strength,
37 however force sense errors significantly increased the accuracy of the results under the
38 three conditions ($p < 0.05$). Chang et al. (2012) also looked at taping in baseball pitchers
39 with medial epicondylitis. This study suggested that forearm KT may affect pain levels and
40 force sense in the short term. It doesn't appear to affect maximal force production of wrist
41 flexors. Briem and colleagues (2011) examined the effect of 2 adhesive tape conditions
42 compared to a no-tape condition on muscle activity of the fibularis longus during a sudden

1 inversion perturbation in male athletes (soccer, team handball, basketball). Each participant
2 was tested under 3 conditions: (i) with the ankle taped with non-elastic, white sports tape,
3 (ii) Kinesio® tape, and (iii) with no tape. Significantly greater mean muscle activity was
4 found when ankles were taped with non-elastic tape compared to no tape, while KT had no
5 significant effect on mean or maximum muscle activity compared to the no-tape condition.
6 The authors concluded that non-elastic sports tape may enhance dynamic muscle support
7 of the ankle. The efficacy of KT in preventing ankle sprains via the same mechanism is
8 unlikely as it had no effect on muscle activation of the fibularis longus.

9
10 Wilson et al. (2016) investigated the immediate and long-term effects of the prescribed
11 application (for facilitation) of KT when applied to the dominant lower extremity of healthy
12 individuals. The hypothesis was that balance and functional performance would improve
13 with the prescribed application of KT versus the sham application. The application of
14 Kinesio® Tex tape (KTT) results, in theory, in the improvement of muscle contractibility
15 by supporting weakened muscles. The effect of KTT on muscle strength has been
16 investigated by numerous researchers who have theorized that KT facilitates an immediate
17 increase in muscle strength by generating a concentric pull on the fascia. The effect of KTT
18 on balance and functional performance has been controversial because of the
19 inconsistencies of tension and direction of pull required during application of KTT and
20 whether its use on healthy individuals provides therapeutic benefits. Seventeen healthy
21 subjects (9 males; 8 females) ranging from 18-35 years of age (mean age 23.3 ± 0.72),
22 volunteered to participate in this study. KTT was applied to the gastrocnemius of the
23 participant's dominant leg using a prescribed application to facilitate muscle performance
24 for the experimental group versus a sham application for the control group. The Biodex
25 Balance System and four hop tests were utilized to assess balance, proprioception, and
26 functional performance beginning on the first day including pre- and immediately post-
27 KTT application measurements. Subsequent measurements were performed 24, 72, and
28 120 hours after tape application. Results demonstrated that there were no significant
29 differences for main and interaction effects between KTT and sham groups for the balance
30 and four hop tests. Thus, authors concluded that the results of the present study did not
31 indicate any significant differences in balance and functional performance when KTT was
32 applied to the gastrocnemius muscle of the lower extremity.

33
34 Wang et al. (2018) compared the effect of Kinesio Taping® on ankle functional
35 performance with that of other taping methods (non-elastic taping) in healthy individuals
36 and patients with ankle sprain. Ten studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The Star
37 Excursion Balance Test results indicated that Kinesio Taping® was superior to other taping
38 methods (placebo taping or tension-free taping). Authors concluded that Kinesio Taping®
39 is superior to other taping methods (athletic taping) in ankle functional performance
40 improvement. Martonick et al. (2020) investigated whether Kinesio Taping® (KT)
41 improves factors of neuromuscular control in an athletic population when compared with
42 no-tape or nonelastic taping techniques. Authors found 5 randomized controlled studies

1 comparing the effects of KT with no-tape or nonelastic taping techniques on lower-
2 extremity neuromuscular control in an athletic population. Primary findings suggest KT is
3 not more effective than no-tape or nonelastic tape conditions at improving lower-extremity
4 neuromuscular control in a healthy population. Authors concluded that the current evidence
5 suggests that KT is ineffective for improving neuromuscular control at the ankle compared
6 with nonelastic tape or no-tape conditions. KT was also found to be ineffective at
7 improving hip and knee kinematics in healthy runners and cyclists. However, preliminary
8 research has demonstrated improved neuromuscular control in a population displaying
9 excessive knee valgus during a drop jump landing, after the application of KT. They
10 recommend that clinicians should be cautious of these conflicting results and apply the best
11 available evidence to their evaluation of the patient's status.

12
13 Yam et al. (2019) conducted a meta-analysis to determine the effectiveness of using a
14 facilitatory application of KT for lower limb muscle strength and functional performance
15 (distance in a single leg hop and vertical jump height) in individuals without disabilities
16 and in those with musculoskeletal conditions (muscle fatigue, chronic musculoskeletal
17 diseases, and post-operative orthopaedic conditions). Thirty-seven randomized controlled
18 trials were included. KT was superior to controls for improving lower limb muscle strength
19 in individuals with muscle fatigue and in individuals with chronic musculoskeletal diseases
20 with large effect sizes. The use of KT in populations without disabilities was not supported.
21 There is insufficient evidence for the effect of KT on functional performance in individuals
22 with musculoskeletal conditions. Authors concluded that contrary to prior research, the
23 existing evidence shows that KT can improve lower limb muscle strength in individuals
24 with muscle fatigue and chronic musculoskeletal diseases. The effect sizes produced in this
25 meta-analysis show that KT may be superior to some existing treatments for these
26 conditions. In addition, this study suggests that practitioners may wish to avoid the use of
27 KT in individuals without disabilities.

28
29 Martonick et al. (2020) investigated whether KT improves factors of neuromuscular
30 control in an athletic population when compared with no-tape or nonelastic taping
31 techniques. Authors found 5 randomized controlled studies comparing the effects of KT
32 with no-tape or nonelastic taping techniques on lower-extremity neuromuscular control in
33 an athletic population. Primary findings suggest KT is not more effective than no-tape or
34 nonelastic tape conditions at improving lower-extremity neuromuscular control in a
35 healthy population. Authors concluded that the current evidence suggests that KT is
36 ineffective for improving neuromuscular control at the ankle compared with nonelastic
37 tape or no-tape conditions. KT was also found to be ineffective at improving hip and knee
38 kinematics in healthy runners and cyclists. However, preliminary research has
39 demonstrated improved neuromuscular control in a population displaying excessive knee
40 valgus during a drop jump landing, after the application of KT. They recommend that
41 clinicians should be cautious of these conflicting results and apply the best available
42 evidence to their evaluation of the patient's status.

1 **Miscellaneous**

2 In a pilot feasibility study, Kalichman and colleagues (2010) evaluated the effect of a KT
 3 treatment approach on meralgia paresthetica (MP) symptoms. Main outcome measures
 4 were visual analog scale (VAS) of MP symptoms (pain/burning sensation/paresthesia) and
 5 VAS global quality of life (QOL); the longest and broadest parts of the symptom area were
 6 measured. In this single-group study, all outcome measures significantly improved after
 7 four (4) weeks of treatment. The authors concluded that KT can be used in the treatment
 8 of MP. Future randomized, placebo-controlled trials should be designed with patients and
 9 assessors blind to the type of intervention. Kalron and Bar-Sela (2013) reported on a
 10 systematic review that assessed the effects of therapeutic Kinesio Taping® (KT) on pain
 11 and disability in participants suffering from musculoskeletal, neurological, and lymphatic
 12 pathologies. Twelve met inclusion criteria. The final 12 articles were subdivided according
 13 to the basic pathological disorders: musculoskeletal ($N=9$) (4 randomized, controlled trials
 14 (RCT), 3 single-blinded RCT, 1 cross-over trial and 1 case-control study); neurological
 15 ($N=1$) RCT; and lymphatic ($N=2$) RCT. As to the effect on musculoskeletal disorders,
 16 moderate evidence was found supporting an immediate reduction in pain while wearing
 17 KT. In three out of six studies, reduction of pain was superior to that of the comparison
 18 group. However, the studies did not include support that indicated any long-term effect. In
 19 addition, no evidence was found connecting the KT application to elevated muscle strength
 20 or long-term improved range of movement. There was no evidence found to support the
 21 effectiveness of KT for neurological conditions. The authors concluded that although KT
 22 has been shown to be effective in aiding short-term pain, there is no firm evidence-based
 23 conclusion of the effectiveness of this application on the majority of movement disorders
 24 within a wide range of pathologic disabilities.

25
 26 Marotta et al. (2023) aimed at assessing the role of KT among the complex decongestive
 27 therapies (CDT) to treat breast cancer-related lymphedema (BCRL). Out of the documents
 28 identified, 123 were eligible for data screening, and only 7 RCTs satisfied the eligibility
 29 criteria and were included. Authors found that KT might have a positive effect on limb
 30 volume reduction in patients with BCRL, albeit there is little evidence for low quality of
 31 the included studies. Authors concluded that this systematic review showed that KT did
 32 not significantly reduce the upper limb volume in BCRL women, though it seemed to
 33 increase the flow rate during the passive exercise.

34
 35 Li et al. (2024) evaluated the potential benefits of Kinesio® tape in improving dysphagia
 36 symptoms in individuals who have experienced a stroke. A total of 12 randomized
 37 controlled trials consisting of 724 patients were included in the analysis. The results
 38 showed that the effective rate of Kinesio Taping®, swallowing function score, and quality
 39 of life score for patients with swallowing disorders were all superior to those of the
 40 controls. Authors concluded that Kinesio Taping® have been shown to improve
 41 swallowing function and nutritional status in patients with dysphagia in the pharyngeal
 42 phase.

1 **Rigid Therapeutic Taping**

2 ***Orthopedic Conditions***

3 Knee Conditions

4 Aminaka and Gribble (2008) completed a repeated measures design study looking at
 5 patellar taping, patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS), lower extremity kinematics and
 6 dynamic postural control. Twenty subjects with PFPS and 20 healthy control subjects
 7 participated in the study. Participants performed 3 reaches using the Star Excursion
 8 Balance Test with and without tape. Subjects were taped using the medial gliding technique
 9 established by Jenny McConnell. Results demonstrated a significant tape by group
 10 interaction for pain scores. The PFPS group had reduced pain with taping compared to the
 11 no tape condition and the PFPS had significantly higher pain in both tape conditions
 12 relative to the control group (as expected). For normalized reach distances, the PFPS group
 13 demonstrated less reaching distance than the control group in both tape conditions (again
 14 as expected). Additionally, the PFPS group demonstrated a significantly increased reaching
 15 distance with tape application vs. no tape. The control group showed a significantly
 16 reduced reach with tape vs. without tape. This study may support other study findings that
 17 taping reduces knee pain with resultant increases in neuromuscular activity and
 18 performance measures, such as this dynamic postural control test. Authors did not feel
 19 capable of confirming the underlying mechanism behind their findings.

20
 21 Callaghan and Selfe (2012) authored a Cochrane Review assessing the effects of patellar
 22 taping for treatment of patellofemoral pain syndrome in adults. Taping of the patella
 23 involves the application of adhesive sports medical tape (rigid, not elastic) to the front of
 24 the knee in a direction or directions that counter malalignment of the patella. Patients often
 25 respond with immediate improvement. Studies included in the review included RCTs and
 26 quasi-randomized controlled trials testing the effects of patella taping on pain and function.
 27 Five studies met this criterion, and the majority were at risk of bias. Two hundred
 28 participants with a diagnosis of patellofemoral pain syndrome were included in these
 29 studies. All studies compared taping versus control groups. Four trials included exercise as
 30 well. Given the significant heterogeneity and low quality of the studies, no conclusions
 31 could be drawn. Campolo et al. (2013) compared KT and McConnell taping and their effect
 32 on anterior knee pain during functional activities. Twenty subjects, mostly female, with
 33 unilateral anterior knee pain participated in this study. They performed a squat lift with a
 34 weighted box and stair climbing under 3 conditions: 1) no tape, 2) McConnell taping, and
 35 3) KT. Results found that KT and McConnell taping may be effective in reducing pain
 36 during stair climbing. Lee and Cho (2013) studied the effect of McConnell taping on the
 37 vastus medialis and lateralis activity during squatting in adults with PFPS. Sixteen patients
 38 with anterior knee pain received 3 conditions during a squatting activity: 1) no tape, 2)
 39 placebo taping, and 3) McConnell taping. Results suggest that McConnell taping improved
 40 vastus medialis activity, which authors suggest resulted from a change in patellar position.

