

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline: Diabetic Shoes/Inserts**

2

3 **Date of Implementation: December 18, 2015**

4

5 **Product: Specialty**

6

7

8 **Table of Contents**

9 GUIDELINES 1

10 DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND 6

11 Therapeutic Shoes/Inserts for Diabetics 6

12 EVIDENCE REVIEW 8

13 Diabetic Foot Ulcers and Orthotics 8

14 PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING11

15 *References*12

16

17 **GUIDELINES**

18 A. American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers therapeutic shoes and inserts
 19 described by HCPCS Codes A5500, A5501, A5512, and A5513 to be medically
 20 necessary when **ALL of the following** criteria are met:

21

- 22 1. The patient has a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus as indicated by the diagnosis codes
 23 listed below:

24

25 **ICD-10 Codes and Descriptions**

| ICD-10 Code | ICD-10 Code Description |
|---|---|
| E08.00, E08.10 E08.21 – E08.29, E08.311 – E08.3599, E08.36 – E08.59, E08.610 – E08.638, E08.649, E08.65 – E08.69, E08.8 – E08.9 | Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition |

| ICD-10 Code | ICD-10 Code Description |
|--|--|
| E09.00, E09.10, E09.21 – E09.29, E09.311 – E09.3599, E09.36 – E09.59, E09.610 – E09.638, E09.649, E09.65 – E09.69, E09.8 – E09.9 | Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus |
| E10.10, E10.21 – E10.29, E10.311 – E10.3599, E10.36 – E10.59, E10.610 – E10.638, E10.649, E10.65 – E10.69, E10.8 – E10.9 | Type 1 diabetes mellitus |
| E11.00, E11.21 – E11.29, E11.311 – E11.3599, E11.36 – E11.59, E11.610 – E11.638, E11.649, E11.65 – E11.69, E11.8 – E11.9 | Type 2 diabetes mellitus |
| E13.00, E13.10, E13.21 – E13.29, E13.311 – E13.3599, E13.36 – E13.59, E13.610 – E13.638, E13.649, E13.65 – E13.69, E13.8 – E13.9 | Other specified diabetes mellitus |

- 1
- 2 2. The certifying physician has documented in the patient’s record* a foot condition,
- 3 as indicated by **1 or more of the following:**
- 4 a. Previous amputation of the other foot, or part of either
- 5 b. History of previous foot ulceration of either foot

- 1 c. History of pre-ulcerative calluses of either foot
 2 d. Peripheral neuropathy with evidence of callus formation of either foot
 3 e. Foot deformity of either foot
 4 f. Poor circulation in either foot
 5
- 6 3. The certifying physician has certified that indications (1) and (2) above are met and
 7 that he/she is treating the patient under a comprehensive plan of care for their
 8 diabetes and that the patient needs diabetic shoe(s). The certifying physician must:
 9 ○ Have an in-person visit with the patient during which diabetes management is
 10 addressed within 6 months prior to delivery of the shoes/inserts; and
 11 ○ Sign the certification statement on or after the date of the in-person visit and
 12 within 3 months prior to delivery of the shoes/inserts.
 13
- 14 4. Prior to selecting the specific items that will be provided; the supplier must conduct
 15 and document an in-person evaluation of the patient.
 16 ○ The in-person evaluation of the patient by the supplier at the time of selecting
 17 the items that will be provided must include at least the following:
 18 a) An examination of the beneficiary’s feet with a description of the
 19 abnormalities that will need to be accommodated by the
 20 shoes/inserts/modifications.
 21 b) For all shoes, taking measurements of the patient’s feet.
 22 c) For custom molded shoes (A5501) and inserts (A5513 and A5514), taking
 23 impressions, making casts, or obtaining CAD-CAM images of the patient’s
 24 feet that will be used in creating positive models of the feet.
 25
- 26 5. At the time of in-person delivery to the patient of the items selected, the supplier
 27 must conduct an objective assessment of the fit of the shoe and inserts and
 28 document the results. A patient’s subjective statements regarding fit as the sole
 29 documentation of the in-person delivery does not meet this criterion.
 30
- 31 *In order to meet criterion 2, the certifying physician must either:
 32 ○ Personally document one or more of criteria a – f in the medical record of an
 33 in-person visit within 6 months prior to delivery of the shoes/inserts and prior
 34 to or on the same day as signing the certification statement; or
 35 ○ Obtain, initial, date (prior to signing the certification statement), and indicate agreement
 36 with information from the medical records of an in-person visit with a podiatrist, medical
 37 or osteopathic physician, physician assistant, nurse practitioner, or clinical nurse specialist
 38 that is within 6 months prior to delivery of the shoes/inserts, and that documents one of
 39 more of criteria a – f.

