	Clinical Practice Guideline:	Biofeedback
	Date of Implementation:	November 15, 2018
4 5 F 6	Product:	Specialty

7 Table of Contents

8	GUIDELINES
9	Medically Necessary
10	Unproven
11	BACKGROUND
12	Urinary Incontinence
13	Fecal Incontinence
14	Levator Ani Syndrome
15	Chronic Constipation
16	Migraine and Tension-type Headache
17	Neuromuscular Rehabilitation
18	EVIDENCE REVIEW10
19	Urinary Incontinence
20	Fecal Incontinence17
21	Levator Ani Syndrome
22	Chronic Constipation
23	Migraine and Tension-type Headache
24	Neuromuscular Rehabilitation
25	Other Conditions
26	Cancer
27	Chronic Neck, Upper Back and Low Back Pain
28	Epilepsy
29	Fibromyalgia
30	Functional Dyspepsia (FD)
31	Hypertension
32	Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS)
33	Labor Pain
34	Knee Conditions
35	Nonneuropathic Voiding Disorders

Page 1 of 71

1	Raynaud's Syndrome
2	Recurrent Urinary Tract Infection
3	Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)
4	Sleep Bruxism
5	Temporomandibular Disorders (TMD)/Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) Disorders
6	Tinnitus
7	Upper Limb Pain
8	Vulvodynia
9	EEG Biofeedback/Neurofeedback
10	Home Biofeedback Devices
11	PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING
12	References
12	Kelefences
15 14	GUIDELINES
15	
16	Medically Necessary
17	Biofeedback performed by a licensed healthcare professional is considered medically
18	necessary for ANY of the following conditions*:
19	• Chronic constipation with dyssynergic defecation (adults only).
20	• Fecal incontinence for patients with:
21	• Some degree of rectal sensation; and
22	• Ability to contract the sphincter voluntarily; and
23	• Failure/intolerance/contraindication of treatment with dietary changes,
24	devices, or drugs.
25	• Stress, urgency, mixed, or overflow urinary incontinence when there is
26 27	failure/intolerance/contraindication of other nonpharmacologic treatment (e.g., bladder training and/or pelvic floor muscle training [PFMT]) (children and adults)
27	 Migraine and tension headaches (children and adults).
20	 Muscle re-education of specific muscle groups or for treating pathological muscle
30	abnormalities of spasticity, incapacitating muscle spasm, or weakness, and more
31	conventional treatments (heat, cold, massage, exercise, support) have not been
32	successful.
33	• This therapy is not covered as medically necessary for treatment of ordinary
34	muscle tension states or for psychosomatic conditions.
35	• Refractory levator ani syndrome (e.g., proctalgia fugax, chronic anal pain
36	syndrome, anal spasm) with dyssynergic defecation when:
37	• Condition is not neurological, or disease based.
38	• Failure/intolerance/contraindication of conservative treatment including:
39	 High-fiber diet;

Page 2 of 71

1	• Withdrawal of drugs that cause constipation (e.g., calcium channel
2	blockers, narcotics) or diarrhea (e.g., antibiotics, quinidine, theophylline);
3	 Perineal strengthening exercises;
4	 Rectal massage;
5	• Warm baths; and
6	 Drug therapy (e.g., muscle relaxants, non-narcotic analgesics, and
7	sedatives). *NOTES:
8	
9 10	• Patients must be cognitively intact and willing and motivated to learn and practice the specific tasks needed to correct/improve their condition.
10	 The patient's care plan requires co-management with other appropriate health care
11	providers.
12	• There should be a written treatment plan which must include all of the following
13	information:
15	• The specific diagnosis/conditions to be treated;
16	• Long- and short-term goals;
17	• Measurable objectives;
18	• The time frame and the frequency of treatment in which the goals and objectives
19	will be achieved.
20	
21	Unproven
22	Biofeedback for ANY other indication is considered unproven, including but not limited
23	to:
24	• As a rehabilitation modality for spasmodic torticollis, spinal cord injury, or
25	following knee surgeries
26	• Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)
27	• Autism
28	 Bell's palsy (idiopathic facial paralysis)
29	• Cardiovascular diseases (e.g., heart failure)
30	Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy
31	Childhood apraxia of speech
32	Chronic fatigue syndrome
33	• Chronic pain (e.g., back pain, fibromyalgia, neck pain) other than migraine and
34	tension headache
35	• Epilepsy
36	• Essential hypertension (e.g., by means of the RESPeRATE TM Device)
37	• Facial pain
38	Functional dysphonia
39	Home Biofeedback (for any indication)
40	• Improvement of anorectal/bowel functions after sphincter-saving surgery for rectal
41	cancer
33 34	• Chronic pain (e.g., back pain, fibromyalgia, neck pain) other than migraine and tension headache
36	
37	• Facial pain
38	Functional dysphonia
39	
41	

Page 3 of 71

1 • Neurog	genic bladder
------------	---------------

- Non-neuropathic voiding disorders
- Labor pain
- Prophylaxis of medication overuse headache and pediatric migraine
- 5 Raynaud's disease/phenomenon
- 6 Rheumatoid arthritis
- 7 Sleep bruxism
- Spasticity secondary to cerebral palsy
- 9 Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) syndrome
- Toe-out gait modification/retraining in people with knee osteoarthritis
- 11 Vaginismus
 - Vulvodynia
- 12 13

2

3

4

- 14 The following is considered not medically necessary and/or unproven:
 - Electroencephalography (EEG) biofeedback or neurofeedback for any indication
- 15 16 17

Covered When Medically Necessary

CPT[®] Codes	CPT [®] Code Description
90901	Biofeedback training by any modality
90912	Biofeedback training, perineal muscles, anorectal or urethral sphincter, including EMG and/or manometry, when performed; initial 15 minutes of one-on-one physician or
	other qualified health care professional contact with the patient
90913	Biofeedback training, perineal muscles, anorectal or urethral sphincter, including EMG and/or manometry, when performed; each additional 15 minutes of one-on-one physician or other qualified health care professional contact with the patient (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

18

19 BACKGROUND

The three most commonly used forms of biofeedback therapy are: (1) electromyography (EMG), which measures muscle tension; (2) thermal biofeedback, which measures skin temperature; and (3) neurofeedback or electroencephalography (EEG), which measures brain wave activity. Various forms of biofeedback appear to be effective for a narrow range of health problems. This guideline includes various indications or proposed indications for biofeedback (EMG and/or thermal), electroencephalography (EEG) biofeedback or neurofeedback, and in-home biofeedback devices.

Biofeedback therapy provides visual, auditory, or other evidence of the status of certain 1 body functions so that a person can exert voluntary control over the functions, and thereby 2 alleviate an abnormal bodily condition. Biofeedback therapy often uses electrical devices 3 to transform bodily signals indicative of such functions as heart rate, blood pressure, skin 4 temperature, salivation, peripheral vasomotor activity, and gross muscle tone into a tone or 5 light, the loudness or brightness of which shows the extent of activity in the function being 6 measured. It emphasizes relaxation, enhancement of muscle contraction and/or stress-7 reduction. Biofeedback is considered an alternative medicine technique (National Center 8 for Complementary and Alternative Medicine [NCCAM], 2017; Holroyd et al., 2003; 9 Karmody, 2003; Kiresuk et al., 2005). 10

11

There are several different types of biofeedback. The biofeedback modality selected for 12 therapy depends on the condition to be treated. EMG biofeedback measures muscle tension 13 and is proposed for the treatment of chronic muscle stiffness, injury, and pain (e.g., neck 14 and back pain); headaches, asthma, incontinence; and intestinal symptoms. Thermal or 15 temperature biofeedback measures skin temperature and is proposed for the treatment of 16 circulatory disorders, such as headaches, hypertension, and Raynaud's phenomenon. 17 Galvanic skin response (GSR) biofeedback, also called electrodermal response (EDR), 18 electrodermal activity (EDA), skin conductance response (SCR) or skin conductance level 19 20 (SCL) biofeedback, measures electrical conductance in the skin associated with sweat gland activity and perspiration. GSR is proposed for the treatment of anxiety disorders and 21 phobias. Another form of biofeedback is electroencephalogram (EEG) biofeedback, also 22 called neurofeedback, brainwave biofeedback or neurotherapy, which measures alpha 23 (associated with relaxation and meditation) and theta (associated with focused attention) 24 brainwave activity. It is proposed to counterbalance genetic and environmental tendencies 25 by learning to alter brain wave patterns. EEG biofeedback has been proposed for the 26 treatment of multiple conditions including insomnia, attention deficit hyperactivity 27 disorder (ADHD), dyslexia, anxiety disorders, autism spectrum disorders, epilepsy, 28 addictions, tinnitus, brain injury, depression, learning disabilities, pervasive developmental 29 delay/intellectual disability, fibromyalgia, dyslexia. However, the evidence in the 30 published peer-reviewed scientific literature does not support the efficacy of EEG 31 biofeedback. 32

33

Forms of biofeedback have been in use in physical therapy for more than 50 years, where 34 it is beneficial in the management of neuromuscular disorders. Biofeedback techniques 35 have shown benefit when used as part of a physical therapy program for people with motor 36 weakness or dysfunction after stroke, after orthopedic surgery, or due to other 37 neuromuscular diseases. These methods are getting better at training for complex task-38 39 oriented activities like walking and grasping objects as technology continues to advance. Aside from neuromuscular retraining, the most common use for biofeedback is to help with 40 chronic symptom management due to anxiety, pain, and urinary and fecal incontinence. 41 These techniques focus on managing the overactive sympathetic response and coordinating 42

Page 5 of 71

1 muscle activity in gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts. Biofeedback techniques are

2 generally regarded as safe and free of side effects. For this reason, they are incorporated

into treatment plans despite lacking strong evidence to support their benefits (Malik and
Dua, 2021).

5

Although there are numerous biofeedback devices available for home use, biofeedback 6 should be performed in a clinical setting with the continuous presence of the physician or 7 by a qualified non-physician practitioner. Continuous presence requires one-on-one face-8 to-face involvement with the patient and practitioner during training. Qualified non-9 physician practitioners include physical and occupational therapists in independent 10 11 practice, Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants, and Clinical Nurse Specialists. Examples of home devices include: StressEraser® (Helicor, Inc., New York, NY) for mind 12 and body relaxation; BrainMaster (BrainMaster Technologies, Inc., Oakwood Village, 13 OH) EEG biofeedback devices; GSR/Temp2XTM (Biofeedback Instrument Corp., New 14 York, NY) temperature biofeedback system; and RESPeRate (Intercure Ltd., Lod, Israel) 15 which uses therapeutic paced breathing to lower blood pressure. 16

17

18 Urinary Incontinence

Urinary incontinence (UI) affects people of all ages, especially elderly women. Among 19 20 adults, there are 4 prevalent types of UI: stress incontinence (closure problem), urge incontinence (storage problem), overflow incontinence, and mixed stress and urge 21 incontinence. In women, stress incontinence is generally caused by an incompetent urethral 22 mechanism which arises from damage to the sphincter(s) or weakening of the bladder neck 23 support that typically occurred during childbirth. In men, stress incontinence is usually a 24 consequence of operations for benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH) or prostate cancer. Urge 25 incontinence is usually associated with an over-activity of the detrusor muscle. When the 26 involuntary contraction of the detrusor muscle is associated with a neurological deficit, it 27 is known as detrusor hyperreflexia. On the other hand, when detrusor over-activity is not 28 associated with any neurological deficit, it is labeled as detrusor instability (unstable 29 bladder). Overflow incontinence may be due to an underactive detrusor muscle or 30 obstruction of the urethra. In men, overflow incontinence associated with obstruction is 31 usually due to prostatic hyperplasia. Urethral obstruction in women may occur as a 32 33 consequence of anti-incontinence operation or severe prolapse of the uterus or relaxation of the anterior vaginal wall with cystocele or cystourethrocele. 34

35

Over 20 million women experience stress, urgency, or mixed incontinence (Wu et al., 2009). There are limited non-surgical treatment options available for women with stress, mixed, and urgency UI and most require the involvement of skilled healthcare professionals, which may be limited in number. Additionally, geographical access can be challenging for first line treatment of UI. Studies estimate that at least 50% of women do not seek care for UI (Morrill et al., 2007; Berger et al., 2011). Disparities specific to urinary incontinence exist relative to race and ethnicity, education, socioeconomic status,

Page 6 of 71

knowledge of UI and care, access to care, and treatment (Brown and Simon, 2021). These 1 factors create barriers to health equity. Also inherent in these disparities is the concept that 2 certain populations may be structurally vulnerable to disparate health outcomes because 3 these groups experience individual patient and system mismatches. A few vulnerable 4 groups identified by Brown and Simon (2021) relative to UI include Black and Native 5 women, individuals with language deficiencies, and rural populations. Access to services 6 (or lack thereof) for UI complicate and impact these structurally vulnerable groups further. 7 First line treatment of urinary incontinence (stress, urgency, mixed) consists of behavioral 8 treatments with an emphasis on improving quality of life because of their relatively non-9 invasive and low risk nature. Initial treatment includes lifestyle modifications and pelvic 10 11 floor muscle exercise (Kegel exercises).

12

Biofeedback is used as an adjunct to pelvic floor muscle exercises. By providing 13 individuals with concurrent feedback on muscle tone, biofeedback is intended to improve 14 the patient's ability to perform pelvic muscle exercises. Augmented versions also use 15 abdominal and perineal EMG recordings to demonstrate improper contraction of 16 abdominal and gluteal muscles. Pelvic muscle exercises can aid in strengthening the 17 voluntary periurethral and pelvic muscles needed to maintain urinary continence since 18 contractions of these muscles raise the urethral pressure. This form of exercise is indicated 19 20 for women with stress incontinence, men with incontinence following prostatic surgery, and patients with urge incontinence. Depending on the type of UI, patients are taught to 21 contract the pelvic floor muscles, relax the detrusor and the abdominal muscles, and/or 22 contract the sphincters. However, patients are often not compliant with their home pelvic 23 floor muscle training programs, with research demonstrating 25%-33% adherence rates 24 (Moen et al., 2009; Porta Roda et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2021). And for those referred for 25 pelvic floor physical therapy, only 50%-66% attend one visit and even less complete the 26 course of care (~3 visits) (Fullerton et al., 2022; Brown et al., 2020; Shannon et al., 2018; 27 Shannon, Adams et al., 2018). And of those who did perform PFMT, fewer than 25% 28 perform them adequately (Moen et al., 2009). 29

30

31 Biofeedback has been suggested to be useful in teaching patients with UI pelvic muscle exercises because it relays to them whether they are contracting the right muscle(s) and 32 33 provides positive reinforcements as they acquire the skill during training sessions. Biofeedback has also been suggested to improve compliance and performance of PFMT, 34 but studies are not confirmatory in demonstrating this outcome with standard biofeedback 35 unit use (Hagen et al., 2020; Hagen, Bugge et al., 2020). A newer at-home biofeedback 36 device and remotely delivered program called leva® Pelvic Health System was developed 37 to mitigate some of these issues. This device and program includes motion sensor 38 39 technology with personal coaching and app technology to help patients train and strengthen their pelvic floor muscles correctly and decrease the symptoms of UI. It is physician-40 prescribed and does not require physical therapist involvement. Given this, the remotely 41

Page 7 of 71

delivered leva® Pelvic Health System could address potential access issues for patients
 who cannot easily receive in person treatment.

3

4 Fecal Incontinence

Fecal incontinence is the inability to control bowel movements and may involve leakage 5 of stool. Causes of fecal incontinence include severe constipation, chronic diarrhea, 6 overuse of laxatives, damage to the anal sphincter muscles or nerves, anal surgical 7 procedures, spinal cord injury and stroke. Treatment includes changes in dietary habits, 8 pelvic floor muscle exercises and pharmacotherapy. Fecal incontinence (FI) is common in 9 the elderly and children. Dysfunction/abnormality of one or more of many factors, such as 10 11 mental function, stool volume and consistency, anorectal sensation and reflexes and anal sphincter function, can result in FI. There are various methods for the treatment of FI 12 including behavioral therapies, drug therapies, and surgical intervention. Various 13 biofeedback techniques have also been used in the management of FI. In particular, 14 external anal sphincter (EAS) biofeedback training has been shown to be effective in 15 treating FI. This technique teaches patients to increase the strength of contraction of their 16 EAS in response to rectal distention. There is evidence that biofeedback techniques are safe 17 and effective in the treatment of patients with fecal incontinence, especially those who have 18 some degree of rectal sensation and ability to contract the sphincter voluntarily. 19 20 Biofeedback training has been demonstrated to restore continence or reduce the frequency of incontinence in patients with fecal incontinence with satisfactory long-term results. 21

22

23 Levator Ani Syndrome

Levator ani syndrome (LAS) is characterized by chronic or recurring episodes of rectal 24 pain or aching in patients with normal structural examinations of the rectum and pelvic 25 floor. Patients with these findings are considered "highly likely" to have LAS if they 26 experience tenderness on palpation of the levator muscles or to have "possible" LAS if 27 they do not experience tenderness. This pain is usually unrelated to a bowel movement, 28 and there appear to be no structural abnormalities or underlying conditions responsible for 29 the symptoms. Though the exact cause is unknown, it is commonly believed that chronic 30 tension of the pelvic floor muscles plays a role in levator ani syndrome. Another theory is 31 that inflammation in the pelvic area is a contributing factor. 32

33

People may be at higher risk of levator ani syndrome after childbirth or following surgeryon the pelvic area, anus, or spine.

36

37 Chronic Constipation

Constipation is one of the most common gastrointestinal complaints in the United States affecting at least 10 % of the general population, and 25 % of the elderly. It is not a disease, but a symptom of various diseases/disorders of mixed etiologies and mechanisms. Constipation is defined as the occurrence of 2 or more of the following symptoms in the previous 12 months (without the use of laxatives): (a) fewer than 3 bowel movements per

Page 8 of 71

week, (b) excessive straining during at least 25 % of bowel movements, (c) a feeling of 1 incomplete evacuation after at least 25 % of bowel movements, and (d) passage of hard or 2 pellet-like stool during at least 25 % of bowel movements (Whitehead et al., 1991). Causes 3 for constipation may be colorectal (e.g., malignancy, diverticular disease, pelvic floor 4 dysfunction, and anal fissure), drug-induced (e.g., opioid analgesics, calcium and 5 aluminum-containing antacids, antidiarrheal agents, antidepressants, and antihistamines), 6 metabolic/endocrine (diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, hypercalcemia, and pregnancy), 7 and neurogenic (multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, cerebral tumors, and 8 Hirschsprung's disease). Other possible causes include irritable bowel syndrome, 9 inadequate dietary fiber, and psychosocial problems. Pelvic floor outlet obstruction is a 10 11 functional disorder of evacuation involving the external anal sphincter and pelvic floor voluntary musculature in which the muscles contract, rather than relax. This results in the 12 anal canal being kept tightly closed during straining at attempted defecation. Biofeedback 13 has been used successfully to teach patients with this disorder to relax the sphincter and 14 pelvic floor musculature. 15

16

17 Migraine and Tension-type Headache

It is estimated that 50 million Americans suffer from headache. It is now generally accepted 18 that about 1 in 8 adults in the developed countries has migraine headaches. Women are 19 20 affected 2 to 3 times more than men. This disorder predominantly affects young adults and the peak incidence is between the age of 25 and 34. There are 2 major types of migraine 21 headaches: migraine with aura (classical migraine) which accounts for 15 to 18 % of all 22 migraine episodes, and migraine without aura (common migraine) which accounts for 80% 23 of all migraine attacks. Some individuals suffer from both types of migraine at different 24 times. The treatment of choice for frequent migraine sufferers is usually pharmacologic 25 prophylaxis. Avoidance strategies (loud noises, flashing lights, stress, and certain foods) 26 also constitute a very important first line approach in managing migraine. Biofeedback 27 training with or without relaxation techniques have also been shown to be effective in 28 treating migraine and tension headache. In particular, thermal biofeedback training has 29 been shown to be effective in treating migraine headache. This technique teaches patients 30 to increase the temperature of their fingers. Supposedly, dilatation of the peripheral blood 31 vessels in the hand is associated with reduced blood flow in the regions of the supra-orbital 32 33 and superficial temporal arteries, although the exact mechanism by which thermal biofeedback improves migraine headaches is still unclear. For the management of tension 34 headache, EMG feedback has been employed primarily. Moreover, it has been shown that 35 the combination of thermal and EMG biofeedback has been effective in the control of 36 37 migraine, tension, and mixed migraine and tension headache. Furthermore, it has been reported that relaxation techniques can produce improvements in headache. Available 38 39 evidence indicates that biofeedback techniques (thermal, EMG, and temporal blood volume pulse biofeedback), with or without other behavioral therapies (relaxation and 40 cognitive training), are safe and effective methods for the treatment of migraine and tension 41 headache. This therapeutic modality has no side effects and does not preclude other 42

Page 9 of 71

options. Unlike migraine and tension headache, there is a lack of published data concerning
 the safety and effectiveness of biofeedback in the management of cluster headache.