1 Osorio et al. (2013) studied the effects of patellofemoral KT and McConnell taping on
2 strength, endurance, and pain. Twenty patients with PFPS participated in this study.
3 Outcome measures evaluated included isokinetic strength and endurance and perceived
4 pain. Results indicated that both taping methods improved clinical measures in patients
5 with PFPS with no significant differences between taping types. Leibbrandt and Louw
6 (2015) presented the available evidence for the effect of McConnell taping on knee
7 biomechanics in individuals with anterior knee pain. Eight heterogeneous studies with a
8 total sample of 220 were included in this review. Pooling of data was possible for three
9 outcomes: average knee extensor moment, average VMO/VL ratio and average VMO-VL
10 onset timing. None of these outcomes revealed significant differences. Authors concluded
11 that the evidence is currently insufficient to justify routine use of the McConnell taping
12 technique in the treatment of anterior knee pain. Chang et al. (2015) conducted a systematic
13 review comparing the effects of Kinesio Taping® with McConnell taping as a method of
14 conservative management of patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS). Ninety-
15 one articles were selected from the articles that were retrieved from the databases, and 11
16 articles were included in the analysis. Authors concluded that Kinesio Taping® technique
17 used for muscles can relieve pain but cannot change patellar alignment, unlike McConnell
18 taping. Both patellar tapings are used differently for PFPS patients and substantially
19 improve muscle activity, motor function, and quality of life.

20
21 Araújo et al. (2016) assessed the effect of patellar taping on muscle activation of the knee
22 and hip muscles in women with Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome during five proprioceptive
23 exercises. Forty sedentary women with syndrome were randomly allocated in two groups:
24 Patellar Taping (based in McConnell) and Placebo (vertical taping on patella without any
25 stretching of lateral structures of the knee). Volunteers performed five proprioceptive
26 exercises randomly: Swing apparatus, Mini-trampoline, Bosu balance ball, Anteroposterior
27 sway on a rectangular board and Mediolateral sway on a rectangular board. All exercises
28 were performed in one-leg stance position with injured knee at flexion of 30° during 15s.
29 Muscle activation was measured by surface electromyography across Vastus Medialis,
30 Vastus Lateralis and Gluteus Medius muscles. ANOVA results reported no significant
31 interaction ($P>0.05$) and no significant differences ($P>0.05$) between groups and
32 intervention effects in all exercise conditions. Significant differences ($P<0.01$) were only
33 reported between muscles, where hip presented higher activity than knee muscles. Patellar
34 taping is not better than placebo for changes in the muscular activity of both hip and knee
35 muscles during proprioceptive exercises. Logan et al. (2017) performed a systematic
36 review of the effect of taping techniques on patellofemoral pain syndrome. They
37 investigated the efficacy of knee taping in the management of PFPS and hypothesized that
38 tension taping and exercise would be superior to placebo taping and exercise as well as to
39 exercise or taping alone. Studies included consisted of RCTs with participants of all ages
40 who had anterior knee or patellofemoral pain symptoms and had received nonsurgical
41 management using any taping technique. Five RCTs with 235 total patients with multiple
42 intervention arms were included. Taping strategies included McConnell and Kinesio

1 Taping®. This systematic review supports knee taping only as an adjunct to traditional
 2 exercise therapy for PFPS; however, it does not support taping in isolation.

3
 4 Ouyang et al. (2017) sought to determine whether therapeutic taping, which includes elastic
 5 (Kinesio® tape) and non-elastic (Leukotape) taping, is superior to control taping in
 6 improving pain and functions for patients with knee arthritis. In total, 11 studies were
 7 included in the review. Of which, five Leukotaping and five Kinesio Taping® studies
 8 involving 379 participants were used in the meta-analysis. Authors concluded that
 9 therapeutic taping seemed to be superior to control taping in pain control for knee
 10 osteoarthritis. Non-elastic taping, but not elastic taping, provides benefits in pain reduction
 11 and functional performance. An international group of scientists and clinicians meets
 12 biennially at the International Patellofemoral Research Retreat to share research findings
 13 related to patellofemoral pain conditions and develop consensus statements using best
 14 practice methods. This consensus statement, from the 5th International Patellofemoral
 15 Research Retreat held in Australia in July 2017, focuses on exercise therapy and physical
 16 interventions (e.g., orthoses, taping and manual therapy) for patellofemoral pain.
 17 Recommendations from the expert panel support the use of exercise therapy (especially the
 18 combination of hip-focused and knee-focused exercises), combined interventions and foot
 19 orthoses to improve pain and/or function in people with patellofemoral pain. The use of
 20 patellofemoral, knee or lumbar mobilizations in isolation, or electrophysical agents, is not
 21 recommended. There is uncertainty regarding the use of patellar taping/bracing,
 22 acupuncture/dry needling, manual soft tissue techniques, blood flow restriction training
 23 and gait retraining in patients with patellofemoral pain (Collins et al., 2018).

24
 25 In the Patellofemoral Pain Clinical Practice Guideline from the Academy of Orthopaedic
 26 Physical Therapy of the American Physical Therapy Association authored by Willy et al.
 27 (2019), they recommend that clinicians may use tailored patellar taping in combination
 28 with exercise therapy to assist in immediate pain reduction, and to enhance outcomes of
 29 exercise therapy in the short term (4 weeks). Importantly, taping techniques may not be
 30 beneficial in the longer term or when added to more intensive physical therapy. Taping
 31 applied with the aim of enhancing muscle function is not recommended.

32
 33 Vander Doelen and Jelley (2020) determined the most effective non-surgical treatment
 34 interventions for reducing pain and improving function for patients with patellar
 35 tendinopathy. Studies considered for this systematic review were from peer-reviewed
 36 journals published between January 2012 and September 2017. All included studies used
 37 a visual analogue scale (VAS) to evaluate the participant's pain. Nine randomized
 38 controlled trials fit the inclusion criteria and were analyzed. One study found patellar
 39 strapping and sports taping to be effective for reduction in pain during sport and
 40 immediately after. Authors concluded that based on this one study, patellar strapping and
 41 sports taping demonstrated a short-term pain relieving and functional improvement effect
 42 in subjects with patellar tendinopathy. Wallis et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review

1 to evaluate clinical practice guidelines for the physical therapist management of
2 patellofemoral pain. Four clinical practice guidelines were included. One guideline
3 evaluated as higher quality provided the most clinically applicable set of recommendations
4 for examination, interventions, and evaluation processes to assess the effectiveness of
5 interventions. Guideline-recommended interventions were consistent for exercise therapy,
6 foot orthoses, patellar taping, patient education, and combined interventions and did not
7 recommend the use of electrotherapeutic modalities. Two guidelines evaluated as higher
8 quality did not recommend using manual therapy (in isolation), dry needling, and patellar
9 bracing. Authors concluded that recommendations from higher-quality clinical practice
10 guidelines may conflict with routine physical therapist management of patellofemoral pain.
11 This review provides guidance for clinicians to deliver high-value physical therapist
12 management of patellofemoral pain.

13
14 Duong et al. (2024) published a review paper on evaluation and treatment of knee pain.
15 The only knee condition where taping was recommended was for patellofemoral pain.
16 Authors suggest that for patellofemoral pain, hip and knee strengthening exercises in
17 combination with foot orthoses or patellar taping are recommended, with no indication for
18 surgery.

19 20 Shoulder Conditions

21 Selkowitz et al. (2007) provided moderate evidence to support the use of scapular taping
22 for lower trapezius facilitation and upper trapezius inhibition in subjects with SIS. It has
23 been hypothesized that scapular taping may normalize shoulder function during scapular
24 upward rotation by reducing upper trapezius activity and enhancing lower trapezius muscle
25 activity. Results indicated that when muscle activity was measured during a shelf lift task,
26 upper trapezius activity was significantly lower with taping, especially above 90 degrees.
27 Lower trapezius activity was also significantly higher with tape. No other muscles were
28 affected by the taping application.

29
30 Smith et al. (2009) investigated whether taping could change the muscle activity of the
31 upper and lower trapezius in subjects with subacromial impingement syndrome (SIS).
32 Sixteen subjects with SIS and 32 controls participated in the study. Surface EMG measured
33 the lower and upper trapezius muscle activity with and without taping during repeated
34 humeral elevation in the scapular plane. Symptomatic subjects demonstrated significantly
35 different muscle activity ratios than the control group, noting increased upper trapezius
36 activity over lower trapezius activity. Taping reduced this ratio significantly by reduction
37 of upper trapezius activity. It appears that taping can help to reduce the resultant trapezius
38 muscle imbalances that occur with SIS.

39
40 Miller and Osmotherly (2009) completed a pilot RCT on whether scapula taping facilitates
41 recovery for SIS symptoms. Twenty-two people were recruited into this study. Ten
42 received taping and normal treatment and 12 received normal treatment alone. Scapular

1 taping included 2 strips- one was anchored over the anterior deltoid and extending
2 posteriorly along the spine of the scapula; and the second strip was anchored over the
3 coracoids process and extended posteriorly in the line of pull of the lower trapezius. Normal
4 treatment included soft tissue massage, joint mobilizations, and scapular and rotator cuff
5 exercises. Primary outcome measures included the visual analogue scale for pain and the
6 SPADI questionnaire. Two weeks following commencement of treatment showed a trend
7 toward greater self-reported improvement in the taped group. These results were not
8 sustained at 6 weeks. The authors concluded that scapular taping may have a role in
9 treatment of SIS.

10
11 McConnell and McIntosh (2009) used rigid taping to reposition the humeral head of
12 asymptomatic tennis players to determine if internal and external rotation ROM was
13 altered. Eleven men and 10 female tennis players participated in the study. Results
14 indicated that ROM of each rotation condition increased immediately post taping to the
15 glenohumeral joint in the dominant arm of tennis players. McConnell et al. (2012) followed
16 up their previous study with injured athletes. The goal was to investigate the effect of taping
17 on passive and dynamic internal and external rotation ROM on uninjured and previously
18 injured overhead throwing athletes. Twenty-six overhead throwing athletes, seventeen (17)
19 with no history of shoulder injury and nine (9) with previous shoulder injury), participated
20 in this study. Results demonstrated taping the shoulder significantly increased the passive
21 ROM in both groups. A trend was also noted with increased dynamic rotational ROM in
22 the uninjured subjects but decreased the dynamic rotational ROM in the previously injured
23 group. Authors concluded that shoulder taping might provide increased protection for the
24 injured athlete by reducing dynamic shoulder rotation. They postulate that this may be due
25 to facilitation of better shoulder and scapular muscle control. Grampurohit et al. (2015)
26 systematically reviewed the efficacy of adhesive taping as an adjunct to physical
27 rehabilitation on outcomes related to body function and structure, activity, and participation
28 post-stroke. Fifteen studies met the inclusion criteria. Two used elastic tape and 13 used
29 rigid tape. The evidence quality ranged from poor to good, and included seven shoulder,
30 one wrist, two hip, one knee, and four ankle studies. There were four good-quality studies.
31 Preliminary evidence suggests that use of rigid adhesive tape as an adjunct may increase
32 the number of pain-free days at the shoulder. Evidence for the improvement of pain
33 intensity, range of motion, muscle tone, strength, or function with taping is inconclusive.
34 The evidence related to activity and participation is insufficient. The use of adhesive taping
35 post-stroke needs further and more rigorous research to compare the types, methods, and
36 dosage of taping.

