

**Clinical Practice Guideline: Open Treatment of Tarsal, Talotarsal, Tarsometatarsal or Metatarsophalangeal Joint Dislocations**

**Date of Implementation: September 17, 2015**

**Product: Specialty**

---

**GUIDELINES**

American Specialty Health - Specialty (ASH) considers procedures consisting of CPT Codes 28555, 28585, 28615, and 28645 to be medically necessary for the treatment of tarsal, talotarsal, tarsometatarsal or metatarsophalangeal dislocations (ICD-10 codes S93.121A - S93.129S, S93.304A – S93.306S, S93.314A – S93.316S, S93.324A - S93.326S, S93.334A – S93.336S) **upon meeting at least 1 of the following criteria:**

1. Closed reduction is not feasible or cannot be maintained
2. Intra-articular fracture
3. Significant joint displacement
4. Procedure is part of a multi-step repair of open fracture
5. Malunion, nonunion, or deformity present
6. Rupture of Lisfranc ligament with positive “fleck sign” on radiograph or confirmation on MRI (CPT Code 28615 only)

**CPT CODES AND DESCRIPTIONS**

CPT® Code	CPT® Code Description
28555	Open treatment of tarsal bone dislocation, includes internal fixation, when performed
28585	Open treatment of talotarsal joint dislocation, includes internal fixation, when performed
28615	Open treatment of tarsometatarsal joint dislocation, includes internal fixation, when performed
28645	Open treatment of metatarsophalangeal joint dislocation, includes internal fixation, when performed

Refer to *Treatment of Open Foot (Calcaneal, Tarsal, Talus, Metatarsal, and Phalangeal) Fractures (CPG 222 - S)* clinical policy guideline for the treatment of simultaneous fracture-dislocations.

**BACKGROUND**

CPT codes 28555, 28585, 28615 and 28645 describe open procedures, which include internal fixation (screws, plates, or wires), for the treatment of tarsal, talotarsal, tarsometatarsal or metatarsophalangeal joint dislocations, respectively.

**Tarsometatarsal (Lisfranc) Dislocations**

Injuries to the tarsometatarsal joint complex, also known as the Lisfranc joint, are relatively uncommon. These injuries, especially when overlooked, may result in considerable long-term disability as the result of posttraumatic arthritis. A high level of suspicion, recognition of the clinical signs of injury, and appropriate radiographic studies are needed for correct diagnosis.

Surgery for a Lisfranc injury is indicated when there is significant displacement of the midfoot joints with instability. Most commonly this displacement is identified on x-ray, however, CT and MRI scans can also be helpful in diagnosis. The goal of surgery is to realign and stabilize the joints. Some injuries may require a patient to have an arthrodesis procedure, which encourages the bones to grow together in the areas of damaged cartilage. The treatment options reported in clinical literature vary from closed reductions in simple dislocations to open reduction and temporary screw fixation, screw fixation combined with external fixation, and primary arthrodesis in severe Lisfranc dislocations. When surgery is indicated, closed reduction with percutaneous screw fixation should be attempted. However, if closed reduction is questionable, open reduction should be performed. Screw fixation remains the traditional fixation technique (Watson et al., 2010). Mulier et al. (2010) reports that the foot and ankle surgeon must be able to distinguish between Lisfranc fracture dislocations and primarily ligamentous Lisfranc joint dislocations as the course of treatment for these two types of injuries are different. Closed reduction is not sufficient to achieve reduction in the majority of Lisfranc fracture dislocations due to interposed soft tissue and small bony fragments from fractures of the base of the metatarsals. However, correct anatomical reduction can be achieved with open reduction and internal fixation for these cases. Primary arthrodesis may be considered as a primary treatment option for patients with purely ligamentous Lisfranc joint dislocations. The outcomes will be significantly poorer if operative treatment is delayed for more than 6 months.

Stavlas et al. (2010) carried out a systematic review of the literature in order to evaluate the role of reduction and internal fixation in the management of Lisfranc joint fracture–dislocations. The analysis included data for the management of 257 patients. The authors found that injuries of the first three metatarsal rays were treated by closed reduction and internal fixation with screws in 16.3% of the patients, open reduction and internal fixation with screws in 66.5%, and open reduction and internal fixation with Kirschner wires (K-wires) in 17.1% of the patients. The preferred method for the stabilization of the fourth and fifth metatarsal rays was K-wires. Screw-related complications were common and were reported in 16.1% of the cases. The mean American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society

1 (AOFAS) midfoot score was 78.1 points. Post-traumatic radiographic arthritis was reported  
 2 in 49.6% of the patients, but only in 7.8% of them it was severe enough to warrant an  
 3 arthrodesis. The authors concluded that open reduction and internal fixation of the first  
 4 three metatarsal rays with screws is a reliable method for the management of Lisfranc  
 5 injuries. This can be complemented by K-wires application in the fourth and fifth  
 6 metatarsal rays if needed.