2

Before participating in a biofeedback program, patients should be examined by a physician to ensure that their headaches are not due to pathological conditions such as hematomas, aneurysm, brain tumors, brain edema, or diseases of the eye, ear, and sinus. First line approaches, including avoidance of precipitating stimuli and pharmacologic prophylaxis, should have been tried and failed.

9

10 Neuromuscular Rehabilitation

11 Typically stroke rehabilitation includes various combinations of range of motion and muscle strengthening exercises, gait and mobility training, and compensatory techniques. 12 Other therapies include neurodevelopmental based methods in which the treatment 13 incorporates neuromuscular re-education techniques where biofeedback may be employed. 14 Among biofeedback techniques employed in neuromuscular rehabilitation, EMG 15 biofeedback is the most common one. It is often utilized by stroke patients for facilitation 16 of contraction (strength) and relaxation of spasticity (inhibition). Electromyographic 17 biofeedback has also been used to treat patients with spasmodic torticollis and patients with 18 muscular atrophy resulting from surgery. The goals of EMG biofeedback in neuromuscular 19 20 rehabilitation include relaxation of muscles or recruitment of muscles. Relaxation of muscles is performed where muscles are either trained to relax as a consequence of 21 hyperactivity that may be stress or work related or as a result of spasticity caused by central 22 nervous system dysfunction. Recruitment of muscles is to facilitate increased motor unit 23 output for movement generation or strength. This is most commonly used when muscles 24 have been weakened or inhibited as a result of injury, immobilization or surgical procedure 25 of a limb/joint. 26

27

Most biofeedback research has focused on the effects of biofeedback therapy in the treatment of upper limb and lower limb motor deficits in neurological disorders (e.g., stroke). Traditionally biofeedback is presented to the patient and the clinician via visual displays, acoustic or vibrotactile feedback. A recent development in rehabilitation is exercising in a gaming or virtual reality (VR) environment, thus providing a novel form of immersive biofeedback. With VR the measured patient activity is fed back via graphical or audiovisual animations providing a realistic impression to the patient.

35

36 EVIDENCE REVIEW

37 Urinary Incontinence

Pelvic floor muscle training is an established treatment option for urinary incontinence.
 Bladder training, changes in fluid intake, pharmacotherapy and surgical intervention may

40 also be indicated based on the type of incontinence. Biofeedback is an established treatment

- 41 modality for children and adults with stress, urge, mixed or overflow urinary incontinence
- that is unresponsive to other nonpharmacologic modalities such as bladder training and/or

Page 10 of 71

pelvic floor muscle training. Biofeedback may enhance awareness of body functions and 1 assist the individual in learning muscle strengthening pelvic floor exercises There are 2 several proposed methods of biofeedback which may be employed for the treatment of 3 urinary incontinence including: vaginal cones, perineometers and electromyographic 4 (EMG) systems (Holroyd-Leduc et al., 2008; Shamliyan et al., 2008; Payne, 2007). The 5 published peer-reviewed scientific literature includes systematic reviews, randomized 6 controlled trials, and case series that have reported an improvement in urinary incontinence 7 for up to two years following biofeedback (Fitz et al., 2012; Herderschee et al., 2011; 8 Desantis et al., 2011; Porena et al., 2000; Burgio et al., 2002; Herbison et al., 2002; Hunter 9 et al., 2004; Yabci et al., 2005; Dannecker et al., 2005; Burgio et al., 2006; Klijn et al., 10 11 2006). In their guideline on the management of urinary incontinence in women, NICE (Sept 2015) stated that perineometry or pelvic floor electromyography as biofeedback should not 12 be used as a routine part of pelvic floor muscle training, but biofeedback should be 13 considered in women who cannot actively contract pelvic floor muscles in order to aid 14 motivation and adherence to therapy. In their guideline on the management of urinary 15 incontinence in women, NICE (Sept 2015) stated that perineometry or pelvic floor 16 electromyography as biofeedback should not be used as a routine part of pelvic floor 17 muscle training, but biofeedback should be considered in women who cannot actively 18 contract pelvic floor muscles in order to aid motivation and adherence to therapy. The 2017 19 20 American Urological Society's (AUS) guidelines on the management of surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence (SUI) recommends that physicians counsel patients 21 with stress urinary incontinence or stress-predominant mixed urinary incontinence who 22 wish to undergo treatment. Counseling should include available treatment options 23 including pelvic muscle floor training with or without biofeedback. 24

25

Hagen et al. (2020) assessed the effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) plus 26 electromyographic biofeedback or PFMT alone for stress or mixed urinary incontinence in 27 women. Six hundred women aged 18 and older, newly presenting with stress or mixed 28 urinary incontinence between February 2014 and July 2016 were included in the study: 29 300 were randomized to PFMT plus electromyographic biofeedback and 300 to PFMT 30 alone. Participants in both groups were offered six appointments with a continence 31 therapist over 16 weeks. Participants in the biofeedback PFMT group received supervised 32 33 PFMT and a home PFMT program, incorporating electromyographic biofeedback during clinic appointments and at home. The PFMT group received supervised PFMT and a home 34 PFMT program. PFMT programs were progressed over the appointments. The primary 35 outcome was self-reported severity of urinary incontinence (International Consultation on 36 Incontinence Questionnaire-urinary incontinence short form (ICIQ-UI SF), range 0 to 21, 37 higher scores indicating greater severity) at 24 months. Secondary outcomes were cure or 38 39 improvement, other pelvic floor symptoms, condition specific quality of life, women's perception of improvement, pelvic floor muscle function, uptake of other urinary 40 incontinence treatment, PFMT self-efficacy, adherence, intervention costs, and quality 41 adjusted life years. Authors report that at 24 months, no evidence was found of any 42

Page 11 of 71

important difference in severity of urinary incontinence between PFMT plus
 electromyographic biofeedback and PFMT alone groups. Routine use of
 electromyographic biofeedback with PFMT should not be recommended. Other ways of
 maximizing the effects of PFMT should be investigated.

5

Wu et al. (2021) compared the efficacy of PFMT with and without EMG-BF on the cure 6 and improvement rate, PFM strength, urinary incontinence score, and quality of sexual life 7 for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) or pelvic floor dysfunction (PFD). 8 The outcomes were the cure and improvement rate, symptom-related score, pelvic floor 9 muscle strength change, and sexual life quality. Twenty-one studies (comprising 1,967 10 11 patients with EMG-BF + PFMT and 1898 with PFMT) were included. Compared with PFMT, EMG-BF + PFMT had benefits regarding the cure and improvement rate in SUI 12 and in PFD, and in quality of life. There was limited evidence of publication bias. PFMT 13 combined with EMG-BF achieves better outcomes than PFMT alone in SUI or PFD 14 management. Baumann et al. (2021) analyzed the specific exercise effects of supervised 15 versus unsupervised pelvic floor muscle exercise (PFME) and exercise volume on urinary 16 incontinence status after radical prostatectomy in a systematic review and meta-analysis. 17 The meta-analysis included 20 randomized controlled trials involving 2,188 men (n = 1,10518 in intervention groups; n = 1,083 in control groups). PFME versus no PFME had a 19 20 beneficial effect on urinary incontinence remission at 3 months, 3-6 months, and more than 6 months post-surgery, with risk differences ranging from 12 to 25%. These effects were 21 particularly evident for higher volume, supervised PFME in the first 6 months post-surgery. 22 Additional biofeedback therapy appeared to be beneficial but only during the first 3 months 23 post-surgery. Authors concluded that there is good evidence that the supervised PFME 24 causes a decrease in short-term urinary incontinence rates. Unsupervised PFME has similar 25 effects as no PFME in postoperative urinary incontinence. PFME programs should be 26 implemented as an early rehabilitative measure to improve postoperative short-term 27 urinary incontinence in patients with prostate cancer. 28

29

Jacobsen et al. (2021) evaluated the efficacy of physiotherapeutic intervention with 30 biofeedback assisted PFMT in children with DV. Children referred with DV, unresponsive 31 to standard urotherapy were included in this study. All children underwent biofeedback 32 33 assisted PFMT sessions with a physiotherapist. Uroflowmetries and measurements of postvoid residual (PVR) urine were performed before and after the treatment, and the following 34 parameters were registered; daytime incontinence (DI), nocturnal enuresis (NE), 35 constipation, fecal incontinence (FI), and recurrent urinary tract infections (UTI). Other 36 37 concomitant treatments were noted. The primary outcomes were the resolution of DV evaluated by uroflow curve configuration and PVR. Secondary outcomes were the 38 39 resolution of DI, NE, and the reduction of recurrent UTIs. Forty-six children (mean age 9.6 \pm 2.4 years, 38 girls) were included in the analysis. The median period of treatment was 40 9.0 ± 8.5 months (2-9 visits). Twenty-seven (59%) children responded to treatment 41 according to one or both primary outcomes; uroflow configuration (50%) and PVR (28%). 42

Page 12 of 71

DI resolved in 12 (26%) children and 27 of the 32 children, who prior to the treatment had 1 recurrent UTIs experienced no UTIs during the follow up period. The use of 2 anticholinergics was a significant negative predictor for response to treatment. 3 Biofeedback assisted PFMT can improve the symptoms in children with DV. When 4 comparing to existing literature they found a less pronounced effect of the intervention. A 5 possible explanation may be that the children enrolled in this study were recruited from a 6 tertiary referral center and were all refractory to standard urotherapy. Moreover, the 7 difference in patient characteristics and treatment protocols between different studies make 8 direct comparisons of efficacy difficult. Authors concluded that physiotherapeutic 9 intervention with biofeedback assisted PFMT seems to lead to better uroflow patterns in 10 11 approximately 60% of cases in DV improving the uroflow curves and PVR, however improvement in uroflowmetry patterns is not necessarily reflected in the resolution of 12 incontinence or UT symptoms. The use of anticholinergics seems to be a negative predictor 13 14 for response to treatment.

15

Leonardo et al. (2022) compared biofeedback-assisted pelvic muscle floor training (PFMT) 16 and pelvic electrical stimulation (ES) as an intervention group, with PFMT or bladder 17 training (BT) as the control group, in women with an overactive bladder (OAB). Eight 18 studies involving 562 patients (comprising 204 patients with biofeedback-assisted PFMT, 19 20 108 patients with pelvic ES, and 250 patients who received PFMT alone or BT and lifestyle recommendations only, as the control group) were included. The ES group showed 21 significant differences in terms of changes to OoL, episodes of incontinence, and the 22 number of participants cured or improved, while the biofeedback group resulted in 23 nonsignificant changes in QoL, episodes of incontinence, and the number of participants 24 cured or improved, both compared to the control group respectively. This meta-analysis 25 shows that low-frequency pelvic ES appears to be sufficient and effective as an additional 26 intervention for women with OAB in clinical practice according to improvements in the 27 subjects' QoL and reduction of symptoms. Meanwhile, biofeedback-assisted PFMT does 28 not appear to be a significant adjuvant for conservative OAB therapy. 29

30

31 Sam et al. (2022) compared the effectiveness of biofeedback-assisted pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) and PFMT alone on voiding parameters in women with dysfunctional 32 33 voiding (DV). The patients in group 1 (34 patients) were treated with biofeedback-assisted PFMT, and the patients in group 2 (34 patients) were treated with PFMT alone for 12 34 weeks. The 24-hour frequency, average voided volume, maximum urine flow rate (Qmax), 35 average urine flow rate (Qave), post-void residual urine volume (PVR), and the validated 36 Turkish Urogenital Distress Inventory (UDI-6) symptom scores were recorded before and 37 after 12 weeks of treatment. At the end of treatment sessions, the Qmax and Qave values 38 39 of the patients in group 1 were significantly higher than those in group 2, and the PVR in the patients in group 1 was significantly lower than those in group 2 (p=.026, .043, and 40 .023, respectively). The average UDI-6 symptom scores of the patients in group 1 were 41 significantly lower than those in group 2 (p=.034). Electromyography activity during 42

Page 13 of 71

1 voiding, in group 1 was significantly lower than in group 2 (41.2 vs. 64.7, respectively,

2 p=.009). Authors concluded that biofeedback-assisted PFMT is more effective than PFMT

alone in improving clinical symptoms, uroflowmetry parameters, and EMG activity during
 voiding.

5

Todhunter et al. (2022) summarized Cochrane Reviews that assessed the effects of 6 conservative interventions for treating UI in women. Authors included reviews that 7 compared a conservative intervention with 'control' (which included placebo, no treatment 8 or usual care), another conservative intervention or another active, but non-conservative, 9 intervention. Primary outcomes of interest were patient-reported cure or improvement and 10 condition-specific quality of life. Twenty-nine relevant Cochrane Reviews were included. 11 Seven focused on physical therapies; five on education, behavioral and lifestyle advice; 12 one on mechanical devices; one on acupuncture and one on yoga. Fourteen focused on non-13 conservative interventions but had a comparison with a conservative intervention. There 14 were 112 unique trials (including 8,975 women) that had primary outcome data included 15 in at least one analysis. Stress urinary incontinence (14 reviews): Conservative intervention 16 versus control: there was moderate or high certainty evidence that pelvic floor muscle 17 training (PFMT), PFMT plus biofeedback and cones were more beneficial than control for 18 curing or improving UI. Urgency urinary incontinence (5 reviews): Conservative 19 20 intervention versus control: there was moderate to high-certainty evidence demonstrating that PFMT plus feedback, PFMT plus biofeedback, electrical stimulation and bladder 21 training were more beneficial than control for curing or improving UI. Authors concluded 22 that there is high certainty that PFMT is more beneficial than control for all types of UI for 23 outcomes of cure or improvement and quality of life. 24

25

Yang et al. (2023) analyzed the specific exercise effects of pelvic floor muscle training 26 (PFMT) with or without biofeedback or electrical stimulation on urinary incontinence 27 rehabilitation after radical prostatectomy. A total of 18 studies with 29,925 patients were 28 included, all of which were of critically low methodological quality. Biofeedback therapy 29 seemed to show additional benefits compared to PFMT alone; however, the adjunctive role 30 of electrical stimulation remained more controversial due to the lack of strong evidence. 31 Preoperative PFMT sometimes, but not always, showed the potential to improve urinary 32 33 incontinence. PFMT with the guidance of a therapist could bring some benefits to the patient and was more acceptable to the patient, but consumed some medical resources. 34 Authors concluded that PFMT has a good effect on improving post-radical prostatectomy 35 incontinence in men, and biofeedback can have an additional beneficial effect on patients, 36 37 especially in the short-term and medium-term. However, there is insufficient evidence to suggest that electrical stimulation is beneficial for patients with urinary incontinence. 38

39

Johnson et al. (2023) assessed the effects of conservative interventions for managing
 urinary incontinence after prostate surgery. Authors investigated the following key
 comparisons: PFMT plus biofeedback versus no treatment; sham treatment or

Page 14 of 71

verbal/written instructions; combinations of conservative treatments versus no treatment, 1 sham treatment or verbal/written instructions; and electrical or magnetic stimulation versus 2 no treatment, sham treatment or verbal/written instructions. They identified 25 studies 3 including a total of 3079 participants. Twenty-three studies assessed men who had 4 previously undergone radical prostatectomy or radical retropubic prostatectomy, while 5 only one study assessed men who had undergone transurethral resection of the prostate. 6 One study did not report on previous surgery. Most studies were at high risk of bias for at 7 least one domain. The certainty of evidence assessed using GRADE was mixed. PFMT 8 plus biofeedback versus no treatment, sham treatment or verbal/written instructions: Four 9 studies reported on this comparison. PFMT plus biofeedback may result in greater 10 subjective cure of incontinence from 6 to 12 months (1 study; n = 102; low-certainty 11 evidence). However, men undertaking PFMT and biofeedback may be less likely to be 12 objectively cured at from 6 to 12 months (2 studies; n = 269; low-certainty evidence). It is 13 uncertain whether undertaking PFMT and biofeedback has an effect on surface or skin-14 related adverse events (1 study; n = 205; very low-certainty evidence) or muscle-related 15 adverse events (1 study; n = 205; very low-certainty evidence). Condition-specific quality 16 of life, participant adherence to the intervention and general quality of life were not 17 reported by any study for this comparison. Combinations of conservative treatments versus 18 no treatment, sham treatment or verbal/written instructions Eleven studies assessed this 19 20 comparison. Combinations of conservative treatments may lead to little difference in the number of men being subjectively cured or improved of incontinence between 6 and 12 21 months (2 studies; n = 788; low-certainty evidence; in absolute terms: no treatment or sham 22 arm: 307 per 1000 and intervention arm: 297 per 1000). Combinations of conservative 23 treatments probably lead to little difference in condition-specific quality of life (2 studies; 24 n = 788; moderate-certainty evidence) and probably little difference in general quality of 25 life between 6 and 12 months (2 studies; n = 742; moderate-certainty evidence). There is 26 little difference between combinations of conservative treatments and control in terms of 27 objective cure or improvement of incontinence between 6 and 12 months (2 studies; n =28 565; high-certainty evidence). However, it is uncertain whether participant adherence to 29 the intervention between 6 and 12 months is increased for those undertaking combinations 30 of conservative treatments (2 studies; n = 763; very low-certainty evidence; in absolute 31 terms: no intervention or sham arm: 172 per 1000 and intervention arm: 358 per 1000). 32 33 There is probably no difference between combinations and control in terms of the number of men experiencing surface or skin-related adverse events (2 studies; n = 853; moderate-34 certainty evidence), but it is uncertain whether combinations of treatments lead to more 35 men experiencing muscle-related adverse events (2 studies; n = 136; very low-certainty 36 evidence; in absolute terms: 0 per 1000 for both arms). Authors concluded that despite a 37 total of 25 trials, the value of conservative interventions for urinary incontinence following 38 39 prostate surgery alone, or in combination, remains uncertain. Existing trials are typically small with methodological flaws. These issues are compounded by a lack of standardisation 40 of the PFMT technique and marked variations in protocol concerning combinations of 41 conservative treatments. Adverse events following conservative treatment are often poorly 42

Page 15 of 71

documented and incompletely described. Hence, there is a need for large, high-quality,
 adequately powered, randomised control trials with robust methodology to address this

- adequat
 subject.
- 3 4

Zhang et al. (2023) described and synthesized non-pharmacological and nonsurgical 5 interventions for male urinary incontinence from the existing literature. A total of 4602 6 studies were identified, of which 87 studies were included. Approximately 78% were 7 randomized controlled trials. More than 88% of the participants were men with prostate 8 cancer. Exercising pelvic floor muscles 30 times per day for 12 weeks was the most 9 frequently reported. Parameters of electrical stimulation were typically set up to 50 Hz and 10 300 µs for frequency and width of pulse, respectively, and lasted for 15 min. Pure pelvic 11 floor muscle training, Pilates, Yoga, whole body vibration, diaphragm/abdominal muscle 12 training, micturition interruption exercise, acupuncture, and auriculotherapy showed 13 positive effects on reducing urinary incontinence. Authors concluded that the findings 14 suggested implementing pelvic floor muscle training alone before or after surgery can both 15 prompt the recovery of continence in men after prostate cancer surgery. The decision to 16 use biofeedback or electrical stimulation to enhance the therapeutic effect of pelvic floor 17 muscle training should be approached with caution. More rigorous designed studies are 18 needed to validate the effectiveness of Traditional Chinese Medicine techniques and 19 20 diverse novel methods.