37
38 Apeldoorn et al. (2017) assessed the effectiveness of individualized physiotherapy in
39 combination with rigid taping compared with individualized physiotherapy alone in
40 patients with subacromial pain syndrome. A total of 140 patients participated in the study.
41 The intervention group received individualized physiotherapy and shoulder taping. The
42 control group received individualized physiotherapy only. Primary outcomes were pain

1 intensity (numerical rating scale) and functioning (Simple Shoulder Test). Secondary
 2 outcomes were global perceived effect and patient-specific complaints. Data were
 3 collected at baseline, and at 4-, 12- and 26-weeks follow-up. Based on results, the authors
 4 concluded that rigid shoulder taping cannot be recommended for improving physiotherapy
 5 outcomes in people with subacromial pain syndrome.

6 7 Elbow/Wrist/Hand Conditions

8 A systematic review and meta-analysis (Bisset et al., 2005) of randomized, clinical trials
 9 of physical interventions for lateral epicondylalgia (tennis elbow) was performed.
 10 Regarding taping as a treatment for this condition, it was noted that, “No firm conclusions
 11 on orthotics or tape can be confidently drawn from the outcomes of only three studies that
 12 have different timelines for measurements and different comparison groups. Further
 13 research is required before any firm conclusions can be drawn.” Giray et al. (2019)
 14 compared efficacy of Kinesio Taping®, sham taping, or exercises only in the treatment of
 15 lateral epicondylitis. Subjects were 30 patients with lateral epicondylitis for less than 12
 16 weeks and randomized into 3 groups: Kinesio Taping® plus exercises ($n = 10$), sham taping
 17 plus exercises ($n = 10$), and control (exercises only) ($n = 10$) groups. All recipients were
 18 provided a home exercise program including strengthening and stretching exercises. In
 19 Kinesio Taping® and sham taping groups, tapings were performed and changed every 3-4
 20 d for 2 weeks. Authors concluded that Kinesio Taping® in addition to exercises is more
 21 effective than sham taping and exercises only in improving pain in daily activities and arm
 22 disability due to lateral epicondylitis. Balevi et al. (2021) aimed to evaluate the short term
 23 and residual effectiveness of the Kinesio Taping® method on pain, grip force, quality of
 24 life, and functionality. Subjects were 50 patients diagnosed with chronic unilateral lateral
 25 epicondylitis with a symptom duration of at least 12 weeks. During the first four weeks,
 26 the study group received a true inhibitor Kinesio Taping® while the control group received
 27 sham taping. In both groups, progressive stretching and strengthening exercises were given
 28 as a home program for six weeks. After the treatment, patients were evaluated by the first
 29 assessor who was blinded to taping types. There was a significant decrease in NRS scores
 30 overtime during the first four weeks in both groups and effect sizes were large. Authors
 31 concluded that the effects of Kinesio Taping® on muscle strength, quality of life, and
 32 function in chronic lateral epicondylitis are not superior to placebo. However, NRS scores
 33 showed that in the two weeks after Kinesio Taping® treatment, pain reduction persisted as
 34 a residual effect which may improve the exercise adherence and functionality.

35
 36 de Sire et al. (2021) investigated the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping® (KT) compared to
 37 a sham taping on symptoms and hand function in patients affected by mild CTS. Forty-two
 38 patients affected by mild CTS with symptoms for at least 8 weeks were enrolled and
 39 randomly allocated into two groups: KT group, according to the technique proposed by
 40 Kase plus specific exercises; control group, undergoing a sham taping plus specific
 41 exercise. All patients performed 2 sessions/week for 5 weeks of exercises of mobilization
 42 of fingers and carpal joint. At the baseline, after 5 weeks (T1), and after 6 months (T2), a

1 physician unaware of patients' allocation assessed the Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire
 2 (BCTQ) symptom (BCTQ-S) and functional (BCTQ-F) subscales. At T1, in both groups,
 3 significant improvement in hand function and symptoms was noted. At T2, only in the KT
 4 group there was a significant difference in both sub-items of primary outcome. There were
 5 significantly better results in the KT group at T1 and T2. The present study showed that
 6 KT compared to a sham taping might be more effective in reducing perceived symptoms
 7 in mild CTS patients, reporting a clinically significant difference. Authors concluded that
 8 KT might be considered as an effective technique combined to rehabilitative treatment in
 9 terms of hand function and symptoms in patients affected by mild CTS.

10 Musculoskeletal Conditions

11 Cupler et al. (2020) summarized and map the evidence related to taping methods used for
 12 various joints and conditions of the musculoskeletal system. Eligible studies were selected
 13 by two independent reviewers and included either systematic reviews (SRs) or randomized
 14 controlled trials (RCTs) and included a musculoskeletal complaint using a clinical outcome
 15 measure. Twenty-five musculoskeletal conditions were summarized from forty-one SRs
 16 and 127 RCTs. There were 6 SRs and 49 RCTs for spinal conditions. Kinesio® tape was
 17 the most common type of tape considered. There is mixed quality evidence of effectiveness
 18 for the different types of taping methods for different body regions and conditions. Results
 19 included the following:
 20

21 Lower Extremity

- 22 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment plan of PFPS
 23 is equivocal. There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of McConnell taping
 24 (Mc-T) in the treatment plan of PFPS is equivocal.
- 25 • There is strong evidence that rigid taping is a useful adjunctive treatment in the
 26 management of pain and function in the short-term for patients with knee OA.
- 27 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment of knee OA is
 28 favorable.
- 29 • There is moderate evidence that Mc-T is favorable in the treatment of pain and
 30 function for knee OA.
- 31 • There is promising weak evidence that rigid taping is superior to cast
 32 immobilization for recurrence of lateral patellar dislocation.
- 33 • There is promising weak evidence that KT is superior to orthotics for the
 34 management of tibial stress syndrome with respect to pain and function.
- 35 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of rigid taping in the treatment plan
 36 of grade II and grade III ankle sprains is equivocal.
- 37 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment plan of grade
 38 II and grade III ankle sprains is unfavorable.
- 39 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of rigid taping in the treatment of
 40 plantar fasciitis or heel pain is equivocal.
- 41

- 1 • There is promising weak evidence that KT taping may provide adjunctive benefit
- 2 to multimodal conservative treatment for plantar fasciitis or heel pain.
- 3 • There is promising weak evidence that Mulligan taping may provide adjunctive
- 4 benefit to multimodal conservative treatment for plantar fasciitis or heel pain.

6 Upper Extremity

- 7 • There is moderate evidence that rigid taping provides additional improvement to
- 8 exercise and manual therapy for the treatment of SIS conditions.
- 9 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment plan of SIS is
- 10 equivocal.
- 11 • There is promising weak evidence that Mulligan taping adds benefit to manual
- 12 therapy in the treatment of SIS conditions.
- 13 • There is promising weak evidence that rigid taping is a useful adjunct to physical
- 14 therapy for pain or disability in the treatment of lateral epicondylalgia.
- 15 • There is moderate evidence that the use of KT as adjunct to physical therapy for
- 16 pain or disability in the treatment of lateral epicondylalgia is equivocal.
- 17 • There is moderate evidence that the use of KT in the treatment of pain and disability
- 18 for carpal tunnel syndrome is equivocal.
- 19 • There is promising weak evidence that KT provides benefits to improve pain or
- 20 swelling in the treatment of de Quervain’s syndrome.
- 21 • There is promising weak evidence that rigid tape provides benefit to improve pain
- 22 and function in the treatment of dorsal wrist pain.
- 23 • There is moderate evidence that KT to improve pain or functional improvement in
- 24 the treatment of OA of the proximal interphalangeal joint is equivocal.

26 Spine

- 27 • There is moderate quality evidence that KT provides adjunctive benefit to minimal
- 28 care for pain control for the treatment of acute low back pain.
- 29 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment plan of lumbar
- 30 disc herniation is equivocal.
- 31 • There is moderate evidence that KT is beneficial for improving pain and disability
- 32 for the treatment of pregnancy-related low back pain.
- 33 • There is moderate evidence that KT is beneficial for improving pain and function
- 34 for the treatment of diastasis recti abdominis.
- 35 • There is strong evidence that KT improves pain and disability in patients with
- 36 chronic non-specific low back pain.
- 37 • There is weak quality evidence that rigid tape is superior to no treatment for pain
- 38 and function for the treatment of sacroiliac joint dysfunction.
- 39 • There is moderate evidence that KT alone or as part of multimodal rehabilitation is
- 40 equivocal in the treatment of pain and kyphotic angle in cases of postmenopausal
- 41 osteoporosis.

- 1 • There is strong evidence that KT for mechanical neck pain is discouraged.
- 2 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment plan of upper
- 3 trapezius pain is equivocal.
- 4 • There is moderate evidence that the inclusion of KT in the treatment plan of
- 5 whiplash associated neck pain is equivocal.

6 Miscellaneous

- 8 • There is moderate evidence that KT is not superior in the treatment of pain and
- 9 disability compared to occlusal splint, ischemic compression, or exercise in people
- 10 with temporomandibular joint dysfunction.
- 11 • There is weak evidence that KT is not beneficial for pain and function in patients
- 12 with myofascial pain syndrome.
- 13 • There is weak evidence that rigid taping may be beneficial for pain and function in
- 14 people with active osteoporotic compression fractures.

15 *Neurologic Conditions*

16 Shoulder Pain

17 Hanger et al. (2000) completed an RCT of strapping to prevent post-stroke shoulder pain.
 18 Often patients who have suffered a stroke with resultant hemiplegia experience shoulder
 19 pain due to instability and tissue stress. Authors suggest that strapping, using rigid taping
 20 methods, may prevent shoulder pain, assist with reducing the severity of pain, maintain
 21 ROM, and improve functional outcomes for the upper extremity and patient. All 98 patients
 22 included in the study had weakness of shoulder abduction. The treatment group received
 23 strapping for 6 weeks in addition to standard physical therapy. The control group received
 24 only standard care with no strapping. No significant differences were noted for pain, ROM,
 25 or functional outcomes after each assessment. There was trend for pain reduction at 6
 26 weeks and upper limb function at the final assessment.

27
 28
 29 Griffin and Bernhardt (2006) also conducted an RCT on hemiplegic shoulder pain and
 30 strapping. They wanted to determine whether therapeutic strapping of the ‘at risk’ shoulder
 31 prevented or delayed pain in the shoulder of hemiplegic patients. Thirty-three ‘at risk’
 32 patients were identified based on whether muscle function was low or non-existent around
 33 the shoulder. They were then randomized into two groups- therapeutic or placebo strapping
 34 for 4 weeks. The third or “control” group received standard care without taping. Results
 35 demonstrated a significant higher number of pain-free days between the therapeutic
 36 strapping group and the control group (26.2 vs. 15.9 days). ROM and function improved
 37 but no significant differences were noted between groups. Placebo strapping also had an
 38 effect, but a larger sample size is needed to confirm whether there are differences between
 39 the therapeutic and placebo strapping.

1 Hip Conditions
 2 Kilbreath et al. (2006) completed a study on gluteal taping and its impact on hip extension
 3 in walking following stroke. McConnell has described gluteal taping as a strategy to
 4 improve hip and pelvis mechanics in patients with chronic low back pain. She hypothesized
 5 that taping may reduce the effective muscle length, placing it at a mechanical advantage.
 6 It may also restrict flexion of the hip or improve proprioception at the hip joint as well.
 7 This study attempted to relate these theories to gait following stroke. Fifteen volunteers
 8 with a history of stroke participated in this study. Three conditions were completed- control
 9 with no tape, gluteal taping, and sham taping. Gluteal taping used three strips; one going
 10 medial to lateral and superior to greater trochanter, another from medial aspect to top of
 11 buttock, and third from the superior end of the second piece of tape to the greater trochanter.
 12 Sham taping included two pieces, both placed horizontally across the buttock. Findings
 13 demonstrated that gluteal taping resulted in an immediate improvement in hip extension at
 14 the end of single support, with a small increase in step length on the unaffected side. As
 15 soon as the tape was removed the change was lost. The mechanism of effect of gluteal
 16 taping was not confirmed; however, authors postulate that proprioceptive alterations are
 17 not likely given that sham taping did not result in any change.