1 For patients meeting the above criteria, coverage is limited to one of the following per
2 calendar year:

- 3 ○ One pair of depth shoe(s) (A5500) and 3 pairs of inserts (A5512, A5513, or
4 A5514) (not including the non-customized removable inserts provided with
5 such shoes; or
- 6 ○ One pair of custom molded shoes (A5501) (which includes inserts provided
7 with these shoes) and 2 additional pairs of inserts (A5512, A5513, or A5514).

8
9 A modification of a custom molded or depth shoe may be covered as a substitute for an
10 insert. Although not intended as a comprehensive list, the following are the most common
11 shoe modifications: rigid rocker bottoms (A5503), roller bottoms (A5503), wedges
12 (A5504), metatarsal bars (A5505), or offset heels (A5506). Other modifications to diabetic
13 shoes (A5507) include but are not limited to flared heels.

14
15 The Certifying Physician is defined as a Doctor of Medicine (M.D.) or a doctor of
16 osteopathy (D.O.) who is responsible for diagnosing and treating the beneficiary’s diabetic
17 systemic condition through a comprehensive plan of care. The certifying physician may
18 not be a podiatrist or clinical nurse specialist. A nurse practitioner (NP) and a physician
19 assistant (PA) may only serve in the role of the certifying physician when practicing
20 “incident to” the supervising physician’s authority if the following criteria are met:

- 21 • The supervising physician has documented in the medical record that the patient is
22 diabetic and has been, and continues to provide, the patient follow-up under a
23 comprehensive management program of that condition; and,
- 24 • The NP or PA certifies that the provision of the therapeutic shoes is part of the
25 comprehensive treatment plan being provided to the patient; and,
- 26 • The supervising physician must review and verify (sign and date) all of the NP or
27 PA notes in the medical record pertaining to the provision of the therapeutic shoes,
28 acknowledging their agreement with the actions of the NP or PA.

29
30 The Prescribing Physician is the person who actually writes the order for the therapeutic
31 shoe, modifications and inserts. This physician must be knowledgeable in the fitting of
32 diabetic shoes and inserts. The prescribing physician may be a podiatrist, M.D., D.O.,
33 physician assistant, nurse practitioner, or clinical nurse specialist. The prescribing
34 physician may be the supplier (i.e., the one who furnishes the footwear).

35
36 The Supplier is the person or entity that actually furnishes the shoe, modification, and/or
37 insert to the beneficiary and that bills Medicare. The supplier may be a podiatrist,
38 pedorthist, orthotist, prosthetist or other qualified individual. The Prescribing Physician
39 may be the supplier. The Certifying Physician may only be the supplier if the certifying
40 physician is practicing in a defined rural area or a defined health professional shortage area.

1 Codes for inserts or modifications (A5512, A5513, or A5514) may only be used for items
2 related to diabetic shoes (A5500 or A5501).

4 Code A5507 is only to be used for not otherwise specified therapeutic modifications to the
5 shoe or for repairs to a diabetic shoe(s).

7 Deluxe features must be coded using code A5508.

9 Codes for inserts or modifications (A5503, A5504, A5505, A5506, A5507, A5508, A5510,
10 A5512, A5513, A5514) may only be used for items related to diabetic shoes (A5500,
11 A5501). (See descriptions in the section below.)