21

Höder et al. (2023) examined the scientific evidence regarding the impact of pelvic floor 22 muscle training (PFMT) with feedback from a physiotherapist and/or biofeedback on 23 urinary and anal incontinence in women during the first six months following vaginal 24 delivery, compared to treatment without feedback in a systematic review. Eight studies 25 were included, three of which showed a significant difference between groups, in favor of 26 the intervention group that received pelvic floor muscle training with feedback from a 27 physiotherapist and/or biofeedback. Due to the varying results and insufficient quality for 28 the majority of the studies, the scientific basis was considered insufficient. Authors 29 concluded that the scientific evidence for pelvic floor muscle training with feedback from 30 a physiotherapist or biofeedback on postpartum urinary and anal incontinence compared to 31 treatment without feedback is considered insufficient. Further research on the subject is 32 33 needed.

34

Remes-Troche et al. (2023) presents the formulated recommendations in 35 statements. 35 Fecal incontinence is known to be a frequent entity whose incidence increases as 36 individuals age, but one that is under-recognized. The pathophysiology of incontinence is 37 complex and multifactorial, and in most cases, there is more than one associated risk factor. 38 39 Even though there is no diagnostic gold standard, the combination of tests that evaluate structure (endoanal ultrasound) and function (anorectal manometry) should be 40 recommended in all cases. Treatment should also be multidisciplinary and general 41 measures and drugs (lidamidine, loperamide) are recommended, as well as non-42

Page 16 of 71

1 pharmacologic interventions, such as biofeedback therapy, in selected cases. Likewise,

- 2 surgical treatment should be offered to selected patients and performed by experts.
- 3

4 Fecal Incontinence

Biofeedback has been proposed for the treatment of fecal incontinence, and overall, results 5 from systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials reported that biofeedback may 6 help improve this condition in certain patients. However, studies primarily include small 7 heterogeneous patient populations and diagnosis, short-term follow-up, and various 8 biofeedback regimens and methods. Patient selection criteria with appropriate types of 9 biofeedback regimens have not been established. In the guideline on the management of 10 11 fecal incontinence, NICE (2007) stated that adults who have persistent fecal incontinence after initial management should be considered for special continence services including 12 biofeedback. Due to the limited evidence, biofeedback is not recommended as a first line 13 therapy. Brazzelli et al. (2011) conducted a systematic review of randomized and quasi-14 randomized controlled trials to assess the effectiveness of behavior and/or cognitive 15 interventions, including biofeedback, for the treatment of children with fecal incontinence. 16 Twenty-one trials (n=1371) met inclusion criteria. Follow-ups ranged from 4–24 months 17 with two trials reporting no follow-up following cessation of treatment. Combined results 18 of nine trials showed higher rates of persistent symptoms of fecal incontinence for up to 12 19 20 months when biofeedback was added to conventional treatment (e.g., laxatives, toilet training, dietary advice, behavior modification). Based on this data, the authors concluded 21 that there was "no evidence" that biofeedback training added any benefit to conventional 22 therapy for the management of functional fecal incontinence nor was there enough data to 23 assess the effectiveness of biofeedback for the management of organic fecal incontinence 24 in children. Norton and Cody (2012) conducted a systematic review of randomized and 25 guasi-randomized controlled trial to evaluate biofeedback and/or anal sphincter exercises 26 for the treatment of fecal incontinence in adults. Twenty-one studies (n=1525) met 27 inclusion criteria. Two biofeedback studies reported follow-ups at nine months and five 28 studies reported follow-ups at one year, but most studies reported no follow-up following 29 cessation of treatment. The authors stated that they found no evidence that biofeedback 30 provided any benefit over any other treatment (e.g., dietary modification, bulking agents, 31 pelvic floor exercises) for fecal incontinence. Evidence on patient selection criteria is 32 33 lacking. Overall, the limited number of studies with methodological weaknesses, including incomplete outcome data, did not allow for definitive assessment of the role of biofeedback 34 in the treatment of adults with fecal incontinence. 35

36

Vonthein et al. (2013) conducted a systematic review of randomized controlled trials to evaluate the effectiveness of biofeedback (BF) and electrical stimulation (ES) for the treatment of fecal incontinence. Included studies evaluated BF, ES, BF plus ES, and/or pelvic floor exercises as a second-line therapy in adults who had no obvious need for surgery. The included studies also had to report patient-related outcomes (i.e., remission, response, and/or disease-related quality of life). Thirteen trials met inclusion criteria. In 12

Page 17 of 71

trials, at least one study group received biofeedback typically in combination with ES or 1 another modality. One study compared BF alone vs. ES alone and reported no significant 2 differences in FI in either group following treatment. Two studies reported a significant 3 improvement in the FI severity index, number of days with FI, anal squeeze and/or quality 4 of life. However, most studies reported no significant difference with the addition of 5 biofeedback. The authors noted that BF seemed to be better than no BF and concluded that 6 ES plus BF seemed to be the most effective treatment. Limitations of the studies 7 investigating biofeedback for fecal incontinence included: small patient populations; 8 heterogeneous populations (e.g., obstetrical trauma, elderly women); short-term follow-9 ups, conflicting outcomes, and missing data. The Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery 10 (SICCR) and the Italian Association of Hospital Gastroenterologists (AIGO) joint 11 committee developed a 2015 consensus statement for the treatment of fecal incontinence 12 (FI). In the discussion of rehabilitative treatment for functional FI, the Committee reported 13 that randomized controlled studies sustain the use of biofeedback. According to SICCR, a 14 few studies suggested that adding biofeedback does not enhance the outcome of 15 conservative management while other studies suggested that biofeedback and pelvic floor 16 exercises be considered as a first-line option for patients who fail treatment with dietary 17 changes, devices, or drugs. Since there are no side effects, failure of biofeedback would 18 not affect decisions regarding future therapy. Biofeedback with kinesitherapy (movement 19 20 therapy) may also be a useful treatment. One study suggested biofeedback can be helpful after sphincteroplasty. The authors noted that techniques used for biofeedback and other 21 modalities vary greatly and results of studies are not comparable (SICCR, 2015). 22 Limitations of the studies evaluating biofeedback for the treatment of FI included: small, 23 heterogeneous patient populations; heterogeneity of diagnosis, biofeedback methods and 24 outcome measures; inconsistent statistically improved outcomes (e.g., embarrassment 25 score, severity of FI, number of FI occurrences) across studies; lack of a control group; and 26 conflicting outcomes. In some studies outcomes were not generalizable due to the diagnosis 27 (e.g., obstetrical trauma). 28

29

The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS) (2015) stated that 30 biofeedback should be considered as an initial treatment of fecal incontinence in motivated 31 patients with some preserved voluntary sphincter contraction. ASCRS noted that the 32 33 benefits are variable and standard care (e.g., advice and education) alone have been shown to be as effective as biofeedback therapy. The recommendation is based on moderate-34 quality evidence and ASCRS noted that larger, well-designed studies are needed to make 35 any definitive conclusions. In their 2015 guidelines for the efficacy of biofeedback for 36 37 anorectal disorders, the American Neurogastroenterology and Motility Society (ANMS) and the European Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (ESNM) recommended 38 39 biofeedback for the short- and long-term treatment of fecal incontinence for patients who have not responded to conservative medical treatment (e.g., antidiarrheals, fiber 40 supplements). The guideline noted that treatment success is best defined as a 50% reduction 41 in episodes of fecal incontinence, which has not been used in clinical trials. Other 42

Page 18 of 71

publications support this (Patcharatrakul and Rao, 2018; Rao et al., 2016). The Societies 1 recommendation was based on nonrandomized studies rated as fair evidence and they noted 2 that further research is needed to standardize the treatment protocols and the training of 3 biofeedback therapists (Rao et al., 2015). Overall, studies investigating the effectiveness 4 of biofeedback for fecal incontinence included small, heterogeneous patient populations 5 and treatment regimens with short-term follow-ups. Biofeedback was used as an adjunctive 6 therapy with various modalities. Outcomes were conflicting and several studies reported 7 that no significant differences were seen with biofeedback. Because some studies included 8 defined subpopulations (e.g., females with impaired fecal incontinence after obstetric anal 9 sphincter injury) outcomes were not generalizable. 10

11

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) conducted a 2016 comparative 12 effectiveness review on treatments for fecal incontinence (FI) in adults. Thirteen 13 randomized controlled trials examined pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) and PFMT 14 with biofeedback (PFMT-BF). Enrolled adults were mostly female with mixed FI 15 etiologies. Meta-analysis was not possible due to the numerous outcomes that were used. 16 PFMT-BF was the most frequently studied intervention. Outcomes included the frequency 17 and severity of FI, quality of life and perceived improvement. AHRQ found that the 18 evidence was insufficient to support PFMT-BF vs. standard care (e.g., dietary fiber, stool-19 20 modifying drugs, and/or advice). Low-strength evidence showed that PFMT-BF with electrostimulation was no more effective than PFMT-BF alone on FI severity and FI quality 21 of life over two to three months. Although PFMT-BF showed improvement in FI outcomes, 22 the improvements were not significantly different from the comparison groups. AHRQ 23 noted that future studies should focus on longer term effects and attempt to identify 24 subgroups of adults by FI etiology that might benefit from specific interventions. 25

26

27 Li et al. (2022) systematically reviewed and synthesized the evidence on the effectiveness of biofeedback therapy in patients with bowel dysfunction following rectal cancer surgery. 28 Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, and case series studies were included 29 for adults with bowel dysfunction following rectal cancer surgery. All participants received 30 an intervention of biofeedback treatment. Any outcomes that can evaluate the patient's 31 bowel function were the primary research endpoint, while the quality of life was the second 32 33 endpoint. Key findings included significant improvements in bowel function as well as health-related quality of life after biofeedback therapy. Authors concluded that although 34 biofeedback therapy may improve intestinal function and quality of life as well as anal 35 function after surgery, patient satisfaction is still unclear. Due to the scarcity of data, good-36 37 quality research is required to delve deeper.

38

Pun et al. (2024) investigated the effectiveness of physiotherapy interventions compared to control conditions on fecal incontinence (FI) and quality of life (QoL) following colorectal surgery. Ten trials were included. Meta-analysis revealed statistically significant improvements in lifestyle, coping behavior, and embarrassment components of QoL

Page 19 of 71

among individuals receiving pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) compared with those 1 receiving usual care (UC). Meta-analysis showed biofeedback to be significantly more 2 effective than UC in enhancing anal resting pressure (ARP). maximum squeeze pressure 3 (MSP), and rectal resting pressure (RRP). Meta-analysis also found PFMT combined with 4 biofeedback to be significantly more effective than PFMT alone for ARP, MSP, and RRP. 5 Authors concluded that PFMT combined with biofeedback was more effective than PFMT 6 alone, but both interventions delivered alone were superior to UC. Future studies remain 7 necessary to optimize and standardize the PFMT parameters for improving QoL among 8 individuals who experience FI following CRC surgery. 9

10

11 Levator Ani Syndrome

In their 2015 guidelines for the efficacy of biofeedback for anorectal disorders, the 12 American Neurogastroenterology and Motility Society (ANMS) and the European Society 13 of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (ESNM) recommended biofeedback may be useful 14 in the short-term treatment of Levator Ani Syndrome with dyssynergic defecation (Level 15 II, Grade B) (Rao et al., 2015). Reports of biofeedback treatment for chronic functional 16 anorectal pain have shown inconsistent results, and most of these were small and 17 uncontrolled (46). However, a RCT of 157 well-characterized patients with LAS compared 18 three treatments: biofeedback to teach pelvic floor muscle relaxation, electrogalvanic 19 20 stimulation (EGS) to relax the pelvic floor, and digital massage of the levator muscles (Chiarioni et al., 2010). The primary outcome measure was the subjects' report of adequate 21 pain relief. Key to the interpretation of the study was an a priori decision to test for 22 tenderness when traction was applied to the levator ani muscles during digital rectal 23 examination, and patients were stratified into the three treatment arms based on the 24 presence or absence of tenderness. Among patients with tenderness on physical 25 examination, adequate relief was reported by 87% with biofeedback, 45% with EGS and 26 22% with digital massage. However, none of these three treatments were effective in 27 patients who did not report tenderness on physical examination (Chiarioni et al., 2010). 28 The mixed results reported in previous biofeedback studies most likely were a consequence 29 of failure to stratify patients based on the presence or absence of levator ani tenderness. 30 Other publications also support this (Patcharatrakul and Rao, 2018; Rao et al., 2016). 31 Biofeedback therapy has also been used to treat Solitary Rectal Ulcer Syndrome (SRUS) 32 33 in open, short-term, small sized (less than 20 patients) studies. Inclusion criteria, physiological investigations and outcome parameters were variable. Biofeedback therapy 34 was associated with symptom improvement in at least two thirds of patients with some 35 histological improvement. Most notably, the highest successful outcome was reported 36 37 when SRUS was associated with dyssynergic defecation (DD) (Rao et al., 2015). Narayanan et al. (2019) authored a review to update practitioners on recent advances and 38 39 to identify practical obstacles to providing biofeedback therapy. Authors summarized recent findings: the efficacy and safety of biofeedback therapy evaluated in defecatory 40 disorders, fecal incontinence, and levator ani syndrome. They note that based on literature, 41 biofeedback therapy is effective for managing defecatory disorders, fecal incontinence, and 42

Page 20 of 71

levator ani syndrome. Biofeedback therapy is recommended for patients with fecal
 incontinence who do not respond to conservative management. A subset of patients with
 levator ani syndrome who have dyssynergic defecation are more likely to respond to

- 4 biofeedback therapy.
- 5

6 Chronic Constipation

The evidence in the published peer-reviewed scientific literature supports the use of 7 biofeedback for the treatment of constipation in adults. Significant improvements in 8 constipation with biofeedback have been reported in systematic reviews, meta-analysis and 9 randomized controlled trials (Skardoon et al., 2017; Woodward et al., 2014; Enck et al., 10 11 2009; Koh et al., 2008; Heyman et al., 2007; Rao et al., 2007; Chiarioni et al., 2006; Heyman et al., 2003). Biofeedback for the treatment of constipation in children is not well 12 established and has not been proven to add additional benefit to established conventional 13 therapy (Brazzelli et al. 2006; Brazzelli et al. 2004). The 2010 guideline (updated 2017) on 14 the management of constipation in children and young adults by the National Institute for 15 Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) (United Kingdom) stated that biofeedback should 16 not be used for ongoing treatment in children and young people with idiopathic 17 constipation. Meta-analysis showed no improvement in outcomes when conventional 18 treatment (e.g., use of laxatives, advice on a high-fiber diet, attempting defecation after 19 20 meals) was compared to conventional treatment plus biofeedback. In a 2014 evidencebased guideline on the evaluation and treatment of functional constipation in infants and 21 children, the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and 22 Nutrition (NASPGHAN) and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, 23 Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) concluded that the evidence did not support the 24 use of behavioral therapy or biofeedback in the treatment of childhood constipation 25 (Tabbers et al., 2014). 26

27

The 2013 American Gastroenterological Association's (AGA) position statement on 28 constipation for adults stated that biofeedback improves symptoms in more than 70% of 29 patients with defecatory disorders. Biofeedback can be used to train patients to relax their 30 pelvic floor muscles during straining and to correlate relaxation and pushing to achieve 31 defecation. The success of the therapy depends on the motivation of the patient and 32 33 therapist, frequency and intensity of the retraining, and involvement of behavioral psychologist and dieticians. AGA "strongly recommends" "based on high quality 34 evidence" that biofeedback be used rather than laxatives for defecatory disorders which are 35 primarily characterized by impaired rectal evacuation from inadequate rectal propulsive 36 forces and/or increased resistance to evacuation. In practice guidelines on the management 37 of constipation, the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS) (2016) 38 39 states that in general, biofeedback should be used to treat slow-transit constipation and pelvic floor dyssynergia before subtotal colectomy. ASCRS recommended biofeedback as 40 a first-line treatment option for patients with constipation due to symptomatic pelvic floor 41 dyssynergia. 42

Page 21 of 71

The American Neurogastroenterology and Motility Society (ANMS) and the European Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (ESNM) (Rao et al., 2015) provided evidence-based recommendations on the efficacy of biofeedback for anorectal disorders. The Societies conducted a review of the literature and used the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force evidence criteria to grade the recommendations. The Societies' recommendations included the following:

- Biofeedback is recommended for the short-term and long-term treatment of constipation with dyssynergic defecation (Level I, Grade A: evidence from at least one properly randomized controlled trial; good evidence; strongly recommends that clinicians routinely provide).
- Biofeedback may be useful for the short-term treatment of Levator Ani Syndrome with dyssynergic defecation (Level II, Grade B: nonrandomized studies; fair evidence; recommends that clinicians routinely provide) and solitary rectal ulcer syndrome with dyssynergic defecation (Level III, Grade C; opinions of authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies and case reports or reports of expert committees; fair evidence; makes no recommendation).
- Biofeedback therapy is not recommended for the routine treatment of children with
 functional constipation, with or without overflow fecal incontinence. (Level I,
 Grade D; evidence from at least one properly randomized controlled trial;
 recommends against its use).
- 21

The French National Society of Coloproctology (Vitton et al., 2018) offers clinical practice 22 recommendations for chronic constipation on the basis of the data in the current literature, 23 including those on recently developed treatments. Most are noninvasive, and the main 24 25 concepts include the following: stimulant laxatives are now considered safe drugs and can be more easily prescribed as a second-line treatment; biofeedback therapy remains the gold 26 standard for the treatment of anorectal dyssynergia that is resistant to medical treatment. 27 Moore and Young (2020) assessed the effectiveness of biofeedback therapy for 28 dyssynergic defecation using global clinical improvement as the primary outcome, and 29 resolution of the dyssynergic pattern on anorectal physiology and quality of life as 30 secondary outcomes in a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eleven trials including 725 31 participants were included in the narrative review. Sixty-three percent of patients treated 32 with biofeedback reported clinical improvement. Six studies included in the meta-analysis 33 showed biofeedback superior to non-biofeedback therapy for the primary outcome. 34 Heterogeneity between trials and overall risk of bias was high. Authors concluded that 35 biofeedback therapy is recommended for patients referred to tertiary units with dyssynergic 36 defecation who fail conservative therapy. In a paper on biofeedback for defecatory 37 38 disorders, Hite and Curran (2021) state that biofeedback has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of chronic constipation with dyssynergic defecation, fecal incontinence, and low 39 anterior resection syndrome. Evidence for the use of biofeedback in levator ani syndrome 40 is conflicting. In comparing biofeedback to pelvic floor muscle training alone, studies 41 suggest that biofeedback is superior therapy. 42

Page 22 of 71

Wegh et al. (2021) evaluated the effectiveness and safety of non-pharmacological 1 interventions for the treatment of childhood functional constipation functional 2 constipation. 52 RCTs were included with 4668 children, aged between 2 weeks and 18 3 years, of whom 47% were females. Studied interventions comprised of gut microbiome-4 directed interventions, other dietary interventions, oral supplements, pelvic floor-directed 5 interventions, electrical stimulation, dry cupping, and massage therapy. An overall high 6 risk of bias was found across the majority of studies. Meta-analyses for treatment success 7 and/or defecation frequency, including 20 RCTs, showed abdominal electrical stimulation 8 (n=3), Cassia Fistula emulsion (n=2), and a cow's milk exclusion diet (n=2) in a 9 subpopulation with constipation as a possible manifestation of cow's milk allergy) may be 10 11 effective. Evidence from RCTs not included in the meta-analyses, indicated that some prebiotic and fiber mixtures, Chinese herbal medicine (Xiao'er Biantong granules), and 12 abdominal massage are promising therapies. In contrast, studies showed no benefit for the 13 use of probiotics, synbiotics, an increase in water intake, dry cupping, or additional 14 biofeedback or behavioral therapy. We found no RCTs on physical movement or 15 acupuncture. Authors concluded that more well-designed high quality RCTs concerning 16 non-pharmacological treatments for children with functional constipation are needed 17 before changes in current guidelines are indicated. 18

19

Sadeghi et al. (2023) completed a comprehensive review on diagnosis and management of dyssynergic defecation (DD). Relative to biofeedback training (BFT), studies have clearly shown that BFT is more effective than dietary modification, laxatives, diazepam, muscle relaxants, placebo, and sham biofeedback, has longer persistency and no adverse effect. They concluded that biofeedback therapy could improve bowel movements, stool consistency, straining, sensation of incomplete evacuation, quality of life, and para-clinical features of DD in diagnostic testing.