18

19 **CODING/BILLING INFORMATION**

20 Note: 1) This list of codes may not be all-inclusive. 2) Deleted codes and codes which are
 21 not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement.

22

23 **Strapping of Hand or Finger**

24 **Considered Medically Necessary when criteria in the applicable policy statements**
 25 **listed above are met:**

CPT®* Code	CPT® Code Description
29280	Strapping; hand or finger

26

27 **Strapping of Ankle or Foot**

28 **Considered Medically Necessary when criteria in the applicable policy statements**
 29 **listed above are met:**

CPT®* Code	CPT® Code Description
29540	Strapping; ankle and/or foot

1 **Strapping of Toes**
 2 **Considered Medically Necessary when criteria in the applicable policy statements**
 3 **listed above are met:**

CPT®* Code	CPT® Code Description
29550	Strapping; toes

4
 5 **Considered Not Medically Necessary**

CPT®* Code	CPT® Code Description
29200	Strapping; thorax
29240	Strapping; shoulder (e.g., Velpeau)
29260	Strapping; elbow or wrist
29520	Strapping; hip
29530	Strapping; knee
29799†	Unlisted procedure, casting or strapping

6 †Note: Unproven when used to report strapping of the back.
 7 *Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) ©Current Year American Medical
 8 Association: Chicago, IL.

9
 10 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

11 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their
 12 education training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may
 13 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner
 14 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services.

15
 16 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a patient only if
 17 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared
 18 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently
 19 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and expert training, it
 20 would be best practice to refer the patient to the more expert practitioner.

21
 22 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or
 23 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a
 24 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular

1 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
2 for Hospitals, 2020).

3
4 Depending on the practitioner’s scope of practice, training, and experience, a member’s
5 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the
6 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent
7 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their
8 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as
9 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* policy for
10 information.

11 REFERENCES

12 Added MA, Costa LO, de Freitas DG, Fukuda TY, Monteiro RL, Salomão EC, de Medeiros
13 FC, Costa Lda C. Kinesio Taping Does Not Provide Additional Benefits in Patients
14 With Chronic Low Back Pain Who Receive Exercise and Manual Therapy:
15 Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2016 Jul; 46(7):506-13
16

17
18 Ager AL, de Oliveira FCL, Roy JS, Borms D, Deraedt M, Huyge M, Deschepper A, Cools
19 AM. Effects of elastic kinesiology taping on shoulder proprioception: a systematic
20 review. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2023 May-Jun;27(3):100514
21

22 Al-Shareef AT, Omar MT, Ibrahim AH. Effect of Kinesio Taping on Pain and Functional
23 Disability in Chronic Nonspecific Low Back Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Spine*
24 2016 Jul 15; 41(14):E821-8
25

26 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Management of Distal Radius
27 Fracture Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. Published December 5, 2020.
28 Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from [https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-extremity-programs/distal-radius-fractures/)
29 [programs/upper-extremity-programs/distal-radius-fractures/](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-extremity-programs/distal-radius-fractures/)
30

31 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Management of Glenohumeral
32 Joint Osteoarthritis Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline.. Published Marc 23,
33 2020. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from [https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-extremity-programs/glenohumeral-joint-osteoarthritis/)
34 [programs/upper-extremity-programs/glenohumeral-joint-osteoarthritis/](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-extremity-programs/glenohumeral-joint-osteoarthritis/)
35

36 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Management of Osteoarthritis of
37 the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. Published
38 August 30, 2021. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
39 [https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/lower-extremity-](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/lower-extremity-programs/osteoarthritis-of-the-knee)
40 [programs/osteoarthritis-of-the-knee](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/lower-extremity-programs/osteoarthritis-of-the-knee)

- 1 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Finger Fractures. Available at:
2 Accessed May 20, 2024. <http://orthoinfo.aaos.org/topic.cfm?topic=A00257>
3
- 4 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Management of Carpal Tunnel
5 Syndrome Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. Published February 29, 2016.
6 Retrieved May 20, 2024 from [https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-extremity-programs/carpal-tunnel-syndrome/)
7 [extremity-programs/carpal-tunnel-syndrome/](https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/upper-extremity-programs/carpal-tunnel-syndrome/)
8
- 9 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Toe and Forefoot Fractures.
10 Accessed May 20, 2024. Available at:
11 <http://orthoinfo.aaos.org/topic.cfm?topic=A00165>
12
- 13 American Medical Association. (current year). *Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)*
14 *current year* (rev. ed.). Chicago: AMA
15
- 16 Aminaka N, Gribble PA. A systematic review of the effects of therapeutic taping on
17 patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Athl Train*. 2005 Oct-Dec; 40(4):341-51
18
- 19 Aminaka N, Gribble PA. Patellar taping, patellofemoral pain syndrome, lower extremity
20 kinematics, and dynamic postural control. *J Athl Train*. 2008 Jan-Mar; 43(1):21-8
21
- 22 Andermahr J, Helling HJ, Maintz D, et al. The injury of the calcaneocuboid ligaments.
23 *Foot Ankle Int*. 2000; 21(5):379-384
24
- 25 Apeldoorn AT, Kamper SJ, Kalter J, Knol DL, van Tulder MW, Ostelo RW. Rigid shoulder
26 taping with physiotherapy in patients with subacromial pain syndrome: A randomized
27 controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med*. 2017;49(4):347-353. doi:10.2340/16501977-2214
28
- 29 Araújo CG, de Souza Guerino Macedo C, Ferreira D, Shigaki L, da Silva RA. McConnell's
30 patellar taping does not alter knee and hip muscle activation differences during
31 proprioceptive exercises: A randomized placebo-controlled trial in women with
32 patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2016 Sep 21; 31:72-80
33
- 34 Araujo AC, do Carmo Silva Parreira P, Junior LCH, et al. Medium term effects of kinesio
35 taping in patients with chronic non-specific low back pain: a randomized controlled
36 trial. *Physiotherapy*. 2018;104(1):149-151
37
- 38 Araya-Quintanilla F, Gutiérrez-Espinoza H, Sepúlveda-Loyola W, Probst V, Ramírez-
39 Vélez R, Álvarez-Bueno C. Effectiveness of kinesiostaping in patients with subacromial
40 impingement syndrome: A systematic review with meta-analysis [published online
41 ahead of print, 2021 Oct 16]. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2021;10.1111/sms.14084

- 1 Ardèvol J, Bolívar I, Belda V, Argilaga S. Treatment of complete rupture of the lateral
2 ligaments of the ankle: a randomized clinical trial comparing cast immobilization with
3 functional treatment. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2002; 10(6):371-377
4
- 5 Arnold BL, Docherty CL. Bracing and rehabilitation--what's new. *Clin Sports Med.* 2004;
6 23(1):83-95. Barouk P, Bohay DR, Trnka HJ, et al. Lesser metatarsal surgery. *Foot*
7 *Ankle Spec.* 2010; 3(6):356-360
8
- 9 Azimi A, Dizaji SR, Tabatabaei FS, Safari S, Nakhaei Amroodi M, Azimi AF. Effect of
10 Postoperative Kinesio Taping on Knee Edema, Pain, and Range of Motion After Total
11 Knee Arthroplasty and Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Systematic
12 Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *JBJS Rev.*
13 2024;12(3):e23.00221. Published 2024 Mar 15. doi:10.2106/JBJS.RVW.23.00221
14
- 15 Aydin Yağcıoğlu G, Alemdaroğlu Gürbüz İ, Karaduman A, Bulut N, Yilmaz Ö.
16 Kinesiology Taping in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Acute Effects on Performance,
17 Gait Characteristics, and Balance. *Dev Neurorehabil.* 2021;24(3):199-204.
18 doi:10.1080/17518423.2020.1839805
19
- 20 Balevi ISY, Karaoglan B, Batur EB, Acet N. Evaluation of short-term and residual effects
21 of Kinesio taping in chronic lateral epicondylitis: A randomized, double-blinded,
22 controlled trial [published online ahead of print, 2021 Nov 1]. *J Hand Ther.*
23 2021;S0894-1130(21)00144-7
24
- 25 Bassett B. Proximal phalanx fractures. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham,
26 MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/proximal-phalanx-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/proximal-phalanx-fractures?search=proximal%20phalanx%20fracture%20finger&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1%7E150&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
27 [fractures?search=proximal%20phalanx%20fracture%20finger&source=search_result](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/proximal-phalanx-fractures?search=proximal%20phalanx%20fracture%20finger&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1%7E150&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
28 [&selectedTitle=1%7E150&usage_type=default&display_rank=1](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/proximal-phalanx-fractures?search=proximal%20phalanx%20fracture%20finger&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1%7E150&usage_type=default&display_rank=1)
29
30
- 31 Batista NP, de Oliveira Silva D, Mochizuki L, Norte GE, Bazett-Jones DM. Clinic- and
32 laboratory-based measures of postural control in patellofemoral pain: A systematic
33 review with meta-analysis and evidence gap map. *Gait Posture.* 2024 Mar;109:189-200
34
- 35 Bicici S, Karatas N, Baltaci G. Effect of athletic taping and kinesiotaping® on
36 measurements of functional performance in basketball players with chronic inversion
37 ankle sprains. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2012 Apr;7(2):154-66
38
- 39 Bisset L, Paungmali A, Vicenzino B, Beller E. A systematic review and meta-analysis of
40 clinical trials on physical interventions for lateral epicondylalgia. *Br J Sports Med.*
41 2005 Jul;39(7):411-22; discussion 411-22

- 1 Biundo JJ. Bursitis, tendinitis, and other periarticular disorders and sports medicine. In:
2 Goldman L, Schafer AI, editors. Cecil textbook of medicine. 24th ed. Philadelphia, PA:
3 W.B. Saunders Company; 2012
4
- 5 Biz C, Nicoletti P, Tomasin M, Bragazzi NL, Di Rubbo G, Ruggieri P. Is Kinesio Taping
6 Effective for Sport Performance and Ankle Function of Athletes with Chronic Ankle
7 Instability (CAI)? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Medicina (Kaunas)*.
8 2022;58(5):620. Published 2022 Apr 29. doi:10.3390/medicina58050620
9
- 10 Boutis K. Metatarsal and toe fractures in children. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed),
11 UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
12 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/metatarsal-and-toe-fractures-in-children>
13
- 14 Braakman M, Oderwald EE, Haentjens MH. Functional taping of fractures of the 5th
15 metacarpal results in a quicker recovery. *Injury*. 1998; 29(1):5-9
16
- 17 Brandon R. Taped for recovery: exploring therapeutic taping for treatment of sports
18 injuries. *Rehab Manag*. 2011 Mar; 24(2):26, 28-9
19
- 20 Briem, K., Eythörðsdóttir, H., Magnúsdóttir, R. G., Pálmarrsson, R., Rúnarsdóttir, T, and
21 Sveinsson, T. (2011). Effects of kinesio tape compared with non-elastic sports tape and
22 the untaped ankle during a sudden inversion perturbation in male athletes. *Journal of*
23 *Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 41(5), 328-335
24
- 25 Brunton LM, Graham TJ, Atkinson RE. Hand Injuries. In: Miller MD, Thompson SR,
26 Delee & Drez's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine. 4th Ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, an
27 imprint of Elsevier Inc; 2014
28
- 29 Buchbinder R. Plantar fasciitis. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
30 Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/plantar-fasciitis>
31
- 32 Callaghan MJ, Selfe J. Patellar taping for patellofemoral pain syndrome in adults. *Cochrane*
33 *Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Apr 18;4:CD006717
34
- 35 Campbell WW, Landau ME. Controversial entrapment neuropathies. *Neurosurg Clin N*
36 *Am*. 2008 Oct;19(4):597-608, vi-vii
37
- 38 Campolo M, Babu J, Dmochowska K, Scariah S, Varughese J. A comparison of two taping
39 techniques (kinesio and mcconnell) and their effect on anterior knee pain during
40 functional activities. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2013 Apr; 8(2):105-10

