

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline: Gastrocnemius Recession (e.g., Strayer Procedure)**

2
3 **Date of Implementation: June 16, 2015**

4
5 **Product: Specialty**

6
7
8 **GUIDELINES**

9 American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers services consisting of CPT Code
10 27687 to be medically necessary for treatment of severe gastroc tightness **upon meeting**
11 **ALL of the following criteria:**

- 12 1. Patients with severe tightness in gastroc (ICD-10 codes M67.00 – M67.02) **AND**
13 have failed **AT LEAST 1** of the following non-operative treatments:
14 ○ Physical therapy
15 ○ Stretching and mobility exercise program; and/or
16 ○ Bracing
17 2. Diagnosis/evidence of deformity (gastroc equinus contracture [ICD-10 codes
18 M67.00 – M67.02]), which could be due to cerebral palsy, club foot, plantar fascia
19 issues, metatarsalgia, pes planus, neurological condition)
20 3. Foot pain results in dysfunction
21 4. Evidence of the inability to passively dorsiflex past neutral position with knee
22 extended

23
24 **CPT CODES AND DESCRIPTIONS**

CPT® Code	CPT® Code Description
27687	Gastrocnemius recession (e.g., Strayer procedure)

25
26 **BACKGROUND**

27 Gastrocnemius equinus contractures may be associated with foot and ankle pathology. The
28 resulting calf tightness can prevent the ankle from bending up fully, making it difficult to
29 walk with the heel on the floor. Over time this can cause problems such as pain and
30 deformity. Equinus contracture may contribute to many foot problems, including heel pain,
31 Achilles tendon pain, flatfoot deformity, and toe pain. Equinus contracture is also
32 associated with spasticity in individuals with neurological impairment.

33
34 The triceps surae muscle is a combination of the two strong plantar flexors of the ankle:
35 the gastrocnemius and the soleus muscles. The gastrocnemius originates on the posterior
36 femoral condyles, while the soleus originates on the posterior aspect of the tibia, fibula,
37 and interosseous membrane. Both muscles insert into the calcaneal tuberosity via the
38 Achilles tendon and can contribute to an equinus contracture. An equinus contracture is a
39 limitation in ankle dorsiflexion not caused by intrinsic bony ankle pathology. A

1 gastrocnemius equinus contracture is generally characterized by the inability to bring the
2 ankle joint past a neutral position (right angle to the lower leg) with the knee straight. An
3 isolated gastrocnemius contracture is measured and differentiated from a
4 gastrocnemius/soleus contracture using the Silfverskiold test (Anderson et al., 2014).
5 Normal ankle joint dorsiflexion reaches 10-20 degrees, proximal to neutral, with the knee
6 extended and designates an absence of ankle equinus; generally, this is the consensus
7 minimal amount of ankle dorsiflexion required for normal ambulation. Restricted ankle
8 dorsiflexion reaches neutral or less (i.e., in the plantarflexed direction), which can
9 potentially alter gait and lead to foot and ankle dysfunction and designates a presence of
10 ankle equinus.

11
12 The gastrocnemius equinus contracture may be due to increased tone or spasticity of the
13 triceps surae muscles, shortening of some or all of the muscles, joint contracture, or bony
14 deformity. Spasticity, weakness and subsequent shortening of the muscle group can occur
15 secondary to neuromuscular disorders, such as cerebral palsy. It is critical to determine the
16 origin of the equinus contracture as the treatment is dependent on the origin.

17
18 Conservative care measures for the treatment of gastrocnemius equinus contracture may
19 include stretching and bracing. When conservative measures are clinically ineffective,
20 surgical release of the posterior contracture is indicated.

21
22 Several surgical procedures have been recommended to resolve contracture of the
23 gastrocnemius or gastroc-soleus complex including both endoscopic and open
24 gastrocnemius recession, as well as, both percutaneous and open Achilles tendon
25 lengthening. The selection of Achilles tendon lengthening, or gastrocnemius recession
26 procedure is based upon the outcome of the clinical evaluation. The surgical procedure
27 within the scope of this clinical practice guideline is the gastrocnemius recession (e.g.,
28 Strayer procedure).