27

28 Migraine and Tension-type Headache

Biofeedback is a standard treatment option for migraine and tension headaches. Systematic 29 reviews and randomized controlled trials have reported that biofeedback is effective in 30 reducing the severity and frequency of these headaches in adults and children (Vasudeva 31 et al., 2003; Eccleston et al., 2004; Kaushik et al., 2005; Nestoriuc and Martin, 2007). After 32 33 conducting a meta-analysis of 55 randomized controlled trials, including 1718 patients assigned to biofeedback and 511 patients assigned to controls, Nestoriuc and Martin (2007) 34 stated that biofeedback could be recommended as an evidence-based behavioral treatment 35 36 option for the prevention of migraine.

37

Martina et al. (2023) presented an up-to-date evaluation of the efficacy of EMGbiofeedback (EMG-BFB) for primary headaches and to address possible mediators of outcome. A total of 3059 articles were identified through the database searches. 29 articles, involving 1342 participants, met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review; of them, 42 were included in the meta-analysis. Ten studies reported a significant improvement in

Page 23 of 71

the EMG-BFB group with respect to the control group. Meta-analyses show a reduction in the intensity of attacks in patients subjected to EMG-BFB based on 293 patients). Authors concluded that EMG-BFB represents a non-pharmacological approach to headache treatment as shown via qualitative synthesis, despite not impressive results, this technique can be particularly useful in pediatric or in adult patients who cannot undergo drug therapies. Quantitative synthesis revealed a promising effect in the intensity of headaches attacks. Moreover, no significant effect was found about the effectiveness of EMG-BFB in

- 8 the reduction of frequency and durations of headache attacks.
- 9

10 Neuromuscular Rehabilitation

11 There is sufficient evidence that EMG biofeedback is safe and effective for neuromuscular rehabilitation in patients who suffered from strokes (Giggins et al., 2013; Stanton et al., 12 2017). However, there is insufficient evidence that EMG biofeedback is effective as a 13 rehabilitation modality for patients with spinal cord injury and in patients with spasmodic 14 torticollis (Giggins et al., 2013). Additionally, although there is limited evidence that EMG 15 biofeedback is effective in enhancing the return to full active knee extension and peak 16 torque of the quadriceps femoris muscle following knee surgeries, there is little data on 17 how these improvements translate clinically into improved functional outcomes (Giggins 18 et al., 2013). For patients to potentially benefit from EMG biofeedback, they need to have 19 20 some volitional muscle activity but remain disabled with no receptive aphasia. And biofeedback should be used when other standard forms of therapy have failed. 21

22

Pollock et al. (2003) conducted a systematic review on the recovery of postural control and 23 lower limb function following stroke. The objective was to determine if outcomes were 24 different if the physiotherapy treatment was based on orthopedic, neurophysiology, motor 25 learning principles or a mixture of these modalities. The review included randomized or 26 quasi-randomized controlled trials with interventions of physiotherapies, including 27 biofeedback. Outcomes measured the degree of disability and motor impairment. Eighteen 28 studies were categorized as EMG biofeedback and fifteen studies as positional 29 biofeedback. The authors concluded that there was insufficient evidence to determine if 30 one method was more effective than the other. Woodford and Price (2007) conducted a 31 meta-analysis of 13 studies (n=269) on the use of electromyographic biofeedback (EMG-32 33 BFB) for the recovery of motor function following a stroke. The analysis included randomized controlled trials and quasi-randomized controlled trials that compared 34 physiotherapy or exercises or physical therapy alone to these treatment modalities plus 35 EMG/EMG-BFB. There were variations in the time from stroke to randomization (35 to 36 1,140 days), and the length of the studies ranged from four to 16 weeks. Small sample sizes 37 (n=10-40) were also a limitation of the studies. Outcome criteria included changes in motor 38 39 strength, range of motion, stride length, gait speed, functional ability, and gait quality score. Overall, the data did not demonstrate a positive effect on the outcomes. The authors 40 concluded that EMG-BFB did "not appear to have a positive benefit for recovery after 41 stroke," and it could not be recommended as a routine treatment modality. Tate and Milner 42

Page 24 of 71

(2010) conducted a systematic review of randomized controlled trials (n=7) to evaluate the 1 effectiveness of biofeedback in treating gait abnormalities. The types of biofeedback 2 included real-time kinematic, temporospatial and kinetic. In five studies the patient 3 population (n=105) was status-post stroke. One study included 42 patients with hip or knee 4 replacement, hip fracture or amputation and one study included 28 patients' status-post 5 total hip replacement. There was a large range in the structure of the treatment protocol 6 (e.g., treatment time, frequency, duration) and meta-analysis was not performed because 7 of the wide variety of study designs, methodologies, and outcome measures. Although 8 some studies reported short-term improvement, long-term outcomes were not reported and 9 whether improvements were maintained is unknown. The authors concluded that there was 10 11 insufficient data to make a guideline recommendation for biofeedback for gait training.

12

Zijlistra et al. (2010) conducted a systematic review of randomized controlled trials (n=17) 13 and comparative studies (n=4) to evaluate the effectiveness of biofeedback training for 14 balance and/or mobility in older adults. Twelve studies included post-stroke patients, six 15 included frail older adults in a care center and three studies included lower limb amputation 16 and/or hip surgery. The biofeedback was visual and/or audio. The studies were determined 17 to be of moderate quality with variations in analyses and outcomes. Due to the inability to 18 perform quantitative analysis and the absence of large-scale randomized controlled trials, 19 20 definitive conclusions could not be made. The addition of biofeedback during gait training did not seem to improve disability and mobility functioning. In their 2010 guidelines on 21 stroke rehabilitation, the Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense, 22 American Heart Association and American Stroke Association recommended EMG 23 biofeedback as a treatment modality for pain control when appropriate. However, "due to 24 methodological flaws in current studies, further research is indicated to assess the efficacy 25 of biofeedback as an adjunct to conventional therapy for post-stroke patients." Doğan-26 Aslan et al. (2012) evaluated the effect of electromyographic biofeedback (EMG-BF) 27 treatment on wrist flexor muscle spasticity, upper extremity motor function, and ability to 28 perform activities of daily living in patients with hemiplegia following stroke. A total of 29 40 patients were enrolled and were randomly assigned to two groups: a group treated with 30 EMG-BF (study group) and an untreated (control) group. Both groups participated in a 31 hemiplegia rehabilitation program consisting of neurodevelopmental and conventional 32 33 methods. In addition, the study group received 3 weeks of EMG-BF treatment, 5 times a week, for 20 minutes per session at hemiplegic side wrist flexors. Clinical findings were 34 assessed before and after rehabilitation using the Ashworth scale (AS), Brunnstrom's stage 35 (BS) of recovery for hemiplegic arm and hand, the upper extremity function test (UEFT), 36 the wrist and hand portion of the Fugl-Meyer scale (FMS), goniometric measurements of 37 wrist extension, surface EMG potentials, and the Barthel Index (BI). There was no 38 39 statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of baseline measures. There also was no statistically significant difference in the pretreatment values between 40 two groups. Authors noted statistically significant improvements posttreatment in the AS, 41 BS, UEFT, goniometric measurements of wrist extension, and surface EMG potentials in 42

Page 25 of 71

the study group. They also noted statistically significant differences in the wrist and hand portion of the FMS and the BI in both groups, but with significantly greater improvements in the study group. Authors concluded that findings indicate a positive effect of EMG-BF treatment in conjunction with neurodevelopmental and conventional methods in hemiplegia rehabilitation.

6

Stanton et al. (2011) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 22 randomized 7 and quasi-randomized controlled trials to evaluate the effectiveness of biofeedback in 8 enhancing lower-limb training for sitting, standing up, standing, or walking following a 9 stroke. Included clinical trials used various forms of biofeedback including any signal 10 11 (position, EMG) via any sense (visual, auditor, tactile) during the practice of the whole activity. Based on pooled data from 17 trials (n=411) biofeedback improved lower limb 12 activities compared to usual therapy or placebo in the short-term (i.e., 1 to 5 months 13 following cessation of therapy). However, the authors noted that there was substantial 14 heterogeneity of the low-quality trials using any form of biofeedback; lack of blinding of 15 subjects and therapists; possible small trial bias and selection bias based on intervention in 16 the studies used for meta-analysis; and only half of the trials measured outcomes for any 17 length of time following cessation of therapy. Well-designed randomized controlled trials 18 with long-term results are needed to support the effectiveness of biofeedback in stroke 19 20 patients. Stanton et al. (2017) completed on systematic review with meta-analysis to determine if biofeedback during the practice of lower limb activities after stroke is more 21 effective than usual therapy in improving those activities. Outcome measures were activity 22 measures congruent with the activity trained. Eighteen trials including 429 participants met 23 the inclusion criteria. The quality of the included trials was moderately high, with a mean 24 PEDro score of 6.2 out of 10. Results demonstrated that biofeedback improved 25 performance of activities more than usual therapy. Authors concluded that biofeedback is 26 more effective than usual therapy in improving performance of activities. They also stated 27 that further research is required to determine the long-term effect on learning and given 28 that many biofeedback machines are relatively inexpensive, biofeedback could be utilized 29 widely in clinical practice. Wattchow et al. (2018) investigated the therapeutic 30 interventions reported in the research literature and synthesize their effectiveness in 31 improving upper limb (UL) function in the first 4 weeks poststroke. A total of 104 trials 32 33 (83 RCTs, 21 nonrandomized studies) were included (N=5,225 participants). Evidence was found to support supplementary use of biofeedback and electrical stimulation. Authors 34 concluded that use of modified constraint-induced movement therapy (mCIMT) and task-35 specific training was supported, as was supplementary use of biofeedback and electrical 36 simulation, within the acute phase poststroke. 37

38

Lirio-Romero et al. (2021) examined the effects of a 6-week surface electromyographic biofeedback intervention on the re-learning of upper extremity motor function in subjects with paretic upper extremity after stroke. Thirty-eight participants in the sub-acute poststroke stage were recruited and randomly allocated into either the surface

Page 26 of 71

electromyographic biofeedback (sEMG-BFB) or sham biofeedback (BFB) groups. The 1 sEMG-BFB group (n=19) received the intervention focused on re-learning scapulothoracic 2 control during arm-reaching tasks involving shoulder abduction. The sham BFB group 3 (n=19) received a sham intervention. In the short term, a 6-week sEMG-BFB intervention 4 effectively improved paretic upper limb motor function. Future research is needed to 5 determine if the sEMG-BFB intervention has any long-term effects. Spencer et al. (2021) 6 evaluated the state of the current evidence regarding the effectiveness of biofeedback for 7 post-stroke gait training. Their overall goal was to determine whether gait biofeedback was 8 effective at improving stroke gait deficits while also probing why and for whom gait 9 biofeedback may be an efficacious treatment modality. Their literature review showed that 10 11 the effects of gait biofeedback on post-stroke walking dysfunction are promising but are inconsistent in methodology and therefore results. There is a need for larger-sample studies 12 that directly compare different feedback parameters, employ more uniform experimental 13 designs, and evaluate characteristics of potential responders. However, as these 14 uncertainties in existing literature are resolved, the application of gait biofeedback has 15 potential to extend neurorehabilitation clinicians' cues to individuals with post-stroke gait 16 deficits during ambulation in clinical, home, and community settings, thereby increasing 17 the quantity and quality of skilled repetitions during task-oriented stepping training. 18

19

20 Balbinot et al. (2022) summarized the most common sEMG techniques used to address clinically relevant neurorehabilitation questions. Authors focused on the role of sEMG 21 assessments in the clinical practice and research studies on neurorehabilitation after spinal 22 cord injury (SCI), and how sEMG reflects the changes observed with rehabilitation. Of 23 4,522 references captured in the primary database searches, 100 references were selected 24 and included in the scoping review. The focus of the studies was on neurorehabilitation 25 using sEMG biofeedback, brain stimulation, locomotor training, neuromuscular electrical 26 stimulation (NMES), paired-pulse stimulation, pharmacology, posture and balance 27 training, spinal cord stimulation, upper limb training, vibration, and photobiomodulation. 28 Authors concluded that most studies employed sEMG amplitude to understand the effects 29 of neurorehabilitation on muscle activation during volitional efforts or reduction of 30 spontaneous muscle activity (e.g., spasms, spasticity, and hypertonia). Further studies are 31 needed to understand the long-term reliability of sEMG amplitude, to circumvent 32 33 normalization issues, and to provide a deeper physiological background to the different sEMG analyses. This scoping review reveals the potential of sEMG in exploring promising 34 neurorehabilitation strategies following SCI and discusses the barriers limiting its 35 36 widespread use in the clinic.

37

Li et al. (2023) evaluated the effect of different traditional Chinese and western medicine rehabilitation techniques on motor dysfunction after stroke using a network meta-analysis. Seventy-four randomized controlled trials involving nine rehabilitation techniques and 5128 patients were included. The results of network meta-analysis showed the following orders regarding improvement of the total scores of Fugl-Meyer Assessment, Action

Page 27 of 71

Research Arm Test, and Berg Balance Scale: biofeedback therapy > mirror therapy > 1 repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation > acupuncture therapy > transcranial direct 2 current stimulation > Taichi > common therapy, virtual reality > transcranial direct current 3 stimulation > repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation > mirror therapy > common 4 therapy, and acupuncture therapy > virtual reality > neuromuscular electrical stimulation 5 > mirror therapy > common therapy > transcranial direct current stimulation, respectively. 6 Authors concluded that biofeedback therapy had the best comprehensive effect, while 7 virtual reality was the best intervention for improving the index of action research arm test 8 and Fugl-Meyer Assessment-lower extremity. Acupuncture therapy improved lower limb 9 balance function. 10

11

Tiryaki et al. (2023) investigated the effectiveness of a rehabilitation program with 12 electromyographic biofeedback compared with the control group on patients with massive 13 rotator cuff tear. Forty-six adults with massive rotator cuff tears, randomly assigned to 2 14 groups (23 electromyographic biofeedback group vs. 23 control group). The 15 electromyographic biofeedback group (experimental group) performed the exercises under 16 the guidance of electromyographic biofeedback, unlike the control group. All patients 17 underwent a 45-minute training session a day, 3 times a week over a 6-wk duration, and 18 followed up until 1-year. The outcome measures were American Shoulder and Elbow 19 20 score, shoulder flexion strength, shoulder range of motion, Numeric Pain Rating Scale, and Global Rating of Change Scale. Compared with the control group, the electromyographic 21 biofeedback group demonstrated a significant change in shoulder flexion strength and 22 patient satisfaction from baseline to 6 wks (posttraining) and from baseline to 12-mo 23 follow-up. There were significant improvements in within groups statistics for American 24 Shoulder and Elbow score, shoulder flexion strength, shoulder range of motion, and 25 Numeric Pain Rating Scale in both groups. Authors conclude that the results demonstrate 26 that deltoid-focused structured rehabilitation program combined with electromyographic 27 biofeedback can be used to increase shoulder flexion strength and patient satisfaction in 28 conservative treatment of massive rotator cuff tear. 29

30

Wang et al. (2023) evaluated whether electromyographic biofeedback can improve upper 31 and lower limb dysfunction in stroke patients. The analyses included 10 studies enrolling 32 33 a total of 303 participants. Electromyographic biofeedback therapy can effectively improve limb function after stroke and in subgroup analyses, the effect sizes of short-term effect 34 was significant, but the long-term was not. In addition, electromyographic biofeedback 35 therapy can improve the active range of motion of shoulder and wrist joints after stroke. 36 37 Authors concluded that in this meta-analysis, electromyographic biofeedback therapy intervention can improve upper and lower limb function in patients with stroke. Short-term 38 39 (less than one month) improvement after electromyographic biofeedback therapy was supported, while evidence for long-term (more than one month) benefits was lacking. 40 Range of motion in the glenohumeral and wrist joints were improved. Stronger evidence 41

Page 28 of 71

1 for individualized parameters, such as optimal treatment parameters and intervention 2 period, is needed in the future.

3

4 **Other Conditions**

Biofeedback has been proposed as a treatment modality for numerous other conditions 5 including: alcohol and drug abuse, anxiety disorders, asthma, autism spectrum disorders, 6 cancer pain and symptoms, cardiovascular disease, cerebral palsy, acute and chronic back 7 pain, chronic prostatitis, cystic fibrosis, epilepsy, fibromyalgia, functional dyspepsia, heart 8 failure, hypertension, hyperhidrosis, knee osteoarthritis, labor pain, pervasive 9 developmental disorders, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), Raynaud's syndrome, 10 11 recurrent urinary tract infection, reflex sympathetic dystrophy or complex regional pain syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, spastic torticollis, temporomandibular disorders, tinnitus, 12 type 2 diabetes mellitus, upper limb pain, vulvodynia and whiplash. However, the evidence 13 in the published peer-reviewed scientific literature does not support the efficacy of 14 biofeedback for the treatment of these conditions. Overall, there is a lack of randomized 15 controlled trials using sufficient sample sizes, comparing biofeedback to established 16 therapeutic modalities (e.g., pharmacotherapy, behavior therapy) with long-term follow-17 ups. Patient selection criteria for biofeedback for these conditions have not been established 18 and reported sustained benefit past the treatment period are lacking (Hayes Inc., 2016; 19 20 McKee and Moravec, 2010; Yilmaz, et al., 2010; Glasscoe and Quittner, 2008; McGinnis, et al., 2005). 21

22

23 <u>Cancer</u>

Patients undergoing oncologic therapy experience persistent pain, fatigue, anxiety, and side 24 effects from chemotherapy. In additional to pharmacotherapy, biofeedback has been 25 proposed as an adjunct treatment modality for this patient population. However, there is 26 insufficient evidence in the published peer-reviewed literature to support biofeedback for 27 the management of cancer. There have been a limited number of studies with small patient 28 populations (n=12-81), short-term follow-ups (e.g., 3 months) and in some studies, lack of 29 a control group. Most studies were conducted prior to 2000. Biofeedback has not been 30 31 shown to be effective in reducing cancer pain or chemotherapy side effects.