- 1 Canale T, Beatty JH, editors: Campbell's operative orthopaedics. 12th ed. Philadelphia:
2 Mosby, Inc., an affiliate of Elsevier Inc; 2013
3
- 4 Carcia CR, Martin RL, Houck J, Wukich DK; Orthopaedic Section of the American
5 Physical Therapy Association. Achilles pain, stiffness, and muscle power deficits:
6 achilles tendinitis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2010 Sep;40(9):A1-26
7
- 8 Castro-Sánchez AM, Lara-Palomo IC, Matarán-Peñarrocha GA, Fernández-Sánchez M,
9 Sánchez-Labraca N, Arroyo-Morales M. Kinesio Taping reduces disability and pain
10 slightly in chronic non-specific low back pain: a randomised trial. *J Physiother.*
11 2012;58(2):89-95
12
- 13 Celik D, Karaborklu Argut S, Coban O, Eren I. The clinical efficacy of kinesio taping in
14 shoulder disorders: a systematic review and meta analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* 2020
15 Jun;34(6):723-740
16
- 17 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD):
18 Outpatient Physical and Occupational Therapy Services (L33631). Retrieved on May
19 20, 2024 from [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
20 [details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advance](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
21 [d&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
22 [%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
23 [%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
24 [laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&b](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
25 [c=IAAAABgAAAA&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=33631&ver=51&NCDId=72&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCD%7cTA&ArticleType=Ed%7cKey%7cSAD%7cFAQ&PolicyType=Final&s=%26mdash%3b-%7c5%7c6%7c66%7c67%7c9%7c38%7c63%7c41%7c64%7c65%7c44&Keyword=laser+procedures&KeywordLookUp=Doc&KeywordSearchType=And&kq=true&bc=IAAAABgAAAA&)
26
- 27 Chalmer J, Blakeway M, Adams Z, Milan SJ. Conservative interventions for treating
28 hyperextension injuries of the proximal interphalangeal joints of the fingers. *Cochrane*
29 *Database Syst Rev.* 2013;(2):CD009030
30
- 31 Chang HY, Chou KY, Lin JJ, Lin CF, Wang CH. Immediate effect of forearm
32 Kinesiotaping on maximal grip strength and force sense in healthy collegiate athletes.
33 *Phys Ther Sport.* 2010 Nov; 11(4):122-7
34
- 35 Chang HY, Wang CH, Chou KY, Cheng SC. Could forearm kinesio taping improve
36 strength, force sense, and pain in baseball pitchers with medial epicondylitis? *Clin J*
37 *Sport Med.* 2012 Jul; 22(4):327-33
38
- 39 Chang WD, Chen FC, Lee CL, Lin HY, Lai PT. Effects of Kinesio Taping versus
40 McConnell Taping for Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-
41 Analysis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2015; 2015:471208

- 1 Chen L, Ferreira ML, Beckenkamp PR, Caputo EL, Feng S, Ferreira PH. Comparative
2 Efficacy and Safety of Conservative Care for Pregnancy-Related Low Back Pain: A
3 Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Phys Ther.* 2021;101(2):pzaa200
4
- 5 Chen P, Wang L, Zhou W, Wang L. Efficacy on knee function of Kinesio taping among
6 individuals with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review. *PLoS*
7 *One.* 2024 Feb 29;19(2):e0299008
8
- 9 Chiodo CP, Jupiter JB, Ring D. Approach to Common Problems of the Foot and Ankle. In:
10 Goroll, AH, Mulley AG editors. *Primary Care Medicine: Office Evaluation and*
11 *Management of the Adult Patient*, 6th Ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;
12 Philadelphia: 2009
13
- 14 Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, Skelly A, Hashimoto R, Weimer M, et al. Noninvasive
15 Treatments for Low Back Pain. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 169. (Prepared
16 by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-
17 2012-00014-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 16-EHC004-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for
18 Healthcare Research and Quality; February 2016. Accessed May 20, 2024. Available
19 at URL address: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0086177/>
20
- 21 Chou R, Huffman LH; American Pain Society; American College of Physicians.
22 Nonpharmacologic therapies for acute and chronic low back pain: a review of the
23 evidence for an American Pain Society/American College of Physicians clinical
24 practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007 Oct 2;147(7):492-504
25
- 26 Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, Casey D, Cross JT Jr, Shekelle P, Owens DK; Clinical
27 Efficacy Assessment Subcommittee of the American College of Physicians; American
28 College of Physicians; American Pain Society Low Back Pain Guidelines Panel.
29 Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the
30 American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007
31 Oct 2;147(7):478-91
32
- 33 Clarke NM, Castaneda P. Strategies to improve nonoperative childhood management.
34 *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2012 Jul;43(3):281-9
35
- 36 Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel, Thomas JL, Blitch EL 4th, Chaney
37 DM, Dinucci KA, Eickmeier K, Rubin LG, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of forefoot
38 disorders. Section 1: digital deformities. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2009 Mar-Apr;48(2):230-
39 8
40
- 41 Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel, Thomas JL, Blitch EL 4th, Chaney
42 DM, Dinucci KA, Eickmeier K, Rubin LG, Stapp MD, Vanore JV. Diagnosis and

1 treatment of forefoot disorders. Section 1: digital deformities. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2009d
2 May-Jun;48(3):418.e1-9

3
4 Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel, Thomas JL, Blitch EL 4th, Chaney
5 DM, Dinucci KA, Eickmeier K, Rubin LG, Stapp MD, Vanore JV. Diagnosis and
6 treatment of forefoot disorders. Section 2. Central metatarsalgia. J Foot Ankle Surg.
7 2009e Mar-Apr;48(2):239-50

8
9 Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel, Thomas JL, Blitch EL 4th, Chaney
10 DM, Dinucci KA, Eickmeier K, Rubin LG, Stapp MD, Vanore JV. Diagnosis and
11 treatment of forefoot disorders. Section 3. Morton's intermetatarsal neuroma. J Foot
12 Ankle Surg. 2009a Mar-Apr;48(2):251-6

13
14 Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel, Thomas JL, Blitch EL 4th, Chaney
15 DM, Dinucci KA, Eickmeier K, Rubin LG, Stapp MD, Vanore JV. Diagnosis and
16 treatment of forefoot disorders. Section 4. Tailor's bunion. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2009c
17 Mar-Apr;48(2):257-63

18
19 Clinical Practice Guideline Forefoot Disorders Panel, Thomas JL, Blitch EL 4th, Chaney
20 DM, Dinucci KA, Eickmeier K, Rubin LG, Stapp MD, Vanore JV. Diagnosis and
21 treatment of forefoot disorders. Section 5. Trauma. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2009b Mar-
22 Apr;48(2):264-72

23
24 Collins NJ, Barton CJ, van Middelkoop M, Callaghan MJ, Rathleff MS, Vicenzino BT,
25 Davis IS, Powers CM, Macri EM, Hart HF, de Oliveira Silva D, Crossley KM. 2018
26 Consensus statement on exercise therapy and physical interventions (orthoses, taping
27 and manual therapy) to treat patellofemoral pain: recommendations from the 5th
28 International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat, Gold Coast, Australia, 2017. Br J
29 Sports Med. 2018 Sep;52(18):1170-1178

30
31 Csapo R, Alegre LM. Effects of Kinesio® taping on skeletal muscle strength-A meta-
32 analysis of current evidence. J Sci Med Sport. 2014 Jun 27

33
34 Cunha AB, Lima-Alvarez CD, Rocha ACP, Tudella E. Effects of elastic therapeutic taping
35 on motor function in children with motor impairments: a systematic review. Disabil
36 Rehabil. 2018 Jul;40(14):1609-1617

37
38 Cupler ZA, Alrwaily M, Polakowski E, Mathers KS, Schneider MJ. Taping for conditions
39 of the musculoskeletal system: an evidence map review. Chiropr Man Therap. 2020
40 Sep 15;28(1):52

- 1 Danazumi MS, Ibrahim SU, Yakasai AM, Dermody G, Bello B, Kaka B. A Comparison
 2 Between the Effect of Combined Chain Exercises Plus Kinesio Taping With Combined
 3 Chain Exercises Alone in Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Am J Phys
 4 Med Rehabil.* 2021;100(11):1070-1077. doi:10.1097/PHM.0000000000001705
 5
- 6 Delitto A, George SZ, Van Dillen LR, Whitman JM, Sowa G, Shekelle P, et al.;
 7 Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association. Low back pain. *J
 8 Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2012 Apr;42(4):A1-57
 9
- 10 Deng P, Zhao Z, Zhang S, Xiao T, Li Y. Effect of kinesio taping on hemiplegic shoulder
 11 pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin
 12 Rehabil.* 2021;35(3):317-331
 13
- 14 de Oliveira FCL, Pairot de Fontenay B, Bouyer LJ, Desmeules F, Roy JS. Kinesiotaping
 15 for the Rehabilitation of Rotator Cuff-Related Shoulder Pain: A Randomized Clinical
 16 Trial. *Sports Health.* 2021;13(2):161-172
 17
- 18 de Sire A, Curci C, Ferrara M, et al. Efficacy of kinesio taping on hand functioning in
 19 patients with mild carpal tunnel syndrome. A double-blind randomized controlled trial
 20 [published online ahead of print, 2021 Apr 14]. *J Hand Ther.* 2021;S0894-
 21 1130(21)00058-2
 22
- 23 Djordjevic OC, Vukicevic D, Katunac L, Jovic S. Mobilization with movement and
 24 kinesiotaping compared with a supervised exercise program for painful shoulder:
 25 results of a clinical trial. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2012 Jul;35(6):454-63
 26
- 27 Draper TR. Non-Achilles ankle tendinopathy. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate,
 28 Waltham, MA. (Accessed April 16, 2024)
 29
- 30 Duong V, Oo WM, Ding C, Culvenor AG, Hunter DJ. Evaluation and Treatment of Knee
 31 Pain: A Review. *JAMA.* 2023;330(16):1568-1580. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.19675
 32
- 33 Durall CJ. Examination and treatment of cuboid syndrome: a literature review. *Sports
 34 Health.* 2011 Nov;3(6):514-9
 35
- 36 Elbasan B, Akaya KU, Akyuz M, Oskay D. Effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation
 37 and Kinesio Taping applications in children with cerebral palsy on postural control and
 38 sitting balance. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2018;31(1):49-55. doi:10.3233/BMR-
 39 169656
 40
- 41 Ferri FF, ed. *Ferri's Clinical Advisor* 2024. , , Elsevier; 2024

- 1 Freedman SR, Brody LT, Rosenthal M, Wise JC. Short-term effects of patellar
2 kinesiotaping on pain and hop function in patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome.
3 Sports Health. 2014 Jul; 6(4):294-300
4
- 5 Frommer C, Masaracchio M. The use of patellar taping in the treatment of a patient with a
6 medial collateral ligament sprain. N Am J Sports Phys Ther. 2009 May;4(2):60-9
7
- 8 Frontera WR, Silver J, Rizzo TD editors. Essentials of physical medicine and
9 rehabilitation. Elsevier; 2019
10
- 11 Fu TC, Wong AM, Pei YC, Wu KP, Chou SW, Lin YC. Effect of Kinesio taping on muscle
12 strength in athletes-a pilot study. J Sci Med Sport. 2008 Apr;11(2):198-201
13
- 14 Gaitonde DY, Ericksen A, Robbins RC. Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome. Am Fam
15 Physician. 2019 Jan 15;99(2):88-94
16
- 17 Giray E, Karali-Bingul D, Akyuz G. The Effectiveness of Kinesiotaping, Sham Taping or
18 Exercises Only in Lateral Epicondylitis Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Study.
19 PM R. 2019;11(7):681-693
20
- 21 Goff JD, Crawford R. Diagnosis and treatment of plantar fasciitis. Am Fam Physician.
22 2011 Sep 15;84(6):676-82
23
- 24 González-Iglesias J, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cleland JA, Huijbregts P, Del Rosario
25 Gutiérrez-Vega M. Short-term effects of cervical kinesio taping on pain and cervical
26 range of motion in patients with acute whiplash injury: a randomized clinical trial. J
27 Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2009 Jul;39(7):515-21
28
- 29 Goodwin VA, Hall AJ, Rogers E, Bethel A. Orthotics and taping in the management of
30 vertebral fractures in people with osteoporosis: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2016
31 May 4;6(5):e010657
32
- 33 Grampurohit N, Pradhan S, Kartin D. Efficacy of adhesive taping as an adjunct to physical
34 rehabilitation to influence outcomes post-stroke: a systematic review. Top Stroke
35 Rehabil. 2015 Feb; 22(1):72-82
36
- 37 Greig AM, Bennell KL, Briggs AM, Hodges PW. Postural taping decreases thoracic
38 kyphosis but does not influence trunk muscle electromyographic activity or balance in
39 women with osteoporosis. Man Ther. 2008; 13(3):249-257