13 These criteria are consistent with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
14 guidelines.

16 HCPCS Codes and Descriptions

| HCPCS Code | HCPCS Code Description |
|------------|--|
| A5500 | For diabetics only, fitting (including follow-up), custom preparation and supply of off-the-shelf depth-inlay shoe manufactured to accommodate multidensity insert(s), per shoe |
| A5501 | For diabetics only, fitting (including follow-up), custom preparation and supply of shoe molded from cast(s) of patient's foot (custom molded shoe), per shoe |
| A5512 | For diabetics only, multiple density insert, direct formed, molded to foot after external heat source of 230 degrees Fahrenheit or higher, total contact with patient's foot, including arch, base layer minimum of 1/4 inch material of Shore A 35 durometer or 3/16 inch material of Shore A 40 durometer (or higher), prefabricated, each |
| A5513 | For diabetics only, multiple density insert, custom molded from model of patient's foot, total contact with patient's foot, including arch, base layer minimum of 3/16 inch material of Shore A 35 durometer (or higher), includes arch filler and other shaping material, custom fabricated, each |
| A5514 | For diabetics only, multiple density insert, made by direct carving with CAM technology from a rectified CAD model created from a digitized scan of the patient, total contact with patient's foot, including arch, base layer minimum of 3/16 inch material of shore A 35 durometer (or higher), includes arch filler and other shaping material, custom fabricated, each |

1 **DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

2 Diabetic foot disease results in significant morbidity, mortality, and health care cost. Foot
3 ulcerations, infections, peripheral neuropathy, and lower extremity amputations are some
4 of the common consequences of diabetes. Regular nail care, callus removal, and education
5 can prevent plantar ulceration. Additionally, protective footwear and custom orthotics
6 improve function by reducing force and shear impact on the fragile foot and accommodate
7 the patient's deformities.

8
9 **Therapeutic Shoes/Inserts for Diabetics**

10 A depth shoe (A5500) is one that has a full length, heel-to-toe filler that when removed
11 provides a minimum of 3/16" of additional depth used to accommodate custom-molded or
12 customized inserts. It is made from leather or other suitable material of equal quality; and
13 has some form of shoe closure. It is available in full and half sizes with a minimum of three
14 widths so that the sole is graded to the size and width of the upper portions of the shoe
15 according to the American standard last sizing schedule or its equivalent (The American
16 last sizing schedule is the numerical shoe sizing system used for shoes in the United States).
17 The depth shoe may or may not have an internally seamless toe.

18
19 A custom-molded shoe (A5501) is constructed over a positive model of the patient's foot.
20 It is made from leather or other suitable material of equal quality and has removable inserts
21 that can be altered or replaced as the patient's condition warrants. This shoe has some form
22 of shoe closure and may or may not have an internally seamless toe.

23
24 Code A5512 describes a total contact, multiple density, prefabricated removable inlay that
25 is directly molded to the patient's foot. Direct molded means it has been conformed by
26 molding directly to match the plantar surface of the individual patient's foot. Total contact
27 means it makes and retains actual and continuous physical contact with the weight-bearing
28 portions of the foot, including the arch throughout the standing and walking phases of gait.

29
30 The insert must retain its shape during use for the life of the insert. The layer responsible
31 for shape retention is called the "base layer" in the code descriptor. This material usually
32 constitutes the bottom layer of the device and must be of a sufficient thickness and
33 durometer to maintain its shape during use (i.e., at least ¼ inch of 35 Shore A or higher or
34 at least 3/16 inch of 40 Shore A or higher). The material responsible for maintaining the
35 shape of the device must be heat moldable. The specified thickness of the base layer must
36 extend from the heel through the distal metatarsals and may be absent at the toes.

37
38 Code A5513 describes a total contact, custom fabricated, multiple density, and removable
39 inlay that is molded to a model of the patient's foot so that it conforms to the plantar surface
40 and makes total contact with the foot, including the arch. A custom fabricated device is
41 made from materials that do not have predefined trim lines for heel cup height, arch height
42 and length, or toe shape.

1 The insert must retain its shape during use for the life of the insert. The base layer of the
 2 device must be at least 3/16 inch of 35 Shore A or higher material. The base layer is allowed
 3 to be thinner in the custom fabricated device because appropriate arch fill or other
 4 additional material will be layered up individually to maintain shape and achieve total
 5 contact and accommodate each patient’s specific needs. The central portion of the base
 6 layer of the heel may be thinner (but at least 1/16 inch) to allow for greater pressure
 7 reduction. The specified thickness of the lateral portions of the base layer must extend from
 8 the heel through the distal metatarsals and may be absent at the toes. The top layer of the
 9 device may be of a lower durometer and must also be heat moldable. The materials used
 10 should be suitable with regards to the patient’s condition.