32

The American Cancer Society (2015) stated biofeedback under the supervision of a licensed biofeedback technician is a non-medical treatment that is sometimes used to help people relax and cope with pain and is typically used with other pain-relief methods. In their supportive care guideline on adult cancer pain, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) lists consideration of biofeedback as an option for psychological support (NCCN, 2021).

39

40 Chronic Neck, Upper Back and Low Back Pain

- 41 Biofeedback has been proposed as a treatment modality for chronic back pain to help
- relieve the tension in the back muscles and alleviate pain. Henschke et al. (2010) conducted

1 a systematic review of 30 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that investigated behavioral

treatment (e.g., biofeedback) for low back pain. There was low quality evidence (3 RCTs;

- n=64) that EMG biofeedback was more effective than waiting list or progressive relaxation (1 RCT; n=24).
- 5

Ostelo et al. (2005) conducted a systematic review of the literature to determine if 6 behavioral treatments (including biofeedback) for nonspecific chronic low back pain 7 (CLBP) were more effective than other treatments compared to waiting-list controls 8 (WLC). Twenty-one randomized controlled trials met inclusion criteria. CLBP was defined 9 as back pain that persisted for 12 weeks or more. Studies of individuals with CLBP caused 10 by pathological entities including infection, neoplasm, fracture, osteoporosis, and 11 rheumatoid arthritis (RA) were excluded. The investigators reported that there is moderate 12 evidence (3 studies, n=88) that there is no significant difference between EMG biofeedback 13 and WLC on behavioral outcomes in the short term. There is conflicting evidence (two 14 studies, n=60) on the effectiveness of EMG biofeedback versus WLC on general functional 15 status. There is limited evidence (1 study, n=28) of EMG biofeedback for a small short-16 term positive effect on back-specific functional status. Cognitive behavioral treatment 17 (CBT) was compared to EMG biofeedback in one study (n=28), which found no 18 differences in the groups for pain or any behavioral outcome measures either in the short 19 20 or long term. A combination of CBT and EMG biofeedback compared to WLC (4 studies, n=134) found strong evidence for a short-term, positive effect on pain intensity, but no 21 differences on behavioral outcomes or general functional status in the short term compared 22 to WLC. More research is needed to determine what types of behavioral interventions are 23 most effective for pain relief and which patients would benefit most from a specific type 24 of behavioral treatment. The investigators stated no determination could be made from this 25 review as to whether patients should be referred to behavioral treatment programs or to 26 active conservative treatment programs. 27

28

The American College of Physicians (ACP) (2017) developed guidelines based on an evidentiary review of the literature to provide clinical recommendations on noninvasive treatment of low back pain. ACP recommended that select nonpharmacologic treatment be used initially. Low quality evidence reported that electromyography biofeedback reduced pain compared to wait list but there was no effect on function.

34

The American Society of Anesthesiologist Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (2010) stated that psychological treatment including biofeedback "may be used as part of a multimodal strategy for low back pain and for other chronic pain conditions."

39

Eslamian et al. (2020) sought to determine the differences between clinical effects of electroacupuncture and biofeedback therapy in addition to conventional treatment in patients with cervical myofascial pain syndrome (MPS). Fifty patients (*N*=50) aged 25-55

Page 30 of 71

years of both sexes with chronic neck pain diagnosed with MPS (characterized by trigger 1 points within taut bands) were randomly assigned to 2 equal groups of 25 individuals. The 2 patients in electroacupuncture group were treated with standard acupuncture and 3 concomitant electrical stimulation; those in biofeedback group received visual 4 electromyography biofeedback therapy for muscle activity and relaxation. Both groups 5 received the intervention 2 times a week for a total of 6 sessions. Basic exercise training and 6 medicines were administered for all the patients. Authors concluded that both 7 electroacupuncture and biofeedback therapies were found to be effective in management of 8 MPS when integrated with conventional treatment. However, intergroup differences 9 showed priority of acupuncture in some parameters vs biofeedback. Thus, 10 electroacupuncture seems to be a better complementary modality for treatment of MPS in 11 the neck and upper back area. Campo et al. (2021) evaluated the safety and efficacy of 12 electromyographic and pressure biofeedback on pain, disability, and work ability in adults 13 with neck pain. Authors noted that moderate-quality evidence suggests biofeedback has a 14 moderate effect on reducing short-term disability and a small effect on reducing 15 intermediate-term disability. Biofeedback had no effect on pain or work ability in the short-16 and intermediate-term (low-to moderate-quality evidence). Authors conclude that 17 biofeedback appears to have a small-to-moderate effect on reducing neck pain disability in 18 the short- and intermediate-term, but no effect on pain or work ability. More trials reporting 19 20 adverse events and comparing biofeedback to placebo are needed.

21

Wagner et al. (2021) evaluated evidence from the literature with a focus on the effect of 22 biofeedback on pain reduction, overall symptom relief, physiological parameters and quality 23 of life. Out of 651 studies, 37 quantitative studies of primary research evaluating pelvic pain 24 conditions in male and female adults and children were included. They covered biofeedback 25 interventions on anorectal disorders, chronic prostatitis, female chronic pelvic pain 26 conditions, urologic phenotypes in children and adults and a single study on low back pain. 27 For anorectal disorders, several landmark studies demonstrate the efficacy of biofeedback. 28 For other subtypes of chronic pelvic pain conditions there is tentative evidence that 29 biofeedback-assisted training has a positive effect on pain reduction, overall symptoms 30 relief and quality of life. Authors conclude that for certain indications, biofeedback has been 31 confirmed to be an effective treatment. 32

33

34 Epilepsy

In an effort to reduce abnormal brain waves and seizure frequency, biofeedback has been 35 proposed for the treatment of epilepsy. Ramaratnam et al. (2008) conducted a meta-analysis 36 of psychological treatments, including biofeedback, for epilepsy. Randomized and quasi-37 randomized studies were analyzed. Outcomes included quality of life and seizure frequency. 38 Of the two trials including relaxation and behavioral therapy, one reported positive results 39 by decreasing anxiety and enhancing adjustment. Another study of galvanic skin response 40 reported reduction in seizure activity. A study using EEG biofeedback improved cognitive 41 and motor functions in subjects with the greatest seizure reduction. The studies were deficient 42

Page 31 of 71

in methodology and due to the limited number of studies, the evidence wasn't considered 1 reliable. In their clinical guideline for diagnosing and managing epilepsy in children and 2 adults, NICE (2016) stated that psychological interventions, including biofeedback, may be 3 used as an adjuvant therapy to anti-epileptic drugs (AED) to improve quality of life in adults 4 who are not receiving optimal benefit from AED. However, psychological interventions have 5 not proven to affect seizure frequency and are not an alternative to pharmacological 6 7 treatment. 8 9 Fibromyalgia

Biofeedback has been proposed for the treatment of fibromyalgia in an effort to facilitate 10 11 and train an individual in maintaining a state of relaxation and decreased pain. In a randomized controlled trial, Babu et al. (2007) compared EMG biofeedback (n=15) to 12 sham (n=15) and reported a significant decrease in pain and the number of tender points in 13 the treatment group. However, there were no significant differences in the fibromyalgia 14 impact questionnaire, or the six-minute walk test. Both groups experienced a significant 15 decrease in FIQ and visual analogue scale, but the decreases were greater in the 16 biofeedback group. 17

18

Reneau (2020) reports that fibromyalgia (FM) is associated with debilitating pain and a 19 20 reduced heart rate variability (HRV), reflecting decreased emotional adaptability and resistance to stress. Given this, they postulate that heart rate variability biofeedback 21 (HRVB) may be effective in improving HRV, thus increasing stress resistance and 22 emotional adaptability and reducing pain. They reviewed 22 articles and included six in 23 this review. Five reported HRVB as a treatment for chronic pain, and one for FM pain. 24 Overall, the articles in this review support the claim that HRVB is related to decreased 25 pain. The researchers evaluated five HRVB programs, three on handheld devices and two 26 on desktop computers. Authors conclude that despite the reviewed studies having 27 methodological flaws, HRVB is a promising treatment for chronic pain. Larger, 28 randomized controlled studies are needed to thoroughly evaluate the relationship between 29 HRVB and FM pain. 30

31

32 Functional Dyspepsia (FD)

Because low vagal tone may be a mediating mechanism by which psychological factors 33 induce dyspepsia in FD, it has been hypothesized that biofeedback may be a helpful 34 treatment modality by enhancing vagal tone, leading to improvement in parasympathetic 35 activity and drinking capacity. In a randomized controlled trial (n=40), patients were 36 allocated to investigation, information, and biofeedback with breathing exercises or to 37 investigation and information only. Drinking capacity and quality of life significantly 38 improved (p=0.02, p=0.01, respectively) following biofeedback, but an improvement in 39 baseline vagal tone was not noted (Hjelland et al., 2007). 40

Page 32 of 71

1 <u>Hypertension</u>

Because of its potential to decrease stress and enhance relaxation, biofeedback has been 2 proposed for the treatment of hypertension. Greenhalgh et al. (2009) conducted a systematic 3 review to determine the clinical benefits and long-term effects of biofeedback for the 4 treatment of essential hypertension in adults. Forty-one studies, including 36 randomized 5 controlled trials (n=1660), met inclusion criteria. Twenty-one trials used biofeedback only 6 and 15 trials used biofeedback with other treatment modalities. No meta-analysis was 7 completed due to the poor reporting quality of the studies and the large degree of 8 heterogeneity of treatments and comparators. Overall, the trials included small patient 9 populations, no follow-up or follow-up less than 12 months. Other limitations of the studies 10 11 included the variation in interventions, inconsistencies in measurement of outcomes, and the conflicting and variable results. No consistent short- or long-term benefits in the control 12 of hypertension were seen when biofeedback was compared to pharmacotherapy, sham 13 biofeedback, no intervention, or other behavioral therapies (e.g., relaxation, hypnosis, 14 meditation, stress education). 15

16

Nakao et al. (2003) conducted a meta-analysis of 22 randomized controlled studies of 17 essential hypertensive patients (n=905). Biofeedback intervention resulted in blood 18 pressure reductions that were greater by 7.3 millimeters (mm) of mercury (Hg) systolic and 19 20 5.8 mmHg diastolic compared to nonintervention controls (such as clinical visits or selfmonitoring of blood pressure). Compared to sham or nonspecific behavioral intervention 21 controls, the net reductions in systolic and diastolic blood pressures by biofeedback 22 intervention were 3.9 mmHg and 3.5 mmHg, respectively. Reviewers were unable to 23 determine whether biofeedback itself had an antihypertensive effect beyond the general 24 relaxation response because biofeedback was only found to be superior to sham or 25 nonspecific behavioral intervention when combined with other relaxation techniques. The 26 investigators concluded that large, randomized controlled trials are needed to determine 27 whether biofeedback itself has an antihypertensive effect beyond the general relaxation 28 29 response.

30

An evidence-based statement by the American Heart Association (AHA) included the 31 investigation of biofeedback as an alternative therapy for lowering blood pressure (BP). 32 33 AHA noted that the mechanisms responsible for BP lowering by biofeedback are incompletely described. Some evidence favors alteration in the autonomic nervous system 34 balance. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis that have investigated biofeedback for this 35 indication have reported conflicting results. Studies have been limited by "short duration, 36 37 small sample sizes, difficulties with blinding, and significant heterogeneity when trial data were combined". Also, some meta-analyses have combined multiple complementary 38 39 medicine techniques in their analyses, making it difficult to assess the impact of biofeedback alone. Due to the paucity of data, recommendation for using a specific biofeedback method 40 could not be made. Overall, no significant adverse effects were reported. Based on this 41 review, AHA stated that biofeedback may be considered in clinical practice to lower BP. 42

Page 33 of 71

1 This is a Class IIB, Level of Evidence B, recommendation meaning that the 2 usefulness/efficacy of biofeedback is less well established and there is greater conflicting 3 evidence from randomized controlled trials or meta-analysis (Brooke et al., 2013).

4

Elavally et al. (2020) investigated the effect of nurse-led home-based biofeedback 5 intervention on the blood pressure levels among patients with hypertension. Uncomplicated 6 primary hypertension outpatients were randomly assigned as study group (n = 173) and 7 control group (n = 173) at a tertiary care hospital. Sociodemographic, clinical, and outcome 8 variables [the baseline blood pressure and galvanic skin response (GSR)] were collected. 9 Study group patients were given four teaching sessions of abdominal breathing-assisted 10 relaxation facilitated by galvanic skin response (GSR) biofeedback. Daily home practice 11 was encouraged and monitored to measure the effects on blood pressure and GSR at the end 12 of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd month of intervention. The study group participants showed significant 13 decrease in mean (SD) systolic and diastolic blood pressure. In contrast, control group 14 participants had a mild increase in the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure values 15 from pretest to posttests. GSR showed a significant increase from 559.63 (226.33) to 615.03 16 (232.24), (F = 80.21) from pretest to posttest III. Authors concluded that use of home-based 17 biofeedback-centered behavioral interventions enabled BP reduction among hypertensive 18 patients. Further studies should use biochemical markers of sympathetic nervous system 19 20 activity to endorse this home-based chronic illness intervention.

21

Burlaco et al. (2021) aimed to systematically review the literature to investigate the impact 22 of HRV modulation through HRV-biofeedback on clinical outcomes in patients with CVD. 23 Patients in the HRV-biofeedback group had significantly lower rates of all-cause 24 readmissions than patients who received psychological education. Heart failure following 25 HRV-biofeedback displayed an inverse association with stress and depression. HRV-26 biofeedback had beneficial effects on different cardiovascular diseases documented in 27 clinical trials, such as arterial hypertension, heart failure, and coronary artery disease. 28 Fournié et al. (2021) performed a review according to eligibility criteria including adult 29 chronic patients, HRVB as main treatment with or without control conditions, and 30 psychophysiological outcomes as dependent variables. In total, 29 articles were included. 31 Reported results showed the feasibility of HRVB in chronic patients without adverse effects. 32 33 Significant positive effects were found in various patient profiles on hypertension and cardiovascular prognosis, inflammatory state, asthma disorders, depression and anxiety, 34 sleep disturbances, cognitive performance, and pain, which could be associated with 35 improved quality of life. Improvements in clinical outcomes co-occurred with 36 improvements in heart rate variability, suggesting possible regulatory effect of HRVB on 37 autonomic function. Authors concluded that HRVB could be effective in managing patients 38 39 with chronic diseases.

1 Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS)

The clinical guideline on the management of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) published by 2 NICE (2008; updated 2017) stated that reviews of biofeedback suggested a positive effect 3 on the control of IBS symptoms, but evidence was limited and not sufficient to make 4 recommendations. A systematic review of the literature identified four randomized 5 controlled trials that met inclusion criteria. One study compared biofeedback to counseling 6 and three studies evaluated multi-component therapy (a combination of educational 7 information, progressive relaxation therapy, thermal biofeedback treatment and training in 8 stress coping strategies) compared to symptom monitoring or attention placebo controls. 9 There was limited, weak evidence to show a statistically significant improvement in global 10 11 symptoms for biofeedback and reduction in diarrhea compared to symptom monitoring. No significant differences between biofeedback and attention placebo or between symptom 12 monitoring and attention placebo were reported, but there was much uncertainty due to 13 wide confidence intervals. There was insufficient evidence to determine the effects of 14 biofeedback on pain, bloating and constipation. A Cochrane Review by Goldenberg et al. 15 (2019) also concluded that there is currently not enough evidence to assess whether 16 biofeedback interventions are effective for controlling symptoms of IBS. 17

- 18
- 19 Labor Pain

In a systematic review, Jones, et al. (2012) summarized the evidence on the efficacy and safety of non-pharmacological and pharmacological interventions to manage labor pain. Fifteen Cochrane reviews (n=255 trials) and three non-Cochrane reviews (n=55 trials) met inclusion criteria. There was insufficient evidence from four randomized controlled trials (n=201) to determine if biofeedback was more effective than placebo or other interventions for labor pain management.

26

Barragán et al. (2011) conducted a systematic review of randomized controlled trials to evaluate the efficacy of biofeedback in the management of labor pain. Four trials (*n*=186) met inclusion criteria and primarily used EMG biofeedback. There were no significant differences between biofeedback and the control groups in terms of assisted vaginal birth, caesarean section, augmentation of labor and the use of pharmacological pain relief. Some studies reported that EMG biofeedback may have had some positive effects early in labor, but as labor progressed there was a need for additional pharmacological analgesia.

34

35 Knee Conditions

Richard et al. (2017) conducted a systematic review of the literature to evaluate the effectiveness of real-time biofeedback as a method for gait retraining to reduce knee adduction movement (KAM) in patients with knee osteoarthritis (KOA). Twelve uncontrolled studies met inclusion criteria. Seven studies used healthy subjects and five studies enrolled patients with KOA. Because of the lack of studies reporting between-group effects, this review focused on within-group effects. Within-group standardized mean differences (SMDs) for reduction of KAM in healthy controls ranged from 0.44 to 2.47 and

Page 35 of 71

from 0.29 to 0.37 in patients with KOA. In patients with KOA, improvements were reported 1 in pain and function, with SMDs ranging from 0.55 to 1.16. Limitations of the studies 2 included: small number of studies that enrolled KOA patients; small patient populations; 3 heterogeneity of study design, methods of feedback and number of training sessions (many 4 studies only reported on one session); short-term follow-ups (e.g., one month); and lack of 5 a comparator and control group. The authors noted that there was insufficient information 6 to conclude the optimal method of feedback delivery or the optimal instructions for subjects 7 to achieve KAM reductions. Additional studies with large patient populations and long-8 term follow-up are needed to support biofeedback for this indication. 9

10

11 Wasielewski et al. (2011) conducted a systematic review of eight randomized controlled trials (n=319 subjects) to evaluate the effectiveness of electromyographic biofeedback 12 (EMGB) of the quadriceps femoris muscle for the treatment of knee conditions. Diagnosis 13 included patellofemoral pain syndrome (two trials; n=86), anterior cruciate ligament 14 reconstruction (two trials; n=52), arthroscopic surgery (two trials; n=91) or osteoarthritis 15 (two trials; *n*=90). EMGB appeared to benefit short-term postsurgical pain or quadriceps 16 strength in three out of the four postsurgical investigations but was reported ineffective for 17 chronic knee conditions including patellofemoral pain and osteoarthritis. Limitations of the 18 studies included small heterogeneous patient populations, variability in interventions and 19 20 outcomes, and poor methodology. The authors stated that the results should be viewed with caution due to the limited data and poor studies. 21

22

Karaborklu Argut et al. (2021) presented an evidence-based overview of the current 23 utilization and the effectiveness of therapeutic Electromyographic Biofeedback (EMG-BF) 24 in rehabilitation after orthopedic knee surgeries. Eight RCTs investigating effectiveness of 25 the EMG-BF in rehabilitation after orthopedic knee surgeries were identified. Most of the 26 included studies reported that EMG-BF was more effective compared to home exercises, 27 standard rehabilitation program or electrical stimulation for improving quadriceps strength 28 or activation. Besides, EMG-BF was revealed positive results in functional assessments 29 except gait velocity and IKDC. Only two studies reported knee ROMs were significantly 30 improved in favor of EMG-BF. Authors concluded that EMG-BF seems to control pain 31 and improve quadriceps femoris strength and functionality. However, the results are 32 33 inconclusive regarding knee ROM. Although available high-quality evidence is limited, EMG-BF might be a part of the rehabilitation after knee surgeries. 34

35

Xie et al. (2021) aimed to determine whether EMG-biofeedback is effective for improving the range of motion (ROM), physical function, and pain relief in patients after knee surgery. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the effect of EMG-biofeedback after any knee surgery were retrieved. This review identified 773 unique studies, and six RCTs were in the final meta-analysis. EMG-Biofeedback treatment has a significant difference compared to other rehabilitation therapy in knee ROM improving). Moreover, there was no significant difference in pain and physical function. The results illustrate that EMG-

Page 36 of 71

biofeedback can improve knee ROM in patients after knee surgery. However, it is not
 superior to other rehabilitation methods for pain relief and physical function improvement.