29
30 Gastrocnemius recession is a surgical lengthening of the gastrocnemius muscles. A
31 gastrocnemius recession is indicated for patients who have a severe equinus contracture in
32 their gastrocnemius muscle, resulting in functional deficits, and have failed non-operative
33 management.

34
35 Gastrocnemius contracture produces increased strain in the plantar fascia. Current evidence
36 suggests that limited ankle dorsiflexion is an etiologic factor for plantar fasciitis. This
37 limitation can arise from either an isolated contracture of the gastrocnemius or from a
38 contracture of the gastrocnemius-soleus complex. Patel et al. (2011) carried out a study to
39 determine the proportion of patients ($N=254$) with plantar fasciitis that have an associated
40 isolated gastrocnemius contracture. Patel concluded that limited ankle dorsiflexion is
41 commonly associated with plantar fasciitis as more than half of the patients had evidence
42 of an isolated gastrocnemius contracture. Gastrocnemius lengthening is an important

1 component of correcting all stages of arch collapse. In the beginning phase of arch collapse,
2 gastrocnemius stretching exercises, night splinting, and other therapeutic modalities are the
3 foundation of treatment. If conservative measures fail, surgical lengthening of the
4 gastrocnemius muscle proves to be successful at alleviating the pain and pathology of
5 Achilles tendinosis, plantar fasciitis, and metatarsalgia (Anderson et al., 2014).

6
7 The treatment of equinus alone has shown to be effective for foot conditions without
8 affecting the pathology within the foot, Maskill et al. (2010) examined the effect of an
9 isolated gastrocnemius recession on 29 patients (34 feet) that failed six months of
10 conservative therapy. The three categories of patients (plantar fasciitis, midfoot pain, and
11 arch pain) had pre- and post-operative visual analog scale (VAS) score as follows: plantar
12 fasciitis 8.1 to 1.9, midfoot pain 7.5 to 2.2, and arch pain 9.3 to 3.3. These large VAS
13 changes were the result of gastrocnemius recession alone.

14
15 Gastrocnemius recession has a low incidence of associated morbidity (Rush et al., 2006).
16 Notably, the patient may have complications that relate to surgery in general. These include
17 the risks associated with anesthesia, infection, damage to nerves and blood vessels, and
18 bleeding or blood clots. Additionally, after a gastrocnemius release, some patients may
19 experience nerve injury that results in irritation or numbness over the outside of the heel.
20 This is usually temporary. Some patients may notice a difference in the appearance of one
21 calf compared to the other and temporary calf weakness.

22 23 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

24 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their
25 education, training and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may
26 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner
27 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services
28 and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

29
30 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if
31 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared
32 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently
33 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be
34 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

35
36 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or
37 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a
38 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular
39 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
40 for Hospitals, 2020).

1 Depending on the practitioner’s scope of practice, training, and experience, a member’s
 2 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the
 3 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent
 4 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their
 5 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as
 6 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice
 7 guideline for information.

9 **References**

- 10 American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS) Cosmetic surgery position
 11 statement (2020). Retrieved on May 8, 2023 from: [https://www.acfas.org/policy-
 12 advocacy/policy-position-statements/acfas-position-statement-on-cosmetic-surgery](https://www.acfas.org/policy-advocacy/policy-position-statements/acfas-position-statement-on-cosmetic-surgery)
 13
- 14 American Medical Association. (current year). *Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)*
 15 Current year (rev. ed.). Chicago: AMA.
 16
- 17 American Medical Association. (current year). *ICD-10-CM*. American Medical
 18 Association.
 19
- 20 Anderson, J. G., Bohay, D. R., Eller, E. B., & Witt, B. L. (2014). Gastrocnemius recession.
 21 *Foot and Ankle Clinics*, 19(4), 767-786. doi: 10.1016/j.fcl.2014.09.001
 22
- 23 Anderson, J. G., Habbu, R., & Bohay, D. R. (2011). Gastrocnemius Recession for Heel
 24 Pain. *Techniques in Foot & Ankle Surgery*, 10(2), 71-75. doi:
 25 10.1097/BTF.0b013e31821a29ff
 26
- 27 Barske, H. L., DiGiovanni, B. F., Douglass, M., & Nawoczinski, D. A. (2012). Current
 28 Concepts Review: Isolated Gastrocnemius Contracture and Gastrocnemius Recession.
 29 *Foot & Ankle International*, 33(10), 915-921. doi: 10.3113/fai.2012.0915
 30
- 31 Chimera, N. J., Castro, M., & Manal, K. (2010). Function and strength following
 32 gastrocnemius recession for isolated gastrocnemius contracture. *Foot & Ankle*
 33 *International*, 31(5), 377-384. doi: 10.3113/fai.2010.0377
 34
- 35 Cortina, R. E., Morris, B. L., & Vopat, B. G. (2018). Gastrocnemius Recession for
 36 Metatarsalgia. *Foot and Ankle Clinics*, 23(1), 57–68.
 37 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcl.2017.09.006>
 38
- 39 Flynn, J. M., & Wiesel, S. W. (2012). *Operative Techniques in Pediatric Orthopaedics*:
 40 Wolters Kluwer Health.