11
 12 Code A5514 describes a total contact, custom fabricated, multiple density, removable inlay
 13 that is directly milled from a rectified virtual model of the patient's foot so that it conforms
 14 to the plantar surface and makes total contact with the foot, including the arch. A custom
 15 fabricated device is made from materials that do not have predefined trim lines for heel cup
 16 height, arch height and length, or toe shape.

17
 18 The A5514 insert must retain its shape during use for the life of the insert. The base layer
 19 of the device must be at least 3/16 inch of 35 Shore A or higher material. The base layer is
 20 allowed to be thinner in the custom fabricated device because appropriate arch fill or other
 21 additional material will be layered up individually to maintain shape and achieve total
 22 contact and accommodate each patient’s specific needs. The central portion of the base
 23 layer of the heel may be thinner (but at least 1/16 inch) to allow for greater pressure
 24 reduction. The specified thickness of the lateral portions of the base layer must extend from
 25 the heel through the distal metatarsals and may be absent at the toes. The top layer of the
 26 device may be of a lower durometer and must also be heat moldable. The materials used
 27 should be suitable with regards to the patient's condition.

28
 29 Rigid rocker bottoms (A5503) are exterior elevations with apex position for 51 percent to
 30 75 percent distance measured from the back end of the heel. The apex is a narrowed or
 31 pointed end of an anatomical structure. The apex must be positioned behind the metatarsal
 32 heads and tapering off sharply to the front tip of the sole. Apex height helps to eliminate
 33 pressure at the metatarsal heads. Rigidity is ensured by the steel in the shoe. The heel of
 34 the shoe tapers off in the back in order to cause the heel to strike in the middle of the heel.

35
 36 Roller bottoms (sole or bar) (A5503) are the same as rocker bottoms, but the heel is tapered
 37 from the apex to the front tip of the sole.

38
 39 Wedges (posting) (A5504) are either of hind foot, fore foot, or both and may be in the
 40 middle or to the side. The function is to shift or transfer weight bearing upon standing or
 41 during ambulation to the opposite side for added support, stabilization, equalized weight
 42 distribution, or balance.

1 Metatarsal bars (A5505) are exterior bars which are placed behind the metatarsal heads in
 2 order to remove pressure from the metatarsal heads. The bars are of various shapes, heights,
 3 and construction depending on the exact purpose.

4
 5 Offset heel (A5506) is a heel flanged at its base either in the middle, to the side, or a
 6 combination, that is then extended upward to the shoe in order to stabilize extreme
 7 positions of the hind foot.

8
 9 A deluxe feature (A5508) does not contribute to the therapeutic function of the shoe. It
 10 may include, but is not limited to style, color, or type of leather.

11 **EVIDENCE REVIEW**

12 **Diabetic Foot Ulcers and Orthotics**

13 Diabetic foot ulcers are a serious issue and have many functional implications. Spencer
 14 (2000) completed a Cochrane Systematic Review on the pressure-relieving interventions
 15 used for preventing or treating these foot ulcers. Five total RCTs met the inclusion criteria:
 16 4 for prevention and 1 for treatment. The studies for prevention of foot ulcers suggested
 17 that in-shoe orthotics are beneficial as a sole intervention when comparing different types
 18 of orthotics, and as compared to removal of the callus. They could not conclude whether it
 19 was the cushioning or the pressure re-distribution that provided the positive outcomes, as
 20 the data indicated equality of the two. Many other pressure-relieving methods (e.g.,
 21 removable casts or foam inlays) have not been investigated adequately. For the one study
 22 on treatment of ulcers, contact casting indicated positive results, but evidence was limited.
 23 More research is needed to effectively demonstrate appropriate treatment interventions for
 24 the diabetic foot ulcer.
 25