3

Glattke et al. (2022) completed a systematic review on rehabilitation after ACL
reconstructive surgery. A total of 824 articles from 2012 to 2020 were identified using
multiple search engines. Fifty Level-I or II studies met inclusion criteria. Authors stated
that electromyography biofeedback may help to regain muscular function.

- 8
- 9 <u>Nonneuropathic Voiding Disorders</u>

Fazeli et al. (2014) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate 10 biofeedback for the treatment of nonneuropathic daytime voiding disorders (NVD) in 11 children. The hallmark of nonneuropathic voiding disorders is lower urinary tract 12 symptoms with or without urinary incontinence. Five randomized controlled trials 13 (n=487) met inclusion criteria and four studies (n=382) were included in the meta-14 analysis. At six months follow-up, there were no significant differences in the number of 15 cases with resolved incontinence, mean maximum urinary flow rate or the likelihood of 16 urinary tract infection with biofeedback vs. control group without biofeedback. The data 17 does not support biofeedback for the treatment of this subpopulation. 18

19

Qi et al. (2022) assessed the efficacy of biofeedback treatment for children's non-20 neurogenic voiding dysfunction (NVD), which is a syndrome characterized by lower 21 urinary tract symptoms (LUTs) because of the inability to relax the external sphincter. 22 Patients with NVD always suffer from urinary tract infections (UTI), incontinence, 23 constipation. Fifteen studies and 1274 patients were included in the systemic review, 24 seven RCTs and 539 patients were included in meta-analysis. Meta-analysis showed 25 efficacy of biofeedback treatment in following aspects, (1) relieving UTI, (2) reducing 26 PVR, (3) increasing maximum urine flow rate and average urine flow rate, (4) relieving 27 constipation, (5) improving abnormal voiding pattern and abnormal EMG during voiding. 28 The improvement of UTI symptoms, maximum urine flow rate and average urine flow 29 rate took a longer time (12 months). In terms of daytime incontinence, nighttime 30 31 incontinence, no significant difference was found between biofeedback treatment and standard urotherapy. The qualitative analysis showed that biofeedback treatment was 32 beneficial for NVD. Authors concluded that compared with standard urotherapy, 33 biofeedback treatment is effective for some symptoms, such as UTI and constipation, and 34 can improve some uroflowmetric parameters, such as PVR. Biofeedback treatment seems 35 to have a better long-term effect. 36

- 37
- 38 <u>Raynaud's Syndrome</u>

Proponents of biofeedback for Raynaud's state that using thermal biofeedback to produce vasodilation may help relieve the severity and frequency of attacks. Malenfant et al. (2009) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on complementary and alternative medicine, including biofeedback (n=5 studies), for the

Page 37 of 71

treatment of Raynaud's phenomenon. The outcomes of the biofeedback studies (n=15-155) favored sham therapy over biofeedback (p<0.02). There were no significant differences in frequency or duration or severity of Raynaud's attacks. The authors concluded that biofeedback is not an effective therapeutic intervention for the treatment of Raynaud's.

6

7 <u>Recurrent Urinary Tract Infection</u>

Minardi et al. (2010) conducted a randomized controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy of 8 9 uroflowmetry biofeedback and pelvic floor relaxation biofeedback in women (n=86) with more than a three-year history of recurrent urinary tract infections (UTI) (i.e., three or more 10 symptomatic episodes per year) and dysfunctional voiding. The authors defined 11 dysfunctional voiding as an abnormally learned spectrum of voiding behavior in 12 neurologically normal individuals. The women were randomized to one of four groups: 13 group 1 (n=24), uroflowmetry biofeedback; group 2 (n=21), biofeedback training of the 14 pelvic floor muscles; group 3 uroflowmetry biofeedback combined with biofeedback 15 training of the pelvic floor muscles; and group 4 no treatment. Patients also received 16 antibiotics during the study when indicated. At the three-, six- and 12-month follow-ups 17 there were significant improvements (p<0.05, each), which remained stable, in all of the 18 following outcome measures: storage and emptying symptoms, mean flow rate, flow time, 19 voiding and volume; overall voiding pattern; post-void residual urine; mean opening 20 detrusor pressure and detrusor pressure at maximum flow; and the prevalence of UTI. No 21 22 significant improvements were seen in the untreated group. At 24 months in the treated groups, the storage and emptying symptoms and voiding patterns were similar to baseline 23 values in 55% of patients, and the incidence of UTIs was similar in 45% of patients. The 24 authors noted that this was the first study of pelvic floor therapy for the treatment of 25 recurrent UTIs in women. Limitations of the study include the small patient population, 26 short-term follow-up and the number of patients lost to follow-up (142 were originally 27 enrolled). 28

29

30 Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)

Biofeedback has been proposed for the treatment of RA to help alleviate tension, stress, 31 anxiety, insomnia, and other symptoms that may cause acute flair-ups and/or enhance 32 arthritic pain. Astin et al. (2002) conducted a systematic review of the literature to 33 investigate the effect of psychological interventions (including biofeedback) on patients 34 with RA. Outcome measures included functional ability, pain, tender joints, psychological 35 status, and coping ability. Twenty-five randomized controlled trials (n=1676) met inclusion 36 criteria. Because separate results by type of intervention (i.e., relaxation, biofeedback, 37 CBT) were not identified, the authors could not report which psychological interventions 38 or combinations of interventions were most effective and for which types of patients. 39 Methodological flaws in the studies included: inadequate description of controls and the 40 effect sizes were not always consistent with signs of confidence intervals. The authors 41

Page 38 of 71

1 concluded that more research was needed to determine which treatments may be of benefit

2 for patients with RA.

- 3
- 4 Sleep Bruxism

Biofeedback has been proposed as a treatment option for sleep bruxism, a sleep-related 5 disorder characterized by teeth grinding or jaw clenching. In a systematic review of seven 6 randomized controlled trials (n=240), Wang et al. (2014) concluded that the evidence did 7 not support biofeedback for this condition. Meta-analysis showed no significant 8 9 differences between biofeedback and controls (p=0.26). The studies were limited by the heterogeneity of the biofeedback modalities (i.e., auditory, electrical, and visual feedback) 10 and regimens, and the use of various control modalities (e.g., splint, occlusal adjustment) 11 and outcome measures. The classification of risk of bias was moderate to high. 12 Jokubauskas and Baltrušaitytė (2018) updated the review published by Wang et al in 2014. 13 The review focuses on the most recent literature on management of sleep bruxism (SB) 14 with biofeedback. Six articles of 2320 identified citations involving 86 adult participants 15 were included in the qualitative synthesis. Of them, 4 were randomized controlled trials 16 (RCTs) and 2 were uncontrolled before-after studies. Different feedback modalities 17 (electrical, auditory, and vibratory stimulus) were investigated. The meta-analysis 18 indicated a non-significant difference in electromyographic-measured SB episodes per 19 hour after one night of contingent electrical stimulation (CES) compared with placebo 20 control, yet a significant difference was shown after five nights of CES. The quality of 21 evidence was graded from low to moderate, due to imprecision and inconsistency between 22 studies. Authors concluded that one of the biofeedback modalities, CES, is effective in 23 reducing SB-related motor activities after a short-term treatment period. However, 24 evidence of long-term effects is lacking. Further longitudinal studies with larger samples 25 are necessary to acknowledge the clinical application of biofeedback. Bussadori et al. 26 (2020) mapped the evidence from systematic reviews (SR), examining the effects of 27 interventions to improve chronic pain related to bruxism. There was no difference in pain 28 and bruxism frequency between biofeedback therapy and an inactive control group. 29 Authors concluded that there was no evidence was provided to support the 30 31 recommendation of biofeedback therapy and drug therapy. There is still a need for more methodologically rigorous randomized clinical trials (RCT) to be conducted on the efficacy 32 and safety of different therapies for SB. 33

34

35 <u>Temporomandibular Disorders (TMD)/Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) Disorders</u>

As in other chronic pain conditions, biofeedback has been investigated to determine if relaxation and relief of stress and tension following biofeedback would alleviate the pain of TMD. A systematic review by Medlicott and Harris (2006) included seven randomized controlled trials which evaluated the effectiveness of relaxation training or biofeedback in the management of TMD. From the review of these studies, the authors stated that programs involving relaxation techniques and biofeedback, EMG training, and proprioceptive reeducation may be more effective than placebo or occlusal splints in

Page 39 of 71

decreasing pain and increasing total vertical opening in patients with acute or chronic
 myofascial or muscular TMD. However, it was noted by the authors that "these
 recommendations should be viewed cautiously."

4

5 In a 2005 systematic review, Crider et al. reported on six randomized controlled trials regarding the efficacy of biofeedback-based therapy for TMD. Two trials included surface 6 electromyographic (SEMG) training of masticatory muscles; two combined SEMG with 7 cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT); and two involved biofeedback-assisted relaxation 8 training (BART). The review determined the extent that each intervention met treatment 9 efficacy criteria established by the Association for Applied Psychophysiology and 10 11 Biofeedback (AAPB). Based upon the review of the studies, the authors stated that SEMG training and BART were "probably an efficacious treatment" and SEMG with CBT is an 12 efficacious treatment. They recommended additional studies to identify specific treatment 13 combinations. 14

15

Yao et al. (2024) explored the comparative effectiveness of available therapies for chronic 16 pain associated with temporomandibular disorders (TMD). 233 trials proved eligible for 17 review, of which 153-enrolling 8713 participants and exploring 59 interventions or 18 combinations of interventions-were included in network meta-analyses. All subsequent 19 20 effects refer to comparisons with placebo or sham procedures. Effects on pain for eight interventions were supported by high to moderate certainty evidence. The three therapies 21 probably most effective for pain relief were cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) augmented 22 with biofeedback or relaxation therapy, therapist-assisted jaw mobilization, and manual 23 trigger point therapy. Five interventions were less effective, yet more effective than 24 placebo: CBT, supervised postural exercise, supervised jaw exercise and stretching, 25 supervised jaw exercise and stretching with manual trigger point therapy, and usual care 26 (such as home exercises, self-stretching, reassurance). Moderate certainty evidence showed 27 four interventions probably improved physical functioning: supervised jaw exercise and 28 stretching, manipulation, acupuncture, and supervised jaw exercise and mobilization. The 29 evidence for pain relief or physical functioning among other interventions, and all evidence 30 for adverse events, was low or very low certainty. 31

32

33 Tinnitus

Weise et al. (2008) conducted a randomized controlled trial to compare the effects of 34 biofeedback (n=63) to a wait-list control group (WLG) (n=67) in patients with chronic 35 tinnitus (i.e., more than six months duration). Patients underwent 12, one-hour EMG 36 biofeedback sessions with tinnitus-specific cognitive- behavioral therapy (CBT) (e.g., 37 directing attention away from tinnitus, relapse prevention) over a three-month period. Final 38 follow-up occurred six months following cessation of treatment. Following treatment, 39 intention-to-treat statistical analysis based on results of interviews and self-reported 40 questionnaires showed significantly less emotional and cognitive distress; less intrusive 41 tinnitus, less auditory perceptual difficulties, less sleep disturbances and fewer somatic 42

Page 40 of 71

complaints in the biofeedback group (p<0.01 for each). No significant differences were 1 reported in the WLG. Compared to pretreatment and the WLG, patients in the biofeedback 2 group reported fewer feelings of helplessness, increased feelings of resourcefulness, fewer 3 catastrophizing self-statements, and more helpful coping self-statements. However, no 4 significant effect was found for depressive and general psychopathological symptoms. 5 Following a waiting period, 52 WLG patients received biofeedback and showed a 6 significant improvement in outcomes. The authors noted that the study was limited by the 7 WLG instead of an active treatment control group (CBT without biofeedback). Other 8 limitations of the study are the short-term follow-up, and the dropout rate (n=26). 9

10

Upper Limb Pain 11

A limited number of studies have been conducted to determine if the muscle relaxation 12 effect of biofeedback could help alleviate the pain of repetitive strain in the upper limbs. 13 Karjalainen et al. (2004) conducted a systematic review of the literature to determine the 14 effectiveness of biopsychosocial rehabilitation for upper-limb repetitive strain injuries 15 among working-age adults. Two prospective randomized studies (n=80) met inclusion 16 criteria, and both were considered to be of low quality due to methodological flaws. Studies 17 which included EMG biofeedback as the only component of physiological rehabilitation 18 were excluded. The authors concluded that there were no differences in effect between 19 applied relaxation, EMG biofeedback plus applied relaxation, and waiting-list controls 20 after eight weeks and six months of follow-up. 21

22

Vulvodynia 23

24 Following the hypothesis that vulvodynia, also called vulvar vestibulitis or vulvar vestibulodynia, may be due to an abnormality in pelvic floor muscle tone, biofeedback has 25 been investigated as a treatment modality for muscle training. In a randomized controlled 26 study, Bergeron et al. (2001) prospectively evaluated and compared EMG biofeedback (12-27 week trial), group cognitive-behavioral (12-week trial), and vestibulectomy in the 28 treatment of dyspareunia resulting from vulvar vestibulodynia. Seventy-eight women were 29 30 randomly assigned to one of the three treatment regimens. Following treatment, all groups reported statistically significant reductions on pain measures up to the six-month follow-31 up. The vestibulectomy group was significantly more successful than the other two groups, 32 reporting a 70% mean reduction in pain and a greater quality of life improvement. The 33 biofeedback participants experienced a higher six-month dropout rate, reflecting patient 34 difficulty following through with the long-term and repetitive treatment protocols. The 35 authors stated that the results should be interpreted with caution because there were 36 significantly more participants in the vestibulectomy condition who refused to undergo the 37 treatment they had been randomized to, as compared to participants in the two other 38 39 treatment conditions"

1 The American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology's (ASCCP) vulvodynia 2 guideline update (Stockdale, et al., 2013) stated that biofeedback may be used in the 3 treatment of vulvodynia to aid patients in confronting and reducing pain.

4

5 In a 2016 updated Committee Opinion on persistent vulvar pain, the American Congress 6 of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and American Society for Colposcopy and 7 Cervical Pathology (ASCCP) recommendations and conclusions stated that women with 8 vulvodynia should be assessed for pelvic floor dysfunction. Biofeedback and/or physical 9 therapy, including pelvic floor physical therapy can be used to treat localized and 10 generalized vulvar pain especially if there is concomitant vaginismus.

11

Nascimento et al. (2024) assessed the efficacy of physiotherapy for vulvodynia. A total of 12 2.274 articles were retrieved. Seven studies met the criteria and were included in a 13 systematic review, which included a total of 477 patients. The interventions included were 14 electromyography biofeedback (n = 2), transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (n =15 1), transcranial direct current stimulation (n = 1), low-intensity shockwave (n = 1), 16 physiotherapy treatment (n = 1), and pelvic floor exercise with behavioral modification (17 n = 1). All studies evaluated pain reduction, 5 evaluated sexual function, and 2 evaluated 18 quality of life. All interventions were effective for the main outcomes; only the transcranial 19 20 direct current stimulation intervention showed no significant difference when compared with the placebo or sham group. Three studies presented a high risk of bias due to the lack 21 of blinding. Authors concluded that the studied interventions (electromyography 22 biofeedback, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, shockwave, physiotherapy, and 23 pelvic floor exercise) seem to improve pain, sexual function, and quality of life. However, 24 the heterogeneity of the studies prevented meta-analysis. In addition, well-designed trials 25 are needed to improve the certainty of this evidence. 26

27

28 EEG Biofeedback/Neurofeedback

The evidence in the clinical trials has not established clinical efficacy and effectiveness of EEG biofeedback for any indication. Studies include small patient populations and heterogeneous types of neurofeedback with short-term follow-ups (Lee et al., 2015; Angelakis, et al., 2007; Dohrmann, et al., 2007; McDonough-Means and Cohen, 2007).

33

Renton et al. (2017) conducted a systematic review to evaluate the effectiveness of 34 neurofeedback (NF) as a form of cognitive rehabilitation therapy for the treatment of stroke 35 patients. Studies included subjects who were affected by a cognitive deficit following 36 37 stroke (e.g., memory loss, loss of executive function, speech impairment). Seven studies met inclusion criteria including one randomized controlled trial, one non-randomized 38 39 comparative trial, one case series and four case reports. Study designs and NF therapy and training protocols were heterogeneous. NF protocols were highly specific to each study 40 (i.e., feedback location, number of sessions, training task involved, etc.). Most patients 41 demonstrated moderate cognitive improvements in their respective pre-post NF outcome 42

Page 42 of 71

measures including reported improvements in memory, mood, concentration, energy, reading and speech abilities, and/or motivation. The authors noted that it was unlikely that NF alone was responsible for the improved results. Because of the heterogeneity of the studies, meta-analysis could not be performed. Limitations of the studies include heterogeneous types of NF therapy; small patient populations; lack of a comparator; heterogeneity of the study designs; and poor quality of the studies. There is insufficient evidence to support NF therapy for cognitive rehabilitation of stroke patients.

8

Reiter et al. (2016) conducted a systematic review of the literature to assess the effectiveness 9 of neurofeedback (NF) for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Five 10 11 studies including one randomized controlled trial met inclusion criteria. Three studies used neurofeedback for combat-related PTSD. One study focused on children with insecure 12 attachment and trauma-related PTSD and one study included participants with PTSD 13 related to childhood abuse. NF approach included alpha wave, alpha/theta training, 14 sensorimotor rhythm, or combination NF. Training sessions varied from 30 minutes to one 15 hour and ranged from one single session to 30 sessions. Three studies reported a 16 statistically significant reduction in targeted symptomatology while some measures failed 17 to show any improvement. Limitations of the studies include limited number of studies; 18 small patient populations (10-29); lack of female subjects; short-term follow-ups; lack of 19 20 a comparator, and heterogeneity of treatment protocol and outcomes. Data are insufficient to support neurofeedback as an effective treatment option for PTSD. Additional research 21 using well-designed randomized controlled trials with large patient populations is needed 22 to establish which neurofeedback approach is clinically effective for PTSD. 23

24

Luctkar-Flude et al. (2015) conducted a systematic review of the literature to evaluate the 25 safety and effectiveness of neurofeedback of the management of fatigue and cognitive 26 impairment. Seven randomized, three quasi-randomized and four nonrandomized trials 27 (case series and retrospective reviews) met inclusion criteria. A study was eligible for 28 inclusion if it included adult cancer survivors, individuals with other chronic health 29 conditions or nonclinical populations seeking to decrease fatigue and/or enhance cognitive 30 abilities. Two studies included cancer patients. Most of these studies reported positive 31 results for at least one fatigue or cognitive outcome in a variety of clinical populations 32 33 (traumatic brain injury, fibromyalgia, CNS problems) and nonclinical (college students, adults, elderly). Limitations of the studies included: small patient populations; 34 heterogeneity of the types of neurofeedback, comparators, number of training sessions, 35 outcome measures and diagnosis; subjects lost to follow-up; and short-term follow-ups 36 Only four studies reported side effects or safety issues. Due to the limitations of the studies 37 firm conclusions could not be made regarding the effectiveness of neurofeedback for 38 39 fatigue and cognitive impairment including cancer patients.