- 1 Griffin A, Bernhardt J. Strapping the hemiplegic shoulder prevents development of pain
2 during rehabilitation: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2006 Apr; 20(4):287-
3 95
- 4
- 5 Güçhan Z, Mutlu A. The effectiveness of taping on children with cerebral palsy: a
6 systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2017 Jan;59(1):26-30
- 7
- 8 Guney-Deniz H, Kinikli GI, Aykar S, et al. Manual lymphatic drainage and Kinesio taping
9 applications reduce early-stage lower extremity edema and pain following total knee
10 arthroplasty. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2023;39(8):1582-1590.
11 doi:10.1080/09593985.2022.2044422
- 12
- 13 Hackl M, Beyer F, Wegmann K, Leschinger T, Burkhart KJ, Müller LP. The treatment of
14 simple elbow dislocation in adults. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2015 May 1;112(18):311-9
- 15
- 16 Halseth T, McChesney JW, Debeliso M, Vaughn R, Lien J. The effects of kinesio™
17 taping on proprioception at the ankle. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2004 Mar 1;3(1):1-7
- 18
- 19 Ham P, Maughan KL. Achilles tendinopathy and tendon rupture. In: UpToDate, Post TW
20 (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved May 20, 2024 from
21 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/achilles-tendinopathy-and-tendon-rupture>
- 22
- 23 Hanchard NC, Goodchild LM, Kottam L. Conservative management following closed
24 reduction of traumatic anterior dislocation of the shoulder. *Cochrane Database Syst*
25 *Rev.* 2014 Apr 30;4:CD004962
- 26
- 27 Hanger HC, Whitewood P, Brown G, et al. A randomized controlled trial of strapping to
28 prevent post-stroke shoulder pain. *Clin Rehabil.* 2000 Aug; 14(4):370-80
- 29
- 30 Hatch RL. Clavicle fractures. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA.
31 Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/clavicle-fractures>
- 32
- 33 Hatch RL, Hacking S. Evaluation and management of toe fractures. *Am Fam Physician.*
34 2003 Dec 15;68(12):2413-8
- 35
- 36 Hecht PJ, Lin TJ. Hallux valgus. *Med Clin North Am.* 2014 Mar;98(2):227-32
- 37
- 38 Heddon S, Saulnier N, Mercado J, Shalmiyev M, Berteau JP. Systematic review shows no
39 strong evidence regarding the use of elastic taping for pain improvement in patients
40 with primary knee osteoarthritis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2021;100(13):e25382

- 1 Hegmann KT, ed. Low back disorders. In: Glass LS, editor(s). Occupational medicine
 2 practice guidelines: Evaluation and management of common health problems and
 3 functional recovery in workers. 2nd ed. Elk Grove Village (IL): American College of
 4 Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM); 2007
- 5
- 6 Helgeson K. Examination and intervention for sinus tarsi syndrome. *N Am J Sports Phys
 7 Ther.* 2009 Feb;4(1):29-37
- 8
- 9 Hinman RS, Crossley KM, McConnell J, Bennell KL. Efficacy of knee tape in the
 10 management of osteoarthritis of the knee: blinded randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.*
 11 2003 Jul 19;327(7407):135
- 12
- 13 Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, McGowan J, et al.;
 14 American College of Rheumatology. American College of Rheumatology 2012
 15 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in
 16 osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2012
 17 Apr;64(4):465-74
- 18
- 19 Hwang EG, Lee Y. Effectiveness of intercostal nerve block for management of pain in rib
 20 fracture patients. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2014 Aug 31;10(4):241-4
- 21
- 22 Hyland MR, Webber-Gaffney A, Cohen L, Lichtman PT. Randomized controlled trial of
 23 calcaneal taping, sham taping, and plantar fascia stretching for the short-term
 24 management of plantar heel pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2006 Jun;36(6):364-71
- 25
- 26 Inamdar K, Molinini RM, Panibatla ST, Chow JC, Dusing SC. Physical therapy
 27 interventions to improve sitting ability in children with or at-risk for cerebral palsy: a
 28 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2021;63(4):396-406
- 29
- 30 Jassi FJ, Del Ant6nio TT, Azevedo BO, Moraes R, George SZ, Chaves TC. Star-Shape
 31 Kinesio Taping Is Not Better Than a Minimal Intervention or Sham Kinesio Taping for
 32 Pain Intensity and Postural Control in Chronic Low Back Pain: A Randomized
 33 Controlled Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2021;102(7):1352-1360.e3
- 34
- 35 Jayanthi N. Elbow tendinopathy (tennis and golf elbow). In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed),
 36 UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
 37 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/elbow-tendinopathy-tennis-and-golf-elbow>
- 38
- 39 Johnson GW, Cadwallader K, Scheffel SB, Epperly TD. Treatment of lateral epicondylitis.
 40 *Am Fam Physician.* 2007 Sep 15;76(6):843-8

- 1 Jones NF, Jupiter JB, Lalonde DH. Common fractures and dislocations of the hand. *Plast*
2 *Reconstr Surg.* 2012; 130(5):722e-736e
3
- 4 Joshi SV. Digit dislocation reduction. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham,
5 MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/digit-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/digit-dislocation-reduction)
6 [dislocation-reduction](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/digit-dislocation-reduction)
7
- 8 Kachanathu SJ, Alenazi AM, Seif HE, Hafez AR, Alroumim MA. Comparison between
9 Kinesio Taping and a Traditional Physical Therapy Program in Treatment of
10 Nonspecific Low Back Pain. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2014 Aug;26(8):1185-8
11
- 12 Kalichman L, Vered E, Volchek L. Relieving symptoms of meralgia paresthetica using
13 Kinesio taping: A pilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2010; 91(7):1137-1139
14
- 15 Kalron A, Bar-Sela S. A systematic review of the effectiveness of Kinesio Taping--fact or
16 fashion? *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2013 Oct;49(5):699-709
17
- 18 Kalter J, Apeldoorn A, Ostelo R, et al. Taping patients with clinical signs of subacromial
19 impingement syndrome: the design of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC*
20 *Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2011, 12:188
21
- 22 Kaminski TW, Hertel J, Amendola N, Docherty CL, Dolan MG, Hopkins JT, Nussbaum
23 E, Poppy W, Richie D; National Athletic Trainers' Association. National Athletic
24 Trainers' Association position statement: conservative management and prevention of
25 ankle sprains in athletes. *J Athl Train.* 2013 Jul-Aug;48(4):528-45
26
- 27 Kannus P, Renström P. Treatment for acute tears of the lateral ligaments of the ankle.
28 Operation, cast, or early controlled mobilization. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.*
29 1991;73(2):305-312
30
- 31 Karadag-Saygi E, Cubukcu-Aydoseli K, Kablan N, Ofluoglu D. The role of kinesiotope
32 combined with botulinum toxin to reduce plantar flexors spasticity after stroke. *Top*
33 *Stroke Rehabil.* 2010; 17(4):318-322
34
- 35 Karatas N, Bicici S, Baltaci G, Caner H. The effect of Kinesiotape application on functional
36 performance in surgeons who have musculo-skeletal pain after performing surgery.
37 *Turk Neurosurg.* 2012;22(1):83-9
38
- 39 Karlson KA, Initial evaluation and management of rib fractures. In: UpToDate, Post TW
40 (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
41 [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/initial-evaluation-and-management-of-rib-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/initial-evaluation-and-management-of-rib-fractures)
42 [fractures](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/initial-evaluation-and-management-of-rib-fractures)

- 1 Karmakar MK, Ho AM. Acute pain management of patients with multiple fractured ribs. *J*
2 *Trauma*. 2003 Mar;54(3):615-25
3
- 4 Kaya E, Zinnuroglu M, Tugcu I. Kinesio taping compared to physical therapy modalities
5 for the treatment of shoulder impingement syndrome. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2011
6 Feb;30(2):201-7
7
- 8 Kemler E, van de Port I, Backx F, van Dijk CN. A systematic review on the treatment of
9 acute ankle sprain: brace versus other functional treatment types. *Sports Med*. 2011
10 Mar 1;41(3):185–97
11
- 12 Khan K, Scott A., Purdam C. Overview of overuse (persistent) tendinopathy. In:
13 *UpToDate*, Post TW (Ed), *UpToDate*, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
14 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/overview-of-overuse-persistent-tendinopathy>
15
- 16 Khiami F, Gérometta A, Loriaut P. Management of recent first-time anterior shoulder
17 dislocations. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2015 Feb;101(1 Suppl):S51-7
18
- 19 Kilbreath SL, Perkins S, Crosbie J, McConnell J. Gluteal taping improves hip extension
20 during stance phase of walking following stroke. *Aust J Physiother*. 2006; 52(1):53-6
21
- 22 Kolasinski SL, Neogi T, Hochberg MC, Oatis C, Guyatt G, Block J, Callahan L,
23 Copenhaver C, Dodge C, Felson D, Gellar K, Harvey WF, Hawker G, Herzig E, Kwoh
24 CK, Nelson AE, Samuels J, Scanzello C, White D, Wise B, Altman RD, DiRenzo D,
25 Fontanarosa J, Giradi G, Ishimori M, Misra D, Shah AA, Shmigel AK, Thoma LM,
26 Turgunbaev M, Turner AS, Reston J. 2019 American College of
27 Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of
28 the Hand, Hip, and Knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2020 Feb;72(2):149-162
29
- 30 Landorf KB, Menz HB. Plantar heel pain and fasciitis. *BMJ Clin Evid*. 2008 Feb 5;2008
31
- 32 Landorf KB, Radford JA, Keenan AM, Redmond AC. Effectiveness of low-Dye taping for
33 the short-term management of plantar fasciitis. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc*. 2005 Nov-
34 Dec;95(6):525-30
35
- 36 Lardenoye S, Theunissen E, Cleffken B, Brink PR, de Bie RA, Poeze M. The effect of
37 taping versus semi-rigid bracing on patient outcome and satisfaction in ankle sprains:
38 a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2012 May
39 28;13:81
40
- 41 Lareau CR, Sawyer GA, Wang JH, DiGiovanni CW. Plantar and medial heel pain:
42 diagnosis and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2014 Jun;22(6):372-80