26
 27 Chevalier and Chockalingam (2012) examined the role of the practitioner in foot orthoses
 28 effectiveness. They emphasize that while foot orthoses have been shown to have positive
 29 effects in the literature for various lower extremity issues, the literature is of variable
 30 quality and outcomes. The exact mechanisms of orthotic use are not fully understood but
 31 seem to relate to reducing plantar pressure and changing biomechanics of the foot and knee.
 32 Added into this is practitioner variability in the assessment of orthoses performance. Eleven
 33 practitioners participated in this study. Each completed a clinical assessment of one subject
 34 and then created custom orthotics based on that assessment and casting in a neutral non-
 35 weight bearing position. Each subject completed ten trials (i.e., 10 walks over force plates
 36 wearing each of the custom orthotics made by each of the eleven practitioners). Kinetic
 37 and kinematic data were recorded for each trial. Results demonstrated that systematic
 38 kinematic effects could be observed for the kinematic data in the sagittal plane for forefoot
 39 to hindfoot and hindfoot to tibia peak angles. This confirmed for the authors that inter-
 40 practitioner variability is a major factor in orthotic intervention for patients with various
 41 conditions. They suggest that caution be taken when considering the literature where
 42 customized orthotics are used as an intervention based on the practitioner variability noted

1 in this study, where clinical assessments vastly differ for the same patient. Evidence in the
2 published scientific literature does not demonstrate a clear advantage of one treatment over
3 another. Experts generally recommend that conservative therapy should be tried first, and
4 over-the-counter arch supports, and heel pads should be tried for most patients prior to the
5 use of custom-fabricated devices.

6
7 Lewis and Lipp (2013) determined the effects of pressure-relieving interventions on the
8 healing of foot ulcers in people with diabetes in a Cochrane Review. Fourteen trials (709
9 participants) met the inclusion criteria for the review. One study compared two different
10 types of non-removable casts with no discernable difference between the groups. Seven
11 studies (366 participants) compared non-removable casts with removable pressure-
12 relieving devices. In 5 of those studies non-removable casts were associated with a
13 statistically significant increase in the number of ulcers healed compared with the
14 removable device. Two studies (98 participants) found that significantly more ulcers healed
15 with non-removable casts than with dressings alone. Achilles tendon lengthening
16 combined with a non-removable cast in one study resulted in significantly more healed
17 ulcers at 7 months than non-removable cast alone. More ulcers remained healed at two
18 years in this group. Other comparisons included surgical debridement of ulcers; felt fitted
19 to the foot; felted foam dressings and none of these showed a statistically significant
20 treatment effect in favor of the intervention. Authors concluded that non-removable,
21 pressure-relieving casts are more effective in healing diabetes related plantar foot ulcers
22 than removable casts, or dressings alone. Non-removable devices, when combined with
23 Achilles tendon lengthening were more successful in one forefoot ulcer study than the use
24 of a non-removable cast alone.

25
26 Bus et al. (2015) systematically reviewed footwear and offloading interventions to prevent
27 and heal foot ulcers and reduce plantar pressure in patients with diabetes. Authors reviewed
28 both controlled and non-controlled studies. They included two systematic reviews and
29 meta-analyses, 32 randomized controlled trials, 15 other controlled studies, and another
30 127 non-controlled studies. Sufficient evidence of good quality supports the use of non-
31 removable offloading to heal plantar neuropathic forefoot ulcers and therapeutic footwear
32 with demonstrated pressure relief that is worn by the patient to prevent plantar foot ulcer
33 recurrence. The evidence base to support the use of other offloading interventions is still
34 limited and of variable quality. The evidence for the use of interventions to prevent a first
35 foot ulcer or heal ischemic, infected, non-plantar, or proximal foot ulcers is basically non-
36 existent. High-quality controlled studies are needed in these areas.

37
38 Ahmed et al. (2020) aimed to summarize and evaluate the evidence for footwear and insole
39 features that reduce pathological plantar pressures and the occurrence of diabetic
40 neuropathy ulceration at the plantar forefoot in people with diabetic neuropathy. Twenty-
41 five studies were reviewed. This involved a total of 2,063 participants. Eleven studies
42 investigated footwear, and 14 studies investigated insoles as an intervention. Six studies

1 investigated ulcer recurrence; no study investigated the first occurrence of ulceration. The
2 most commonly examined outcome measures were peak plantar pressure, pressure-time
3 integral and total contact area. Methodological quality varied. Strong evidence existed for
4 rocker soles to reduce peak plantar pressure. Moderate evidence existed for custom insoles
5 to offload forefoot plantar pressure. There was weak evidence that insole contact area
6 influenced plantar pressure. Authors concluded that rocker soles, custom-made insoles
7 with metatarsal additions and a high degree of contact between the insole and foot reduce
8 plantar pressures in a manner that may reduce ulcer occurrence. Most studies rely on
9 reduction in plantar pressure measures as an outcome, rather than the occurrence of
10 ulceration. There is limited evidence to inform footwear and insole interventions and
11 prescription in this population. Further high-quality studies in this field are required.