40

41 A Hayes (2003) review of 6 studies that met inclusion criteria concluded that "there is 42 insufficient evidence from the available peer-reviewed literature to conclude that EEG

Page 43 of 71

biofeedback therapy is effective for the treatment of disorders such as epilepsy, insomnia, 1 depression, mood disorders, posttraumatic stress disorder, alcoholism, drug addiction, or 2 menopausal symptoms". Limitations of the studies included small patient populations, 3 inadequate or no controls, lack of randomization or comparison to conventional therapies, 4 and/or long-term follow-up, as well as inconsistent outcome measures and incomplete 5 reporting of data. Because of these methodological flaws, Hayes stated that "no definitive 6 conclusions regarding the efficacy of EEG biofeedback can be drawn." In a subsequent 7 literature search (2008), Hayes' conclusions had not changed. This report has been 8 archived. 9

10

Patel et al. (2020) evaluated the effectiveness and safety of neurofeedback (NFB) in 11 alleviating pain and pain-associated symptoms in chronic pain patients. Twenty-one 12 studies were included. Reduction in pain following NFB was reported by one high-quality 13 RCT, five of six low-quality RCT or NRCT and 13 of 14 case-series. Pain reduction 14 reported by studies ranged from 6% to 82%, with 10 studies reporting a clinically 15 significant reduction in pain of >30%. The overall effect size was medium (Cohen's d -16 0.76). Studies were highly heterogeneous. Improvements in depression, anxiety, fatigue 17 and sleep were also seen in some studies. Common side-effects included headache, nausea 18 and drowsiness. Authors concluded that neurofeedback is a safe and effective therapy with 19 20 promising but largely low-quality evidence supporting its use in chronic pain. Further highquality trials comparing different protocols is warranted to determine the most efficacious 21 way to deliver NFB. 22 Steingrimsson et al. (2020) aimed to assess whether EEG-NF, compared with sham NF, 23

other treatment, or no treatment, is effective for PTSD. Primary outcomes were self-harm, 24 PTSD symptoms, level of functioning and health-related quality of life. Four RCTs were 25 included (123 participants). Suicidal thoughts were significantly reduced after EEG-NF 26 compared with a waiting list in a small study. PTSD symptoms were assessed in all studies 27 with different instruments. Results were consistently in favor of EEG-NF with large effect 28 sizes. One study reported significantly improved level of executive functioning and one 29 study a reduction in use of psychotropic medication. Complications were scarcely reported. 30 Certainty of evidence was assessed as very low for the four assessed outcomes. Authors 31 concluded that based on four RCTs, with several study limitations and imprecision, it is 32 33 uncertain whether EEG-NF reduces suicidal thoughts, PTSD symptoms, medication use, or improves function. Although all studies showed promising results, further studies are 34 needed to increase the certainty of evidence. 35

36

Hesam-Shariati et al. (2022) synthesized the evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to evaluate the effect of EEG neurofeedback on chronic pain using random effects meta-analyses. Additionally, they performed a narrative review to explore the results of non-randomized studies. Ten RCTs and 13 non-randomized studies were included. The primary meta-analysis on nine eligible RCTs indicated that although there is low confidence, EEG neurofeedback may have a clinically meaningful effect on pain intensity

Page 44 of 71

in short-term. Removing the studies with high risk of bias from the primary meta-analysis
resulted in moderate confidence that there remained a clinically meaningful effect on pain
intensity. Authors concluded that although there is promising evidence on the analgesic
effect of EEG neurofeedback, further studies with larger sample sizes and higher quality
of evidence are required.

6

Fernández-Alvarez et al. (2022) conducted a meta-analysis of studies extracted from 7 PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science and Embase with two objectives: A first group 8 comprising studies patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) and a second group 9 including studies targeting depressive symptomatology reduction in other mental or 10 medical conditions. In the first group of studies including patients with MDD, moderator 11 analyses indicate that treatment efficacy is only significant when accounting for 12 experimental design, in favor of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in comparison to non 13 RCTs, whereas the type of neurofeedback, trial design, year of publication, number of 14 sessions, age, sex and quality of study did not influence treatment efficacy. In the second 15 group of studies, a small but significant effect between groups was found in favor of bio-16 and neurofeedback against control groups. Moderator analyses revealed that treatment 17 efficacy was not moderated by any of the sociodemographic and clinical variables. Authors 18 concluded that heart rate variability (HRV) biofeedback and neurofeedback are associated 19 20 with a reduction in self-reported depression. Despite the fact that the field has still a large room for improvement in terms of research quality, the results presented in this study 21 suggests that both modalities may become relevant complementary strategies for the 22 treatment of MDD and depressive symptomatology in the coming years. 23

24

Lima et al. (2022) reviewed the studies that investigated the effects of EEG neurofeedback 25 in subjects with alcohol use disorder (AUD) and it proposes to discuss this intervention as 26 a tool for reducing harm and risk in AUD. Most of the papers analyzed used the alpha/theta 27 protocol to reduce the 'hyperexcitation' of the nervous system. This protocol provides 28 relaxation, decreases anxiety or stress, prevents alcohol relapse, maintains abstinence, and 29 increases the feeling of well-being. EEG neurofeedback has important effects on AUD and 30 anxiety or stress. Studies reinforce the use of EEG neurofeedback as an alternative tool for 31 reducing harm and risk in AUD. EEG neurofeedback is an intervention to treat AUD, 32 33 specifically, to reduce harm and risk. However, more randomized studies are necessary to consolidate and confirm the effectiveness of the technique despite these findings. 34

35

Pindi et al. (2022) provide 1) a state-of-art qualitative review of real-time functional MRI (RT-fMRI-NF) studies aiming at alleviating clinical symptoms in a psychiatric population;
Pindi et al. (2022) provide 1) a state-of-art qualitative review of real-time functional MRI (RT-fMRI-NF) studies aiming at alleviating clinical symptoms in a psychiatric population;
Pindi et al. (2022) provide 1) a state-of-art qualitative review of real-time functional MRI (RT-fMRI-NF) studies aiming at alleviating clinical symptoms in a psychiatric population;
Pindi et al. (2022) provide 1) a state-of-art qualitative review of real-time functional MRI (RT-fMRI-NF) studies aiming at alleviating clinical symptoms in a psychiatric population;
Pindi et al. (2022) provide 1) a state-of-art qualitative review of real-time functional MRI (RT-fMRI-NF) studies aiming at alleviating clinical suggestions for future studies. Thirty-one clinical trials focusing on psychiatric disorders were included and categorized according to standard diagnostic categories. Neurofeedback using (RT-fMRI-NF) is an innovative technique that allows to voluntarily modulate a targeted brain response and its associated

Page 45 of 71

behavior. Despite promising results in the current literature, its effectiveness on symptoms 1 management in psychiatric disorders is not yet clearly demonstrated. Among the 31 2 identified studies, 22 consisted of controlled trials, of which only eight showed significant 3 clinical improvement in the experimental vs. control group after the training. Nine studies 4 found an effect at follow-up on ADHD symptoms, emotion dysregulation, facial emotion 5 processing, depressive symptoms, hallucinations, psychotic symptoms, and specific 6 phobia. Within-group meta-analysis revealed large effects of the NF training on depressive 7 symptoms right after the training and at follow-up, as well as medium effects on anxiety 8 and emotion regulation. Between-group meta-analysis showed a medium effect non 9 depressive symptoms and a large effect on anxiety. However, the between-studies 10 heterogeneity is very high. The use of RT-fMRI-NF as a treatment for psychiatric 11 symptoms is promising, however, further double-blind, multicentric, randomized-12 controlled trials are warranted to determine effectiveness. 13

14

Rahmani et al. (2022) evaluated the evidence related to the effectiveness of neurofeedback 15 treatment for children and adolescent with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder 16 (ADHD). A systematic review of randomized control trials (RCTs) was carried out across 17 multiple databases. the primary outcome measure was the most proximal ratings of ADHD 18 symptoms in subjects. Conner's Parent Rating Scale (CPRS), Conner's Teacher Rating 19 20 Scale (CTRS), and ADHD Rating Scale (ADHD-RS- are considered as primary outcomes. Seventeen trials met inclusion criteria (including 1211 patients). Analysis showed that 21 there was no significant benefit of neurofeedback treatment compared with other 22 treatments or control. The results provide preliminary evidence that neurofeedback 23 treatment is not an efficacious clinical method for ADHD and suggest that more RTCs are 24 needed to compare common treatment. 25

26

27 Lin et al. (2022) sought to elucidate possible additive effects of electroencephalogrambased neurofeedback (EEG-NF) on medications against the core symptoms of attention-28 deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). The primary outcomes were changes in ADHD 29 symptoms (i.e., global, inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity) assessed with validated 30 rating scales, while secondary outcome was all-cause discontinuation rate. Meta-analysis 31 of five RCTs involving 305 participants with a median follow-up of 12 weeks showed 32 33 additive effects of EEG-NF on medications from parents' observations against ADHD global symptoms and inattention symptoms. However, additive effects failed to sustain six 34 months after EEG-NF intervention. Besides, there was no difference in improvement of 35 hyperactivity/impulsivity from parents' observation, attentional performance, and all-cause 36 discontinuation rate between the two groups. Authors state their results supported 37 additional benefits of combining EEG-NF with medications compared to medication alone 38 39 in treating global symptoms and symptoms of inattention in ADHD patients. However, given a lack of evidence showing a correlation between underlying physiological changes 40 and small effect sizes in these preliminary results, further studies are warranted to support 41 our findings. 42

CPG 294 Revision 8 – S Biofeedback **Revised – June 20, 2024** To CQT for review 05/20/2024 CQT reviewed 05/20/2024 To QIC for review and approval 06/04/2024 QIC reviewed and approved 06/04/2024 To QOC for review and approved 06/20/2024 Page 46 of 71

Patil et al. (2023) summarized the recent literature on electroencephalogram-1 neurofeedback (EEG-NF) training for treating depression in a systematic review. 2 Conventional interventions to treat depression include long-term pharmacotherapy and 3 cognitive behavioral therapy. Electroencephalogram-neurofeedback (EEG-NF) training 4 has been suggested as a non-invasive option to treat depression with minimal side effects. 5 The 12 studies included in the final sample reported that despite several issues related to 6 EEG-NF practices, patients with depression showed significant cognitive, clinical, and 7 neural improvements following EEG-NF training. Given its low cost and the low risk of 8 side effects due to its non-invasive nature, authors suggest that EEG-NF is worth exploring 9 as an augmented tool for patients who already receive standard medications but remain 10 11 symptomatic, and that EEG-NF training may be an effective intervention tool that can be utilized as a supplementary treatment for depression. Authors also suggest that 12 improvement of experimental designs and standards related to EEG-NF training practices 13 for treating depression are needed. 14

15

Askovic et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of ten clinical trials 16 to answer the question: how effective is NFB in addressing PTSD and other associated 17 symptoms across different trauma populations, and are these improvements related to 18 neurophysiological changes? Ten controlled studies were included; seven RCTs and three 19 20 NRSIs with a total number of participants n = 293 (128 male). Only RCTs were included in the meta-analysis (215 participants; 88 male). All included studies showed an advantage 21 of NFB over control conditions in reducing symptoms of PTSD, with indications of 22 improvement in symptoms of anxiety and depression and related neurophysiological 23 changes. Meta-analysis of the pooled data shows a significant reduction in PTSD 24 symptoms post-treatment, and the mean remission rate was higher in the NFB group 25 (79.3%) compared to the control group (24.4%). However, the studies reviewed were 26 mostly small, with heterogeneous populations and varied quality. Authors concluded that 27 the effect of NFB on the symptoms of PTSD was moderate and mechanistic evidence 28 suggested that NFB leads to therapeutic changes in brain functioning. Future research 29 should focus on more rigorous methodological designs, expanded sample size and longer 30 follow-up. 31

32

33 Home Biofeedback Devices

Biofeedback should be performed in a clinical setting by trained professionals. The 34 evidence in the published peer-reviewed scientific literature does not support the 35 effectiveness of home electronic biofeedback devices. In some instances, the results of 36 37 clinical trials were limited due to the inability to monitor the use of home biofeedback used by subjects in the trial. Peirce et al. (2013) conducted a randomized controlled trial (n=120)38 39 to determine if home biofeedback alone would have better anal manometry results at three months postpartum compared to pelvic floor exercises (PFEs) alone in women who 40 sustained a primary third-degree postpartum tear. The secondary outcome criterion was 41 improvement in continence scores. Subjects were randomized to home biofeedback (n=30)42

Page 47 of 71

(CombiStim XP, Neurotech[®], Galway, Ireland) or conventional PFEs (n=90). At the 3month follow-up, there was no significant difference in anal resting (p=0.123), squeeze 2 pressure (p=0.68), and the Cleveland Clinic continence scores (p=0.88) between the groups. 3 There were no significant differences in the Rockwood fecal incontinence quality of life 4 scale score including: lifestyle (p=0.29), coping (p=0.27), depression (p=0.89) and embarrassment (p=0.51). Seven of the 30 biofeedback subjects reported poor adherence. 6 Home biofeedback did not improve the clinical outcomes of this subpopulation of women. Limitations of the study include the small patient population and short-term follow-up.

8 9

1

5

7

An earlier randomized controlled trial compared the use of anorectal manometry EMG 10 biofeedback performed in a laboratory (n=24) to EMG biofeedback performed in the home 11 (n=12) for children with chronic constipation who had failed conventional treatment. The 12 outcomes indicated that no additional benefit was gained by the use of home biofeedback 13 (Croffie et al., 2005). A randomized controlled trial by Aukee et al. (2004) reported that 11 14 of 16 women who received 12 weeks of home EMG-assisted biofeedback (FemiScanTM, 15 MegaElectronics, Kuopio, Finland) avoided surgical intervention compared to ten of 19 16 control subjects who did not use home biofeedback. In a 2002 decision memo regarding the 17 use of home biofeedback for urinary incontinence, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid 18 (2002), stated that "the scientific evidence is not adequate to conclude that the use of home 19 20 biofeedback devices for the treatment of urinary incontinence is clinically effective, and, therefore, is not reasonable and necessary for treating urinary incontinence or to improve 21 the functioning of a malformed body member". 22

23

Cross et al. (2023) investigated and compared the efficacy of supervised Kegel exercises 24 with bio-feedback on stress urinary incontinence (SUI) and pelvic floor muscle strength 25 (PFMS) compared with unsupervised Kegel exercises. This was a matched-group quasi-26 experimental study of 29 female participants divided into two groups (supervised and non-27 supervised) and was conducted over 12 weeks. Baseline measurements of PFMS were 28 undertaken by a women's health physiotherapist and a Kegel exercise regime bespoke 29 designed for each participant. The supervised group visited the physiotherapist monthly for 30 bio-feedback training (BT); the unsupervised group continued at home with their 31 individualised Kegel exercises. Data were collected via a perineometer (PeritronTM) and 32 33 self-reporting responses to questionnaires. All participants received a final PFMS measurement on completion of the study. Results demonstrated that the overall 34 Incontinence Severity index (ISI) score was significantly lower in the supervised group 35 post-intervention. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests indicated that supervised Kegel exercises 36 significantly reduced frequency (p=0.002) and severity (p=0.020) of overall ISI. Analysis 37 of PFMS were not significantly different, despite an increase in maximum voluntary 38 39 contraction or pelvic floor muscle strength (PFMS) (p=0.032) in the supervised group. Of the questionnaires, results of Wilcoxon signed-rank tests indicated that "total bother" was 40 significantly reduced (p=0.005) in the supervised group. The correlation analysis between 41 PFMS and ISI did not reveal any significant results. Authors concluded that the study 42

Page 48 of 71

1 confirmed that supervised BT is more effective in reducing SUI than unsupervised Kegel

- exercises, and that this reduction in ISI score did not correlate with the improvement inPFMS.
- 4

5 PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING

Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their
education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may
vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner
to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services
and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

11

12 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if 13 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared 14 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently 15 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be 16 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

17

Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals, 2017).

23

Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* policy for information.

32 **REFERENCES**

American Cancer Society. Pain Control: A Non-medical treatments for pain. Retrieved on
 May 23, 2024 from https://www.cancer.org/cancer/managing-cancer/side effects/pain/non-medical-treatments-for-cancer-pain.html

- 36
- American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Committee on
 Gynecologic Practice. ACOG Committee Opinion: Number 673: Persistent vulvar pain.
 Sept 2016. Retrieved on March 22, 2024 from https://www.acog.org/ /media/project/acog/acogorg/clinical/files/committee-
- 41 opinion/articles/2016/09/persistent-vulvar-pain.pdf

American Gastroenterological Association's (AGA). (2013). Evaluation and management 1 of constipation. Retrieved on March 22, 2024 from https://gastro.org/clinical-2 guidance/evaluation-and-management-of-constipation/ 3 4 5 American Medical Association. (current year). Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Current year (rev. ed.). Chicago: AMA 6 7 American Medical Association (current year). HCPCS Level II. American Medical 8 9 Association 10 American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management; 11 American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice guidelines for 12 chronic pain management: an updated report by the American Society of 13 Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society 14 of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Anesthesiology. 2010 Apr;112(4):810-33. 15 Retrieved March 2024 from 16 on 22, https://pubs.asahq.org/anesthesiology/article/112/4/810/10691/Practice-Guidelines-17 for-Chronic-Pain-ManagementAn 18 19 20 American Urological Association. Surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence AUA/SUFU guideline. Retrieved on March 22. 2024 from 21 (SUI): https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-and-quality/guidelines/stress-urinary-22 incontinence-(sui)-guideline 23 24 Angelakis E, Stathopoulou S, Frymiare JL, Green DL, Lubar JF, Kounios J. EEG 25 neurofeedback: a brief overview and an example of peak alpha frequency training for 26 cognitive enhancement in the elderly. Clin Neuropsychol. 2007 Jan;21(1):110-29 27 28 Askovic M, Soh N, Elhindi J, Harris AWF. Neurofeedback for post-traumatic stress 29 disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and neurophysiological 30 outcomes. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2023;14(2):2257435 31 32 33 Astin JA, Beckner W, Soeken K, Hochberg MC, Berman B. Psychological interventions for rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Arthritis Rheum. 34 2002;47(3):291-302 35 36 37 Aukee P, Immonen P, Laaksonen DE, Laippala P, Penttinen J, Airaksinen O. The effect of home biofeedback training on stress incontinence. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2004 38 39 Oct;83(10):973-7 40 Babu AS, Mathew E, Danda D, Prakash H. Management of patients with fibromyalgia

- 41
- using biofeedback: A randomized control trial. Indian J Med Sci 2007;61:455-61 42

Page 50 of 71

Balbinot G, Joner Wiest M, Li G, et al. The use of surface EMG in neurorehabilitation 1 following traumatic spinal cord injury: A scoping review. Clin Neurophysiol. 2 2022;138:61-73. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2022.02.028 3 4 Barragán Loayza IM, Solà I, Juandó PratsC. Biofeedback for pain management during 5 labour. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD006168 6 7 Baumann FT, Reimer N, Gockeln T, et al. Supervised pelvic floor muscle exercise is more 8 effective than unsupervised pelvic floor muscle exercise at improving urinary 9 incontinence in prostate cancer patients following radical prostatectomy – a systematic 10 review and meta-analysis. Disabil Rehabil. 2022 Sep;44(19):5374-5385. doi: 11 10.1080/09638288.2021.1937717 12 13 Berger MB, Patel DA, Miller JM, DeLancey JO, Fenner DE. Racial differences in self-14 reported healthcare seeking and treatment for urinary incontinence in community-15 dwelling women from the EPI study. Neurourology and urodynamics. 2011;30(8):1442-16 1447 17 18 Bergeron S, Binik YM, Khalife S, Pagidas K, Glazer HI, Meana M, Amsel R. A randomized 19 20 comparison of group cognitive-behavioral therapy, surface electromyographic biofeedback, and vestibulectomy in the treatment of dyspareunia resulting from vulvar 21 vestibulitis. Pain. 2001 Apr;91(3):297-306 22 23 Brasileiro A, Gama G, Trigueiro L, Ribeiro T, Silva E, Galvão É, Lindquist A. Influence of 24 visual and auditory biofeedback on partial body weight support treadmill training of 25 individuals with chronic hemiparesis: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Eur J Phys 26 Rehabil Med. 2015 Feb;51(1):49-58 27 28 Brazzelli M, Griffiths P. Behavioural and cognitive interventions with or without other 29 treatments for the management of faecal incontinence in children. Cochrane Database 30 Syst Rev. 2006 Apr 19;(2):CD002240 31 32 33 Brazzelli M, Griffiths PV, Cody JD, Tappin D. Behavioural and cognitive interventions with or without other treatments for the management of faecal incontinence in children. 34 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD002240. DOI: 35 10.1002/14651858.CD002240.pub4 36 37 Brook RD, Appel LJ, Rubenfire M, Ogedegbe G, Bisognano JD, Elliott WJ, Fuchs FD, 38 39 Hughes JW, Lackland DT, Staffileno BA, Townsend RR, Rajagopalan S; American Heart Association Professional Education Committee of the Council for High Blood 40 Pressure Research, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on 41 Epidemiology and Prevention, and Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity. Beyond 42