- 1 Lazcano A, Dougherty JM, Kruger M. Use of rib belts in acute rib fractures. *Am J Emerg*
 2 *Med.* 1989; 7(1):97-100
 3
- 4 Lee K, Yi CW, Lee S. The effects of kinesiology taping therapy on degenerative knee
 5 arthritis patients' pain, function, and joint range of motion. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2016
 6 Jan;28(1):63-6
 7
- 8 Lee SE, Cho SH. The effect of McConnell taping on vastus medialis and lateralis activity
 9 during squatting in adults with patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2013
 10 Apr; 9(2):326-30
 11
- 12 Leibbrandt DC, Louw QA. The use of McConnell taping to correct abnormal biomechanics
 13 and muscle activation patterns in subjects with anterior knee pain: a systematic review.
 14 *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2015 Jul; 27(7):2395-404
 15
- 16 Lenza M, Faloppa F. Conservative interventions for treating middle third clavicle fractures
 17 in adolescents and adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016 Dec 15;12:CD007121
 18
- 19 Letafatkar A, Rabiei P, Kazempour S, Alaei-Parapari S. Comparing the effects of no
 20 intervention with therapeutic exercise, and exercise with additional Kinesio tape in
 21 patients with shoulder impingement syndrome. A three-arm randomized controlled
 22 trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2021;35(4):558-567
 23
- 24 Lewis JS, Wright C, Green A. Subacromial impingement syndrome: the effect of changing
 25 posture on shoulder range of movement. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2005
 26 Feb;35(2):72-87
 27
- 28 Li X, Zhou X, Liu H, et al. Effects of Elastic Therapeutic Taping on Knee Osteoarthritis:
 29 A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Aging Dis.* 2018;9(2):296-308. Published
 30 2018 Apr 1. doi:10.14336/AD.2017.0309
 31
- 32 Li Y, Yin Y, Jia G, Chen H, Yu L, Wu D. Effects of kinesiotape on pain and disability in
 33 individuals with chronic low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
 34 randomized controlled trials. *Clin Rehabil.* 2019 Apr;33(4):596-606
 35
- 36 Li X, Cai H, Tang K, Li F. The efficacy of Kinesio taping in patients with post-stroke
 37 dysphagia: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2024 Mar 15;103(11):e37491
 38
- 39 Lim EC, Tay MG. Kinesio taping in musculoskeletal pain and disability that lasts for more
 40 than 4 weeks: is it time to peel off the tape and throw it out with the sweat? A systematic
 41 review with meta-analysis focused on pain and also methods of tape application. *Br J*
 42 *Sports Med.* 2015 Dec; 49(24):1558-66

- 1 Lin S, Zhu B, Huang G, Wang C, Zeng Q, Zhang S. Short-Term Effect of Kinesiotaping
2 on Chronic Nonspecific Low Back Pain and Disability: A Meta-Analysis of
3 Randomized Controlled Trials. *Phys Ther.* 2020 Feb 7;100(2):238-254. doi:
4 10.1093/ptj/pzz163. PMID: 31696916
5
- 6 Logan CA, Bhashyam AR, Tisosky AJ, Haber DB, Jorgensen A, Roy A, Provencher MT.
7 Systematic Review of the Effect of Taping Techniques on Patellofemoral Pain
8 Syndrome. *Sports Health.* 2017 Jun 1:1941738117710938
9
- 10 Logerstedt DS, Snyder-Mackler L, Ritter RC, Axe MJ; Orthopedic Section of the American
11 Physical Therapy Association. Knee pain and mobility impairments: meniscal and
12 articular cartilage lesions. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2010 Jun;40(6):A1-A35. (b)
13
- 14 Logerstedt DS, Snyder-Mackler L, Ritter RC, Axe MJ, Godges JJ; Orthopaedic Section of
15 the American Physical Therapist Association. Knee stability and movement
16 coordination impairments: knee ligament sprain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2010
17 Apr;40(4):A1-A37. (a)
18
- 19 Luo WH, Li Y. Current Evidence Does Support the Use of KT to Treat Chronic Knee Pain
20 in Short Term: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Res Manag.*
21 2021;2021:5516389. Published 2021 Mar 23
22
- 23 Luz Júnior MAD, Almeida MO, Santos RS, Civile VT, Costa LOP. Effectiveness of
24 Kinesio Taping in Patients With Chronic Nonspecific Low Back Pain: A Systematic
25 Review With Meta-analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2019 Jan 1;44(1):68-78
26
- 27 Marotta N, Lippi L, Ammendolia V, Calafiore D, Inzitari MT, Pinto M, Invernizzi M, de
28 Sire A. Efficacy of kinesio taping on upper limb volume reduction in patients with
29 breast cancer-related lymphedema: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials.
30 *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2023 Apr;59(2):237-247
31
- 32 Martin RL, Davenport TE, Paulseth S, Wukich DK, Godges JJ; Orthopaedic Section
33 American Physical Therapy Association. Ankle stability and movement coordination
34 impairments: ankle ligament sprains. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2013 Sep;43(9):A1-
35 40
36
- 37 Martin RL, Davenport TE, Reischl SF, McPoil TG, Matheson JW, Wukich DK, et al. Heel
38 pain-plantar fasciitis: revision 2014. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2014 Nov;44(11):A1-
39 33
40
- 41 Martin RL, Davenport TE, Fraser JJ, Sawdon-Bea J, Carcia CR, Carroll LA, Kivlan BR,
42 Carreira D. Ankle Stability and Movement Coordination Impairments: Lateral Ankle

- 1 Ligament Sprains Revision 2021. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2021 Apr;51(4):CPG1-
2 CPG80
- 3
- 4 Martonick N, Kober K, Watkins A, DiEnno A, Perez C, Renfro A, Chae S, Baker R. The
5 Effect of Kinesio Tape on Factors for Neuromuscular Control of the Lower-Extremity:
6 A Critically Appraised Topic. *J Sport Rehabil.* 2020 Mar 28;1-6
- 7
- 8 Maughan KL. Ankle sprain in adults: Management. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed),
9 UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
10 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/ankle-sprain-in-adults-management>
- 11
- 12 McConnell J, Donnelly C, Hamner S, Dunne J, Besier T. Passive and dynamic shoulder
13 rotation range in uninjured and previously injured overhead throwing athletes and the
14 effect of shoulder taping. *PM R.* 2012 Feb;4(2):111-6
- 15
- 16 McConnell J, McIntosh B. The effect of tape on glenohumeral rotation range of motion in
17 elite junior tennis players. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2009 Mar; 19(2):90-4
- 18
- 19 McLean DA. Use of adhesive strapping in sport. *Br J Sports Med.* 1989 Sep;23(3):147 -9
- 20
- 21 McPoil TG, Martin RL, Cornwall MW, Wukich DK, Irrgang JJ, Godges JJ. Heel pain--
22 plantar fasciitis: clinical practice guidelines linked to the international classification of
23 function, disability, and health from the orthopaedic section of the American Physical
24 Therapy Association. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2008 Apr;38(4):A1-A18.
- 25
- 26 Miller EA, Hergenroeder AC. Prophylactic ankle bracing *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 1990
27 Oct;37(5):1175-85.
- 28
- 29 Miller P and Osmotherly P. Does Scapula Taping Facilitate Recovery for Shoulder
30 Impingement Symptoms? A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Man Manip Ther.*
31 2009; 17(1): E6–E13
- 32
- 33 Montalvo AM, Cara EL, Osorio JA, Vairo GL, Rozea GD, Bosha PJ, Millard RL,
34 Aukerman DF, Sebastianelli WJ. The effects of two therapeutic patellofemoral taping
35 techniques on strength, endurance, and pain responses. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2013 Nov;
36 14(4):199-206
- 37
- 38 Morris D, Jones D, Ryan H, Ryan CG. The clinical effects of Kinesio® Tex taping: A
39 systematic review. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2013 May;29(4):259-70

- 1 Morrissey D, Cotchett M, Said J'Bari A, et al. Management of plantar heel pain: a best
2 practice guide informed by a systematic review, expert clinical reasoning and patient
3 values. *Br J Sports Med.* 2021;55(19):1106-1118. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2019-101970
4
- 5 Mostafavifar M, Wertz J, Borchers J. A systematic review of the effectiveness of kinesio
6 taping for musculoskeletal injury. *Phys Sportsmed.* 2012 Nov;40(4):33-40
7
- 8 Murphy KP, Karlin AM. Management of Musculoskeletal Injury. In: Kliegman RM,
9 Stanton BF, St Geme JW, Schor NF. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*, 20th ed. Elsevier;
10 Philadelphia: 2016
11
- 12 Myer GD. Effect of kinesiology taping on pain in individuals with musculoskeletal injuries:
13 Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Sportsmed.* 2014 May; 42(2):48-57
14
- 15 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Low back pain and sciatica in
16 over 16s: assessment and management. NICE guideline [NG59]. Retrieved on April
17 17, 2024 from <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng59>
18
- 19 Nelson FRT, Blauvelt CT. *A Manual of Orthopaedic Terminology*, Eighth Edition.
20 Saunders, an imprint of Elsevier Inc.; Philadelphia: 2015
21
- 22 Nelson NL. Kinesio taping for chronic low back pain: A systematic review. *J Bodyw Mov*
23 *Ther.* 2016 Jul;20(3):672-81. Nellans KW, Chung KC. Pediatric hand fractures. *Hand*
24 *Clin.* 2013 Nov;29(4):569-78
25
- 26 Nelson NL. Kinesio taping for chronic low back pain: A systematic review. *J Bodyw Mov*
27 *Ther.* 2016 Jul; 20(3):672-81
28
- 29 Nunes GS, Feldkircher JM, Tessarin BM, Bender PU, da Luz CM, de Noronha M. Kinesio
30 taping does not improve ankle functional or performance in people with or without
31 ankle injuries: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* 2021;35(2):182-199
32
- 33 Nunes GS, de Oliveira J, Jacob GS, et al. Effectiveness of Interventions Aimed at Changing
34 Movement Patterns in People With Patellofemoral Pain: A Systematic Review With
35 Network Meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2023;53(12):1-13.
36 doi:10.2519/jospt.2023.11956
37
- 38 O'Connor FG, Mulvaney SW, Patellofemoral pain syndrome. In: UpToDate, Post TW
39 (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
40 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/patellofemoral-pain>

- 1 Osborne HR, Allison GT. Treatment of plantar fasciitis by LowDye taping and
2 iontophoresis: short term results of a double blinded, randomised, placebo controlled
3 clinical trial of dexamethasone and acetic acid. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006 Jun;40(6):545-
4 9; discussion 549
- 5
- 6 Ouyang JH, Chang KH, Hsu WY, Cho YT, Liou TH, Lin YN. Non-elastic taping, but not
7 elastic taping, provides benefits for patients with knee osteoarthritis: systemic review
8 and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* 2018 Jan;32(1):3-17
- 9
- 10 Paoloni M, Bernetti A, Fratocchi G, Mangone M, Parrinello L, Del Pilar Cooper, et al.
11 Kinesio Taping applied to lumbar muscles influences clinical and electromyographic
12 characteristics in chronic low back pain patients. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2011
13 Jun;47(2):237-44
- 14
- 15 Park C, Lee S, Kim S, Hwangbo G. The effects of the application of low-dye taping on
16 paretic side plantar pressure among patients with plantar fasciitis. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2015
17 Nov;27(11):3555-7
- 18
- 19 Park KB, Lee KJ, Kwak YH. Comparison between buddy taping with a short-arm splint
20 and operative treatment for phalangeal neck fractures in children. *J Pediatr Orthop.*
21 2015 Apr 30
- 22
- 23 Parreira Pdo C, Costa Lda C, Hespanhol Junior LC, Lopes AD, Costa LO. Current evidence
24 does not support the use of Kinesio Taping in clinical practice: a systematic review. *J*
25 *Physiother.* 2014 Mar;60(1):31-9
- 26
- 27 Paschos NK, Abuhemoud K, Gantsos A, et al. Management of proximal interphalangeal
28 joint hyperextension injuries: a randomized controlled trial. *J Hand Surg Am.*
29 2014;39(3):449-454
- 30
- 31 Patel A, Rao S, Nawoczenski D, Flemister AS, DiGiovanni B, Baumhauer JF. Midfoot
32 arthritis. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2010 Jul;18(7):417-25. Perrin, D. (2012). *Athletic*
33 *taping and bracing* (3rd ed.). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics
- 34
- 35 Pinheiro YT, E Silva RL, de Almeida Silva HJ, de Araújo TAB, da Silva RS, de Souza
36 MC, de Almeida Lins CA. Does current evidence support the use of kinesiology taping
37 in people with knee osteoarthritis? *Explore (NY).* 2020 Aug 6:S1550-8307(20)30231-
38 7
- 39
- 40 Pinheiro YT, E Silva RL, de Almeida Silva HJ, et al. Does current evidence support the
41 use of kinesiology taping in people with knee osteoarthritis?. *Explore (NY).*
42 2021;17(6):574-577