- 1 Gatt, A., & Chockalingam, N. (2012). Assessment of Ankle Joint Dorsiflexion: An
2 Overview. *Revista Internacional de Ciencias Podológicas*, 6(1), 25-29.
3
- 4 Greenhagen, R. M., Johnson, A. R., Peterson, M. C., Rogers, L. C., & Bevilacqua, N. J.
5 (2010). Gastrocnemius recession as an alternative to tendoAchillis lengthening for
6 relief of forefoot pressure in a patient with peripheral neuropathy: a case report and
7 description of a technical modification. *The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 49(2),
8 159 e159-113. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2009.07.002
9
- 10 Groves, M. J. (2010). Modified Strayer Gastrocnemius Recession: A Technique Guide for
11 the Supine-Positioned Patient Vol. 45. Retrieved on May 9, 2023 from
12 http://www.podiatryinstitute.com/pdfs/Update_2010/2010_45.pdf
13
- 14 Holtmann, J. A., Südkamp, N. P., Schmal, H., & Mehlhorn, A. T. (2017). Gastrocnemius
15 Recession Leads to Increased Ankle Motion and Improved Patient Satisfaction After 2
16 Years of Follow-Up. *The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 56(3), 589–593.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jfas.2017.01.037>
18
- 19 Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation
20 Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources.
21
- 22 Maskill, J. D., Bohay, D. R., & Anderson, J. G. (2010). Gastrocnemius recession to treat
23 isolated foot pain. *Foot & Ankle International*, 31(1), 19-23. doi:
24 10.3113/fai.2010.0019
25
- 26 Molund, M., Paulsrud, O., Ellingsen Husebye, E., Nilsen, F., & Hvaal, K. (2014). Results
27 after gastrocnemius recession in 73 patients. *Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 20(4), 272-275.
28 doi: 10.1016/j.fas.2014.07.004
29
- 30 Monteagudo, M., Maceira, E., Garcia-Virto, V., & Canosa, R. (2013). Chronic plantar
31 fasciitis: plantar fasciotomy versus gastrocnemius recession. *International*
32 *Orthopaedics*, 37(9), 1845-1850. doi: 10.1007/s00264-013-2022-2
33
- 34 Nawoczinski, D. A., Barske, H., Tome, J., Dawson, L. K., Zlotnicki, J. P., & DiGiovanni,
35 B. F. (2015). Isolated gastrocnemius recession for achilles tendinopathy: strength and
36 functional outcomes. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 97(2), 99-105. doi:
37 10.2106/jbjs.m.01424
38
- 39 Patel, A., & DiGiovanni, B. (2011). Association between plantar fasciitis and isolated
40 contracture of the gastrocnemius. *Foot & Ankle International*, 32(1), 5-8. doi:
41 10.3113/fai.2011.0005

- 1 Pinney, S. J., Sangeorzan, B. J., & Hansen, S. T. (2004). Surgical Anatomy of the
2 Gastrocnemius Recession (Strayer Procedure). *Foot & Ankle International*, 25(4), 247-
3 250. doi: 10.1177/107110070402500409
4
5 Rush, S. M., Ford, L. A., & Hamilton, G. A. (2006). Morbidity Associated With High
6 Gastrocnemius Recession: Retrospective Review of 126 Cases. *The Journal of Foot
7 and Ankle Surgery*, 45(3), 156-160.