7  
 8 Current tarsometatarsal research focuses on the management of the medial three  
 9 tarsometatarsal joints with only scarce literature discussing the fourth and fifth  
 10 tarsometatarsal joints. At present, the surgical management of the fourth and fifth  
 11 tarsometatarsal injuries can mainly be classified as open reduction and internal fixation  
 12 (ORIF), tarsometatarsal arthrodesis and arthroplasty, each of which has specific  
 13 indications, contraindications, and advantages. Therefore, the surgical management should  
 14 be individualized. Yu et al. (2013) reviewed the anatomical characteristics of the injuries,  
 15 the diagnosis, and the individualized treatment of the injuries of the fourth and fifth  
 16 tarsometatarsal joints by open reduction and internal fixation, arthrodesis, and arthroplasty.  
 17 The authors concluded that open reduction and internal fixation is the recommended option  
 18 for acute injuries, while arthrodesis can be used in cases of malunion of the fourth and fifth  
 19 tarsometatarsal joints with gross pain or arthritic changes and obvious structural deformity.  
 20 Arthroplasty was found to be an effective salvage operation mainly used in high-demand  
 21 patients with severe tarsometatarsal arthritis.

22  
 23 Contraindications for Lisfranc surgery include soft tissue swelling, severe peripheral  
 24 vascular disease or fracture due to nerve dysfunction, which can be seen with diabetics  
 25 (Charcot foot).

### 26 27 **Metatarsophalangeal Joint Dislocations**

28 Although metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joints of the foot are small, they are very stable, and  
 29 dislocations occur only very rarely. However, if left untreated or not properly managed, a  
 30 dislocation in this area can have a detrimental effect on the ability of the patient to bear  
 31 weight and walk. The current literature describing these cases are mainly limited to case  
 32 reports (Chafik et al., 2011; Kamath et al., 2005; Lo et al., 2013; Tosun et al. 2008;  
 33 Turkmensoy et al., 2015). These case studies concluded that open reduction treatment is  
 34 effective for metatarsophalangeal joint dislocations that are irreducible due to soft tissue  
 35 impingement.

### 36 37 **Tarsal Joint Dislocations**

38 There are circumstances which may require surgical management in the treatment of tarsal  
 39 or talotarsal joint dislocation. For example, nonoperative management of displaced intra-  
 40 articular fractures of the calcaneus can lead to malunion, which affects ankle and subtalar  
 41 joint function and may require surgical treatment (Stapleton et al., 2009).

1 According to Nanchahal et al. (2009), managing open talar injuries successfully is a clear  
 2 challenge but surgery is essential for wound excision, stabilization, and cover. Acute  
 3 management should include orthopedic and plastic surgeon assessment, debridement, and  
 4 provisional stabilization. With regard to joint dislocations (ankle or subtalar), these are to  
 5 be reduced at primary surgery (Nanchahal et al., 2009). A combination of internal and  
 6 external fixation techniques is often necessary in severe foot and ankle deformities  
 7 secondary to leg length discrepancies (Thakral & Conway, 2011).

## 9 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

10 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their  
 11 education, training and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may  
 12 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner  
 13 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services  
 14 and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

16 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if  
 17 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared  
 18 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently  
 19 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be  
 20 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

22 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or  
 23 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a  
 24 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular  
 25 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards  
 26 for Hospitals, 2020).

28 Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's  
 29 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the  
 30 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent  
 31 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their  
 32 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as  
 33 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice  
 34 guideline for information.

## 36 **References**

37 American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS) Cosmetic Surgery Position  
 38 Statement (2020). Retrieved on November 20, 2023 from:  
 39 <https://www.acfas.org/policypositionstatements/>