- 1 Podolsky R, Kalichman L. Taping for plantar fasciitis. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.*
2 2015;28(1):1-6
3
- 4 Poolman RW, Goslings JC, Lee JB, Stadius Muller M, Steller EP, et al. Conservative
5 treatment for closed fifth (small finger) metacarpal neck fractures. *Cochrane Database*
6 *Syst Rev.* 2005 Jul 20;(3):CD003210
7
- 8 Prentice, W. (2010). *Arnheim's principles of athletic training: A competency-based*
9 *approach (14th ed.).* New York: McGraw-Hill
10
- 11 Quick G. A randomized clinical trial of rib belts for simple fractures. *Am J Emerg Med.*
12 1990; 8(4):277-281
13
- 14 Radford JA, Landorf KB, Buchbinder R, Cook C. Effectiveness of low-Dye taping for the
15 short-term treatment of plantar heel pain: a randomised trial. *BMC Musculoskelet*
16 *Disord.* 2006 Aug 9;7:64
17
- 18 Rethman KK, Mansfield CJ, Moeller J, et al. Kinesiophobia Is Associated With Poor
19 Function and Modifiable Through Interventions in People With Patellofemoral Pain: A
20 Systematic Review With Individual Participant Data Correlation Meta-Analysis. *Phys*
21 *Ther.* 2023;103(9):pzad074. doi:10.1093/ptj/pzad074
22
- 23 Rodriguez-Merchan EC. Evidence Based Conservative Management of Patello-femoral
24 Syndrome. *Arch Bone Jt Surg.* 2014 Mar;2(1):4-6
25
- 26 Rutkove SB. Overview of lower extremity peripheral nerve syndromes. In: UpToDate, Post
27 TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
28 [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/overview-of-lower-extremity-peripheral-nerve-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/overview-of-lower-extremity-peripheral-nerve-syndromes)
29 [syndromes](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/overview-of-lower-extremity-peripheral-nerve-syndromes)
30
- 31 Saavedra-Hernández M, Castro-Sánchez AM, Arroyo-Morales M, Cleland JA, Lara-
32 Palomo IC, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C. Short-term effects of kinesiio taping versus
33 cervical thrust manipulation in patients with mechanical neck pain: a randomized
34 clinical trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2012 Aug;42(8):724-30
35
- 36 Saracoglu I, Emuk Y, Taspinar F. Does taping in addition to physiotherapy improve the
37 outcomes in subacromial impingement syndrome? A systematic review. *Physiother*
38 *Theory Pract.* 2018;34(4):251-263. doi:10.1080/09593985.2017.1400138
39
- 40 Scherer PR. Rethinking Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome. *Podiatry today.* Issue Number: Volume
41 17 - Issue 12 - December 2004

- 1 Schreiber K, Khodae M, Poddar S, Tweed EM. Clinical Inquiry. What is the best way to
2 treat Morton's neuroma? J Fam Pract. 2011 Mar;60(3):157-8, 168
3
- 4 Schwend RM, Shaw BA, Segal LS. Evaluation and treatment of developmental hip
5 dysplasia in the newborn and infant. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 2014 Dec;61(6):1095-107.
6 Seah R, Mani-Babu S. Managing ankle sprains in primary care: what is best practice? A
7 systematic review of the last 10 years of evidence. *Br Med Bull.* 2011;97:105-35
8
- 9 Selkowitz DM, Chaney C, Stuckey SJ, Vlad G. The effects of scapular taping on the surface
10 electromyographic signal amplitude of shoulder girdle muscles during upper extremity
11 elevation in individuals with suspected shoulder impingement syndrome. *J Orthop
12 Sports Phys Ther.* 2007 Nov; 37(11):694-702
13
- 14 Shamsoddini A, Hollisaz MT. Effects of taping on pain, grip strength and wrist extension
15 force in patients with tennis elbow. *Trauma Mon.* 2013 Sep;18(2):71-4
16
- 17 Shamus JL, Shamus EC. A taping technique for the treatment of acromioclavicular joint
18 sprains: a case study. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 1997 Jun; 25(6):390-4
19
- 20 Sherman SC. Shoulder dislocation and reduction. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate,
21 Waltham, MA. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
22 <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/shoulder-dislocation-and-reduction>
23
- 24 Shirzad K, Kiesau CD, DeOrio JK, Parekh SG. Lesser toe deformities. *J Am Acad Orthop
25 Surg.* 2011 Aug;19(8):505-14
26
- 27 Simpson MR, Howard TM. Tendinopathies of the foot and ankle. *Am Fam Physician.* 2009
28 Nov 15;80(10):1107-14
29
- 30 Şimşek TT, Türkücüoğlu B, Çokal N, Üstünbaş G, Şimşek İE. The effects of Kinesio®
31 taping on sitting posture, functional independence and gross motor function in children
32 with cerebral palsy. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2011;33(21-22):2058-63
33
- 34 Smith M, Sparkes V, Busse M, Enright S. Upper and lower trapezius muscle activity in
35 subjects with subacromial impingement symptoms: is there imbalance and can taping
36 change it? *Phys Ther Sport.* 2009 May; 10(2):45-50
37
- 38 Sommer HM, Arza D. Functional treatment of recent ruptures of the fibular ligament of
39 the ankle. *Int Orthop.* 1989; 13(2):157-160
40
- 41 SpiderTech elastic sports tape. Retrieved on May 20, 2024 from
42 <http://www.spidertech.com/>

- 1 Sun G, Lou Q. The efficacy of kinesiio taping as an adjunct to physical therapy for chronic
 2 low back pain for at least two weeks: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
 3 randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021;100(49):e28170.
 4 doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000028170
- 5
- 6 Taylor RL, O'Brien L, Brown T. A scoping review of the use of elastic therapeutic tape for
 7 neck or upper extremity conditions. *J Hand Ther*. 2014 Jul-Sep;27(3):235-45; quiz 246
 8
- 9 Thelen, M. D., Dauber, J. A., & Stoneman, P. D. (2008). The clinical efficacy of
 10 kinesiotape for shoulder pain: A randomized, double-blinded, clinical trial. *Journal of*
 11 *Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 38(7), 389-395
- 12
- 13 Thomas JL, Christensen JC, Kravitz SR, Mendicino RW, Schuberth JM, Vanore JV, et al.;
 14 American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons heel pain committee. The diagnosis and
 15 treatment of heel pain: a clinical practice guideline-revision 2010. *J Foot Ankle Surg*.
 16 2010 May-Jun;49(3 Suppl):S1-19
- 17
- 18 Tiemstra JD. Update on acute ankle sprains. *Am Fam Physician*. 2012 Jun 15;85(12):1170-
 19 6
- 20
- 21 Tu P, Bytomski JR. Diagnosis of heel pain. *Am Fam Physician*. 2011 Oct 15;84(8):909-16
 22
- 23 Turgut E, Can EN, Demir C, Maenhout A. Evidence for taping in overhead athlete
 24 shoulders: a systematic review. *Res Sports Med*. 2023;31(4):368-397.
 25 doi:10.1080/15438627.2021.1988950
- 26
- 27 Tran L, Makram AM, Makram OM, et al. Efficacy of Kinesio Taping Compared to Other
 28 Treatment Modalities in Musculoskeletal Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-
 29 Analysis. *Res Sports Med*. 2023;31(4):416-439. doi:10.1080/15438627.2021.1989432
 30
- 31 van Aaken J, Kämpfen S, Berli M, et al. Outcome of boxer's fractures treated by a soft
 32 wrap and buddy taping: a prospective study. *Hand (N Y)*. 2007; 2(4):212-217
 33
- 34 van Amstel RN, Noten K, van den Boomen LN, et al. Systematic Review of Lumbar Elastic
 35 Tape on Trunk Mobility: A Debatable Issue. *Arch Rehabil Res Clin Transl*.
 36 2021;3(3):100131. Published 2021 May 11. doi:10.1016/j.arrct.2021.100131
 37
- 38 van de Water AT, Speksnijder CM. Efficacy of taping for the treatment of plantar fasciosis:
 39 a systematic review of controlled trials. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc*. 2010 Jan-
 40 Feb;100(1):41-51

- 1 Vander Doelen T, Jelley W. Non-surgical treatment of patellar tendinopathy: A systematic
2 review of randomized controlled trials. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2020 Feb;23(2):118-124
3
- 4 Vanti C, Bertozzi L, Gardenghi I, Turoni F, Guccione AA, Pillastrini P. Effect of taping
5 on spinal pain and disability: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials.
6 *Phys Ther*. 2015 Apr;95(4):493-506
7
- 8 Verhagen EA, Bay K. Optimising ankle sprain prevention: a critical review and practical
9 appraisal of the literature. *Br J Sports Med*. 2010;44(15):1082-8
10
- 11 Wallis JA, Roddy L, Bottrell J, Parslow S, Taylor NF. A Systematic Review of Clinical
12 Practice Guidelines for Physical Therapist Management of Patellofemoral Pain. *Phys
13 Ther*. 2021;101(3):pzab021
14
- 15 Wang Y, Gu Y, Chen J, Luo W, He W, Han Z, Tian J. Kinesio taping is superior to other
16 taping methods in ankle functional performance improvement: a systematic review and
17 meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*. 2018 Nov;32(11):1472-1481
18
- 19 Wang Y, Li X, Sun C, Xu R. Effectiveness of kinesiology taping on the functions of upper
20 limbs in patients with stroke: a meta-analysis of randomized trial. *Neurol Sci*.
21 2022;43(7):4145-4156. doi:10.1007/s10072-022-06010-1
22
- 23 Waterman BR, Owens BD, Davey S, et al. The epidemiology of ankle sprains in the United
24 States. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2010; 92(13):2279-2284
25
- 26 Wells L, Sehgal K, Dormans JP. Common Fractures. In: Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, St
27 Geme JW, Schor NF. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*, 20th ed. Elsevier; Philadelphia:
28 2016
29
- 30 Williams S, Whatman C, Hume PA, Sheerin K. Kinesio taping in treatment and prevention
31 of sports injuries: a meta-analysis of the evidence for its effectiveness. *Sports Med*.
32 2012 Feb 1;42(2):153-64
33
- 34 Williamson DM, Cole WG. Treatment of selected extension supracondylar fractures of the
35 humerus by manipulation and strapping in flexion. *Injury*. 1993; 24(4):249-252
36
- 37 Willy RW, Högglund LT, Barton CJ, et al. Patellofemoral Pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.
38 2019;49(9):CPG1-CPG95. doi:10.2519/jospt.2019.0302
39
- 40 Wilson V, Douris P, Fukuroku T, Kuzniewski M, Dias J, Figueiredo P. The Immediate and
41 Long-Term Effects of Kinesiotape® On Balance and Functional Performance. *Int J
42 Sports Phys Ther*. 2016 Apr; 11(2):247-53

- 1 Wolf JM. Elbow Tendinopathies and Bursitis. In: Miller MD, Thompson SR, Delee &
2 Drez's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine. 4th Ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, an imprint of
3 Elsevier Inc; 2014
4
- 5 Won SH, Lee S, Chung CY, et al. Buddy taping: is it a safe method for treatment of finger
6 and toe injuries? Clin Orthop Surg. 2014; 6(1):26-31
7
8 .
- 9 Yam ML, Yang Z, Zee BC, Chong KC. Effects of Kinesio tape on lower limb muscle
10 strength, hop test, and vertical jump performances: a meta-analysis. BMC
11 Musculoskelet Disord. 2019 May 14;20(1):212
12
- 13 Yasukawa A, Patel P, Sisung C. Pilot study: Investigating the effects of Kinesio Taping in
14 an acute pediatric rehabilitation setting. Am J Occup Ther. 2006; 60(1):104-110
15
- 16 Ye W, Jia C, Jiang J, Liang Q, He C. Effectiveness of Elastic Taping in Patients With Knee
17 Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Phys Med Rehabil.
18 2020 Jun;99(6):495-503
19
- 20 Yoshida A, Kahonov L. The effect of kinesio taping on lower trunk range of motions. Res
21 Sports Med. 2007; 5(2):103-112