12
13 Kaminski et al. (2022) aimed to systematically identify and adapt suitable international
14 guidelines to the Australian context to create new Australian evidence-based guidelines on
15 prevention of first-ever and/or recurrent diabetes-related foot ulceration (DFU). Relative
16 to these guidelines, Recommendation 8 was adopted and states: Consider prescribing
17 orthotic interventions, such as toe silicone or (semi-)rigid orthotic devices, to help reduce
18 abundant callus in a person with diabetes who is at risk for foot ulceration. Moon et al.
19 (2023) concluded that, based on the literature; to prevent diabetic foot ulcers, practitioners
20 should regularly screen patients for the presence of neuropathy as well as
21 neuroarthropathies and prescribe the appropriate shoes and orthotics based on the best
22 available clinical evidence. Although not widely available, there is potential for data-driven
23 customization of orthotics and shoe wear based on plantar pressure data to prevent the
24 development of diabetic foot ulcers more effectively, and ultimately prevent lower limb
25 amputations.

26
27 López-Moral et al. (2024) evaluated therapeutic footwear expectations and usability of
28 individuals with diabetes and foot complications. Participants were enrolled in 11 different
29 specialized diabetic foot units in Spain between March 2022 and June 2023. Subjects were
30 patients with diabetes who were at moderate to high risk of foot ulceration and were
31 receiving their first pair of therapeutic footwear. Primary outcome measures were MOS-
32 pre and MOS-post questionnaires evaluating use and usability of prescribed therapeutic
33 footwear. Secondary outcome measures aimed to evaluate footwear clinical efficacy as
34 ulceration rate and self-reported perceived walking distance per day. During the follow-up
35 period, 39 participants (29.1%) experienced diabetic foot ulcer. Perceived walking distance
36 participants reported an improvement in their perceived walking ability during various
37 daily life activities. Authors concluded that diabetes patients at moderate to high risk of
38 diabetic foot ulcer improved their perception of walking ability after therapeutic footwear
39 prescription. Adherence to the therapeutic footwear prescription resulted in less
40 ulcerations.

1 Bus et al. (2024) updated a previous review with the following recommendations:

- 2 • Screening a person with diabetes at very low risk of foot ulceration annually for the
- 3 loss of protective sensation and peripheral artery disease, and screening persons at
- 4 higher risk at higher frequencies for additional risk factors.
- 5 • For preventing a foot ulcer, educate persons at-risk about appropriate foot self-care,
- 6 educate not to walk without suitable foot protection, and treat any pre-ulcerative
- 7 lesion on the foot.
- 8 • Educate moderate-to-high risk people with diabetes to wear properly fitting,
- 9 accommodative, therapeutic footwear, and consider coaching them to monitor foot
- 10 skin temperature.
- 11 • Prescribe therapeutic footwear that has a demonstrated plantar pressure relieving
- 12 effect during walking, to help prevent plantar foot ulcer recurrence.
- 13 • Consider advising people at low-to-moderate risk to undertake a preferably
- 14 supervised, foot-ankle exercise program to reduce ulcer risk factors and consider
- 15 communicating that a total increase in weight-bearing activity of 1000 steps/day is
- 16 likely safe with regards to risk of ulceration.
- 17 • In people with non-rigid hammertoe with pre-ulcerative lesion, consider flexor
- 18 tendon tenotomy.
- 19 • Do not to use a nerve decompression procedure to help prevent foot ulcers.
- 20 • Provide integrated foot care for moderate-to-high-risk people with diabetes to help
- 21 prevent (recurrence of) ulceration.

22 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

23 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their

24 education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may

25 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner

26 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services

27 and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

28

29

30 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if

31 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared

32 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently

33 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be

34 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

35

36 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or

37 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a

38 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular

39 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards

40 for Hospitals, 2020).