- 1 Chafik, R., Bouslous, J., Elhaoury, H., Saidi, H., & Fikry, T. (2011). Dorsal dislocation of  
 2 the first metatarsophalangeal joint associated with fractured second metatarsal head.  
 3 *Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 17(2), e31-e33. doi: 10.1016/j.fas.2010.12.006  
 4
- 5 Elniel, A. R., & Giannoudis, P. V. (2018). Open fractures of the lower extremity: current  
 6 management and clinical outcomes. *EFORT open reviews*, 3(5), 316-325  
 7
- 8 Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation  
 9 Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources  
 10
- 11 Kamath, S. U., Savur, A. D., & Pramod, S. (2005). Irreducible traumatic dislocation of the  
 12 fourth metatarsophalangeal joint — a case report. *Injury Extra*, 36(6), 212-213. doi:  
 13 10.1016/j.injury.2004.10.026  
 14
- 15 Lo, H., Liu, P. C., Shen, P. C., Chen, S. K., Cheng, Y. M., & Lu, C. C. (2013). Irreducible  
 16 metatarsophalangeal joint dislocation of the lesser toes: a case report. *Journal of the  
 17 American Podiatric Medical Association*, 103(3), 236-240  
 18
- 19 Moracia-Ochagavía, I., & Rodríguez-Merchán, E. C. (2019). Lisfranc fracture-  
 20 dislocations: current management. *EFORT open reviews*, 4(7), 430-444  
 21
- 22 Mulier, T., de Haan, J., Vriesendorp, P., & Reynders, P. (2010). The Treatment of Lisfranc  
 23 Injuries: Review of Current Literature. *European Journal of Trauma and Emergency  
 24 Surgery*, 36(3), 206-216. doi: 10.1007/s00068-010-1034-5  
 25
- 26 Nanchahal, J., Nayagam, S., Khan, U., Moran, C., Barrett, S., Sanderson, F., & Pallister, I.  
 27 (2009). *Standards for the management of open fractures of the lower limb*. British  
 28 Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Retrieved December 14,  
 29 2023 from [http://www.bapras.org.uk/docs/default-source/commissioning-and-  
 30 policy/standards-for-lower-limb.pdf?sfvrsn=0](http://www.bapras.org.uk/docs/default-source/commissioning-and-policy/standards-for-lower-limb.pdf?sfvrsn=0)  
 31
- 32 OrthoBullets. Lisfranc Injury. Updated June 19, 2023. Retrieved on December 14, 2023  
 33 from <https://www.orthobullets.com/foot-and-ankle/7030/lisfranc-injury/>  
 34
- 35 OrthoInfo. Lisfranc (Midfoot) Injury. Retrieved on December 14, 2023 from  
 36 <https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/diseases--conditions/lisfranc-midfoot-injury/>  
 37
- 38 Sripanich, Y., Weinberg, M. W., Krähenbühl, N., Rungprai, C., Haller, J., Saltzman, C. L.,  
 39 & Barg, A. (2020). Surgical outcome of chronic Lisfranc injury without secondary  
 40 degenerative arthritis: A systematic literature review☆. *Injury*, 51(6), 1258-1265. doi:  
 41 10.1016/j.injury.2020.04.005

- 1 Stapleton, J. J., Belczyk, R., & Zgonis, T. (2009). Surgical treatment of calcaneal fracture  
2 malunions and posttraumatic deformities. *Clinics in Podiatric Medicine and Surgery*,  
3 26(1), 79-90  
4
- 5 Stavlas, P., Roberts, C. S., Xypnitos, F. N., & Giannoudis, P. V. (2010). The role of  
6 reduction and internal fixation of Lisfranc fracture–dislocations: a systematic review  
7 of the literature. *International Orthopaedics*, 34(8), 1083-1091. Doi: 10.1007/s00264-  
8 010-1101-x  
9
- 10 Thakral, R., & Conway, J. D. (2011). Management of severe deformity using a  
11 combination of internal and external fixation. *Foot and Ankle Clinics*, 16(1), 137-63  
12
- 13 Tosun, B., Akansel, G., & Sarlak, A. Y. (2008). Traumatic Dislocation of the First  
14 Metatarsophalangeal Joint with Entrapment of the Flexor Hallucis Longus Tendon. *The*  
15 *Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, 47(4), 357-361. doi:  
16 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.jfas.2008.02.022>  
17
- 18 Turkmensoy, F., Erinc, S., Ergin, O. N., Ozkan, K., & Kemah, B. (2015). Irreducible Fifth  
19 Metatarsophalangeal Joint after Car Crush Injury. *Case Reports in Orthopaedics*, 2015,  
20 894057. doi: 10.1155/2015/894057  
21
- 22 van Rijn, J., Dorleijn, D. M., Boetes, B., Wiersma-Tuinstra, S., & Moonen, S. (2012).  
23 Missing the Lisfranc fracture: a case report and review of the literature. *Journal of Foot*  
24 *and Ankle Surgery*, 51(2), 270-274. Doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2011.10.048  
25
- 26 Watson, T. S., Shurnas, P. S., & Denker, J. (2010). Treatment of Lisfranc joint injury:  
27 current concepts. *The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*,  
28 18(12), 718-728  
29
- 30 Wright, M. P., & Michelson, J. D. (2013). Lisfranc injuries. *BMJ*, 347. doi:  
31 10.1136/bmj.f4561  
32
- 33 Yu, X., Pang, Q.-j., & Yu, G.-r. (2013). The injuries to the fourth and fifth tarsometatarsal  
34 joints: A review of the surgical management by internal fixation, arthrodesis and  
35 arthroplasty. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 29(2), 687-692  
36
- 37 Zhang, H., Min, L., Wang, G., Liu, L., Fang, Y., & Tu, C. (2013). [Clinical and  
38 radiographic evaluation of open reduction and internal fixation with headless  
39 compression screws in treatment of lisfranc joint injuries]. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong*  
40 *Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi*, 27(10), 1196-1201