1 Depending on the practitioner’s scope of practice, training, and experience, a member’s
 2 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the
 3 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent
 4 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their
 5 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as
 6 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice
 7 guideline for information.

9 **References**

- 10 Ahmed S, Barwick A, Butterworth P, Nancarrow S. Footwear and insole design features
 11 that reduce neuropathic plantar forefoot ulcer risk in people with diabetes: a systematic
 12 literature review. *J Foot Ankle Res.* 2020;13(1):30. Published 2020 Jun 4
 13
- 14 American Medical Association (current year). HCPCS Level II. American Medical
 15 Association
 16
- 17 American Medical Association. (current year). ICD-10-CM. American Medical
 18 Association
 19
- 20 Bus SA, van Deursen RW, Armstrong DG, Lewis J, Caravaggi CF, Cavanagh PR;
 21 International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot (IWGDF). Footwear and offloading
 22 interventions to prevent and heal foot ulcers and reduce plantar pressure in patients
 23 with diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2015 Sep 5
 24
- 25 Bus SA, Sacco ICN, Monteiro-Soares M, et al. Guidelines on the prevention of foot ulcers
 26 in persons with diabetes (IWGDF 2023 update). *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.*
 27 2024;40(3):e3651. doi:10.1002/dmrr.3651
 28
- 29 Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Article (LCA): Therapeutic
 30 Shoes for Persons with Diabetes Policy Article (A52501). Retrieved on October 4,
 31 2024 from: [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleId=52501)
 32 [database/view/article.aspx?articleId=52501](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleId=52501)
 33
- 34 Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Local Coverage Determination (LCD):
 35 Therapeutic Shoes for Persons with Diabetes (LCD L33369). Retrieved on October 4,
 36 2024 from [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?LCDId=33369)
 37 [database/view/lcd.aspx?LCDId=33369](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?LCDId=33369)
 38
- 39 Chevalier TL, Chockalingam N. Effects of foot orthoses: How important is the
 40 practitioner? *Gait Posture.* 2012 Mar;35(3):383-8

- 1 Green, M. F., Aliabadi, Z., & Green, B. T. (2002). Diabetic foot: evaluation and
2 management. *Southern Medical Journal*, 95(1), 95-101
3
- 4 Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation
5 Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources
6
- 7 Kaminski MR, Golledge J, Lasschuit JWJ, et al. Australian guideline on prevention of foot
8 ulceration: part of the 2021 Australian evidence-based guidelines for diabetes-related
9 foot disease. *J Foot Ankle Res.* 2022;15(1):53. Published 2022 Jul 6.
10 doi:10.1186/s13047-022-00534-7
11
- 12 La Fontaine, J., Lavery, L. A., Hunt, N. A., & Murdoch, D. P. (2014). The role of surgical
13 off-loading to prevent recurrent ulcerations. *The International Journal of Lower*
14 *Extremity Wounds*, 13(4), 320-334. doi: 10.1177/1534734614555002
15
- 16 Lewis J, Lipp A. Pressure-relieving interventions for treating diabetic foot ulcers. *Cochrane*
17 *Database Syst Rev.* 2013;(1):CD002302. Published 2013 Jan 31.
18 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002302.pub2
19
- 20 López-Moral M, Molines-Barroso RJ, Altonaga-Calvo BJ, et al. Evaluation of usability,
21 adherence, and clinical efficacy of therapeutic footwear in persons with diabetes at
22 moderate to high risk of diabetic foot ulcers: A multicenter prospective study. *Clin*
23 *Rehabil.* 2024;38(5):612-622. doi:10.1177/02692155231225743
24
- 25 Miller, J., & Armstrong, D. G. (2014). Offloading the diabetic and ischemic foot: solutions
26 for the vascular specialist. *Seminars in Vascular Surgery*, 27(1), 68-74. doi:
27 10.1053/j.semvascsurg.2014.10.002
28
- 29 Moon D, Cao N, Martinez B. Shoe and Bracing Considerations for the Insensate Foot:
30 Shoe considerations for diabetic foot. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2022;33(4):845-
31 856. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2022.06.012
32
- 33 Spencer S. Pressure relieving interventions for preventing and treating diabetic foot ulcers.
34 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2000;(3):CD002302