Page 51 of 71

1 2 3 4	medications and diet: alternative approaches to lowering blood pressure: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Hypertension. 2013 Jun;61(6):1360-83
5 6 7	Brown HW, Dyer KY, Rogers RG. Management of Fecal Incontinence. Obstet Gynecol. 2020 Oct;136(4):811-822. Doi: 10.1097/AOG.000000000004054
8 9 10 11	Brown HW, Barnes HC, Lim A, Giles DL, McAchran SE. Better together: multidisciplinary approach improves adherence to pelvic floor physical therapy. Int Urogynecol J. 2020;31(5):887-893. doi:10.1007/s00192-019-04090-w
12 13 14	Brown O, Simon MA. Applying a Health Equity Lens to Urinary Incontinence. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2021;64(2):266-275. doi:10.1097/GRF.000000000000613
15 16 17	Brunnhuber K, Nash S, Meier DE, Weissman DE, Woodcock J. Putting evidence into practice: palliative care. BMJ 2008;1-88
17 18 19 20 21 22	Burgio KL, Goode PS, Locher JL, Umlauf MG, Roth DL, Richter HE, Varner RE, Lloyd LK. Behavioral training with and without biofeedback in the treatment of urge incontinence in older women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2002 Nov 13;288(18):2293-9
22 23 24 25 26 27	Burgio KL, Goode PS, Urban DA, Umlauf MG, Locher JL, Bueschen A, Redden DT. Preoperative biofeedback assisted behavioral training to decrease post-prostatectomy incontinence: a randomized, controlled trial. J Urol. 2006 Jan;175(1):196-201; discussion 201
28 29 30 31	Burlacu A, Brinza C, Popa IV, Covic A, Floria M. Influencing Cardiovascular Outcomes through Heart Rate Variability Modulation: A Systematic Review. Diagnostics (Basel). 2021;11(12):2198. Published 2021 Nov 25
32 33 34 35	Bussadori SK, Motta LJ, Horliana ACRT, Santos EM, Martimbianco ALC. The Current Trend in Management of Bruxism and Chronic Pain: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. J Pain Res. 2020 Sep 30;13:2413-2421. Doi: 10.2147/JPR.S268114
36 37 38 39 40	Campo M, Zadro JR, Pappas E, Monticone M, Secci C, Scalzitti D, Findley JL, Graham PL. The effectiveness of biofeedback for improving pain, disability and work ability in adults with neck pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Musculoskelet Sci Pract. 2021 Jan 8;52:102317
40 41 42	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Biofeedback Therapy (30.1). Retrieved on March 22, 2024 from

Page 52 of 71

1	https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-
2	details.aspx?NCDId=41&ncdver=1&bc=AAAAgAAAAAAA&
3	
4	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for
5	Biofeedback Therapy for the Treatment of Urinary Incontinence (30.1.1). Retrieved on
6	March 22, 2024 from https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-
7	details.aspx?NCDId=42&ncdver=1&bc=AAAAgAAAAAAA&
8	
9	Chiarioni G, Nardo A, Vantini I, Romito A, Whitehead WE. Biofeedback is superior to
10	electrogalvanic stimulation and massage for treatment of levator ani syndrome.
11	Gastroenterology. 2010 Apr;138(4):1321-9
12	Chiariani G. Whitehead WE. Dazza V. Moralli A. Daggetti G. Diofeedheak is superior to
13 14	Chiarioni G, Whitehead WE, Pezza V, Morelli A, Bassotti G. Biofeedback is superior to laxatives for normal transit constipation due to pelvic floor dyssynergia.
15	Gastroenterology. 2006 Mar;130(3):657-64
16	
17	Coben R, Linden M, Myers TE. Neurofeedback for autistic spectrum disorder: a review of
18	the literature. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2010 Mar;35(1):83-105
19	
20	Crider A, Glaros AG, Gevirtz RN. Efficacy of biofeedback-based treatments for
21	temporomandibular disorders. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2005 Dec;30(4):333-
22	45
23	
24	Croffie JM, Ammar MS, Pfefferkorn MD, Horn D, Klipsch A, Fitzgerald JF, Gupta SK,
25	Molleston JP, Corkins MR. Assessment of the effectiveness of biofeedback in children
26	with dyssynergic defecation and recalcitrant constipation/encopresis: does home
27	biofeedback improve long-term outcomes. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2005 Jan-Feb;44(1):63-
28	71
29	
30	Cross D, Waheed N, Krake M, Gahreman D. Effectiveness of supervised Kegel exercises
31	using bio-feedback versus unsupervised Kegel exercises on stress urinary incontinence:
32	a quasi-experimental study. Int Urogynecol J. 2023;34(4):913-920.
33	
34	Dannecker C, Wolf V, Raab R, Hepp H, Anthuber C. EMG-biofeedback assisted pelvic
35	floor muscle training is an effective therapy of stress urinary or mixed incontinence: a
36	7-year experience with 390 patients. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2005 Dec;273(2):93-7
37	
38	Department of Veterans Affairs. VA/DOD clinical practice guideline for the management
39	of stroke rehabilitation. 2019. Retrieved on March 22, 2024 from
40	https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/Rehab/stroke/index.asp

Page 53 of 71

1 2 3 4	Desantis DJ, Leonard MP, Preston MA, Barrowman NJ, Guerra LA. Effectiveness of biofeedback for dysfunctional elimination syndrome in pediatrics: a systematic review. J Pediatr Urol. 2011 Jun;7(3):342-8
5 6 7 8	Doğan-Aslan M, Nakipoğlu-Yüzer GF, Doğan A, Karabay I, Özgirgin N. The effect of electromyographic biofeedback treatment in improving upper extremity functioning of patients with hemiplegic stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2012 Apr;21(3):187-92
9 10 11	Dohrmann K, Weisz N, Schlee W, Hartmann T, Elbert T. Neurofeedback for treating tinnitus. Prog Brain Res. 2007;166:473-85
12 13 14	Drużbicki M, Guzik A, Przysada G, Kwolek A, Brzozowska-Magoń A. Efficacy of gait training using a treadmill with and without visual biofeedback in patients after stroke: A randomized study. J Rehabil Med. 2015 May;47(5):419-25
15 16 17 18 19 20	Ehrenborg C, Archenholtz B. Is surface EMG biofeedback an effective training method for persons with neck and shoulder complaints after whiplash-associated disorders concerning activities of daily living and pain – a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil. 2010 Aug;24(8):715-26
20 21 22 23 24 25	Elavally S, Ramamurthy MT, Subash J, Meleveedu R, Venkatasalu MR. Effect of nurse- led home-based biofeedback intervention on the blood pressure levels among patients with hypertension: Pretest-posttest study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020 Sep 30;9(9):4833-4840
26 27	Enck P, van der Voort IR, Klosterhalfen S. Biofeedback therapy in fecal incontinence and constipation. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2009 Jun 30
28 29 30 31	Erickson BA, Schaeffer AJ, Van Le B. Chronic prostatitis. Clin Evid (Online). 2008 May 22;2008. Pii: 1802
32 33 34 35	Eslamian F, Jahanjoo F, Dolatkhah N, Pishgahi A, Pirani A. Relative Effectiveness of Electroacupuncture and Biofeedback in the Treatment of Neck and Upper Back Myofascial Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2020 May;101(5):770-780
36 37 38 39	Fazeli MS1, Lin Y2, Nikoo N2, Jaggumantri S1, Collet JP1, Afshar K3. Biofeedback for nonneuropathic daytime voiding disorders in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Urol. 2015 Jan;193(1):274-9

Page 54 of 71

1 2	Fernández-Alvarez J, Grassi M, Colombo D, et al. Efficacy of bio- and neurofeedback for depression: a meta-analysis. Psychol Med. 2022;52(2):201-216.
3	doi:10.1017/S0033291721004396
4	don1011011/1500002)11210015/0
5	Fitz FF, Resende AP, Stüpp L, Sartori MG, Girão MJ, Castro RA. Biofeedback for the
6	treatment of female pelvic floor muscle dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-
7	analysis. Int Urogynecol J. 2012 Mar 17
8	
9	Forte ML, Andrade KE, Butler M, et al. Treatments for Fecal Incontinence [Internet].
10	Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2016 Mar.
11	(Comparative Effectiveness Review, No. 165.) Available from:
12	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK356097/
13	
14	Fournié C, Chouchou F, Dalleau G, Caderby T, Cabrera Q, Verkindt C. Heart rate
15	variability biofeedback in chronic disease management: A systematic review.
16	Complement Ther Med. 2021;60:102750
17	
18	Fuchs T, Birbaumer N, Lutzenberger W, Gruzelier JH, Kaiser J. Neurofeedback treatment
19	for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: a comparison with
20	methylphenidate. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2003 Mar;28(1):1-12
21	
22	Fullerton ME, Mwesigwa PJ, Tandel MD, Kwan L, Grisales T, Tarnay CM. Comparison
23	of Pelvic Floor Physical Therapy Attendance Based on Referring Provider Specialty.
24	Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg. 2022;28(1):57-63.
25	doi:10.1097/SPV.000000000001061
26	
27	Giggins OM, Persson UM, Caulfield B. Biofeedback in rehabilitation. J Neuroeng Rehabil.
28	2013 Jun 18;10:60
29	
30	Gladstein J. Headache. Med Clin North Am. 2006 Mar;90(2):275-90
31	
32	Glasscoe CA, Quittner AL. Psychological interventions for people with cystic fibrosis and
33	their families. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Jul 16;(3):CD003148
34	
35	Glattke KE, Tummala SV, Chhabra A. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction
36	Recovery and Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review. J Bone Joint Surg Am.
37	2022;104(8):739-754. Doi:10.2106/JBJS.21.00688
38	
39	Goldenberg JZ, Brignall M, Hamilton M, Beardsley J, Batson RD, Hawrelak J,
40	Lichtenstein B, Johnston BC. Biofeedback for treatment of irritable bowel syndrome.
41	Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019 Nov 12;2019(11):CD012530

1 2 3 4	Greenhalgh J, Dickson R, Dundar Y. The effects of biofeedback for the treatment of essential hypertension: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess. 2009 Oct;13(46):1-104
4 5	Haefner HK, Collins ME, Davis GD, Edwards L, Foster DC, Hartmann ED, Kaufman RH,
5 6	Lynch PJ, Margesson LJ, Moyal-Barracco M, Piper CK, Reed BD, Stewart EG,
7	Wilkinson EJ. The vulvodynia guideline. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2005 Jan;9(1):40-51
8	
9	Hagen S, Elders A, Stratton S, Sergenson N, Bugge C, Dean S, Hay-Smith J, Kilonzo M,
10	Dimitrova M, Abdel-Fattah M, Agur W, Booth J, Glazener C, Guerrero K, McDonald
11	A, Norrie J, Williams LR, McClurg D. Effectiveness of pelvic floor muscle training
12	with and without electromyographic biofeedback for urinary incontinence in women:
13	multicentre randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2020 Oct 14;371:m3719
14	
15	Hagen S, Bugge C, Dean SG, et al. Basic versus biofeedback-mediated intensive pelvic
16	floor muscle training for women with urinary incontinence: the OPAL RCT. Health
17	Technol Assess. 2020;24(70):1-144. doi:10.3310/hta24700
18	
19	Harris RP, Helfand M, Woolf SH, et al. Current methods of the US Preventive Services
20	Task Force: a review of the process. Am J Prev Med. 2001; 20:21–35
21	
22 23	Hayes Inc. Hayes Medical Technology Directory [™] . Electroencephalogram (EEG) biofeedback therapy. Lansdale, PA: HAYES Inc;. Apr 11, 2003; reviewed 2008
24	
25	Hayes Inc. Hayes Update Search TM . Electroencephalogram (EEG) Biofeedback Therapy.
26 27	Lansdale, PA: Mar 13, 2008
28	Hayes Inc. Search and Summary. Biofeedback for Spastic Torticollis. Lansdale, PA: Sept
29	15, 2016
30	
31	Hayes Inc. Search and Summary. Biofeedback for the treatment of dysfunctional voiding
32	in children. Lansdale, PA: Jun 16, 2016
33	
34	Heinrich H, Gevensleben H, Strehl U. Annotation: neurofeedback – train your brain to train
35	behaviour. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007 Jan;48(1):3-16
36	
37	Henschke N, Ostelo RWJG, van Tulder MW, Vlaeyen JWS, Morley S, Assendelft WJJ,
38	Main CJ. Behavioural treatment for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database of
39	Systematic Reviews 2010, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD002014.
40	DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD002014.pub3

1 2 3	Herbison GP, Dean N. Weighted vaginal cones for urinary incontinence. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2002, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD002114. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002114
4 5 6	Herderschee R, Hay-Smith EJC, Herbison GP, Roovers JP, Heineman MJ. Feedback or biofeedback to augment pelvic floor muscle training for urinary incontinence in
7 8 9	women. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD009252. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD009252
10 11 12 13	Hesam-Shariati N, Chang WJ, Wewege MA, et al. The analgesic effect of electroencephalographic neurofeedback for people with chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Neurol. 2022;29(3):921-936. doi:10.1111/ene.15189
14 15 16	Heymen S, Jones KR, Scarlett Y, Whitehead WE. Biofeedback treatment of constipation: a critical review. Dis Colon Rectum. 2003 Sep;46(9):1208-17
17 18 19 20 21	Heymen S, Scarlett Y, Jones K, Ringel Y, Drossman D, Whitehead WE. Randomized, controlled trial shows biofeedback to be superior to alternative treatments for patients with pelvic floor dyssynergia-type constipation. Dis Colon Rectum. 2007 Apr;50(4):428-41
22 23 24	Hite M, Curran T. Biofeedback for Pelvic Floor Disorders. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2021 Jan;34(1):56-61. Doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1714287
25 26 27 28	Hjelland IE, Svebak S, Berstad A, Flatabø G, Hausken T. Breathing exercises with vagal biofeedback may benefit patients with functional dyspepsia. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2007 Sep;42(9):1054-62
29 30 31 32	Höder A, Stenbeck J, Fernando M, Lange E. Pelvic floor muscle training with biofeedback or feedback from a physiotherapist for urinary and anal incontinence after childbirth - a systematic review. BMC Womens Health. 2023 Nov 18;23(1):618.
33 34 35	Holroyd KA, Mauskop A. Complementary and alternative treatments. Neurology. 2003 Apr 8;60(7)
36 37 38	Holroyd-Leduc JM, Tannenbaum C, Thorpe KE, Straus SE. What type of urinary incontinence does this woman have? JAMA. 2008 Mar 26;299(12):1446-56
394041	Holtmann M, Stadler C. Electroencephalographic biofeedback for the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in childhood and adolescence. Expert Rev Neurother. 2006 Apr;6(4):533-40

1 2	Huffman J, Sakonju A. Chapter 19 – Neurology. Differential diagnosis of recurrent or chronic headaches. In: Johns Hopkins: The Harriet Lane Handbook: A Manual for
2 3	Pediatric House Officers, 17 th ed. Philadelphia. Mosby's, 2005
4	rediatile mouse officers, 17 ed. r infaderpina. Wosby 5, 2005
5	Hunter KF, Moore KN, Cody DJ, Glazener CM. Conservative management for
6	postprostatectomy urinary incontinence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
7 8	2004;(2):CD001843
9	Ilnyckyj A, Fachnie E, Tougas G. A randomized-controlled trial comparing an educational
10	intervention alone vs education and biofeedback in the management of faecal
11	incontinence in women. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2005 Feb;17(1):58-63
12	
13	Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery (SICCR), Pucciani F, Altomare DF, Dodi G, Falletto
14	E, Frasson A, Giani I, Martellucci J, Naldini G, Piloni V, Sciaudone G; Italian
15	Association of Hospital Gastroenterologists (AIGO), Bove A, Bocchini R, Bellini M,
16	Alduini P, Battaglia E, Galeazzi F, Rossitti P, Usai Satta P. Diagnosis and treatment of
17	faecal incontinence: Consensus statement of the Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery
18	and the Italian Association of Hospital Gastroenterologists. Dig Liver Dis. 2015
19	Aug;47(8):628-45
20	
21	Jacobsen LV, Jørgensen CS, Kaas Sørensen KM, Enemark L, Rittig S, Kamperis K. The
22	efficacy of physiotherapeutic intervention with biofeedback assisted pelvic floor muscle
23	training in children with dysfunctional voiding. J Pediatr Urol. 2021;17(6):793.e1-
24	793.e6
25	
26	Johnson EE, Mamoulakis C, Stoniute A, Omar MI, Sinha S. Conservative interventions for
27	managing urinary incontinence after prostate surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
28	2023;4(4):CD014799. Published 2023 Apr 18. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD014799.pub2
29	
30	Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation
31	Standards for Hospitals (7 th ed.): Joint Commission Resources
32	
33	Jokubauskas L, Baltrušaitytė A. Efficacy of biofeedback therapy on sleep bruxism: A
34	systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil. 2018 Jun;45(6):485-495. Doi:
35	10.1111/joor.12628. Epub 2018 Apr 11
36	
37	Jones L, Othman M, Dowswell T, Alfirevic Z, Gates S, Newburn M, Jordan S, Lavender T,
38	
39	Neilson JP. Pain management for women in labour: an overview of systematic reviews. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD009234. DOI:

40 10.1002/14651858.CD009234.pub2

1 2 3 4	Karaborklu Argut S, Celik D, Yasacı Z. Effectiveness of therapeutic electromyographic biofeedback after orthopedic knee surgeries: a systematic review. Disabil Rehabil. 2021 Jan 8:1-9
5 6 7 8 9	Karjalainen K, Malmivaara A, van Tulder M, Roine R, Jauhiainen M, Hurri H, et al. Biopsychosocial rehabilitation for upper limb repetitive strain injuries in working age adults. The Cochrane Review. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 3, 2000. Update Dec 2004.Chichester, UK:John Wiley & sons, Ltd.; 2004. Oxford: Update software
10 11 12	Karmody CS. Alternative therapies in the management of headache and facial pain. Otolaryngol Clin N Am. 2003;36:1221–30
13 14 15 16	Kaushik R, Kaushik RM, Mahajan SK, Rajesh V. Biofeedback assisted diaphragmatic breathing and systematic relaxation versus propranolol in long term prophylaxis of migraine. Complement Ther Med. 2005 Sep;13(3):165-74
17 18 19 20	Kiresuk TJ, Trachtenberg A. Alternative and complementary health practices. Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, editors. In: Kaplan & Sadock's Comprehensive textbook of psychiatry. PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2005
20 21 22 23 24 25	Klijn AJ, Uiterwaal CS, Vijverberg MA, Winkler PL, Dik P, de Jong TP. Home uroflowmetry biofeedback in behavioral training for dysfunctional voiding in school-age children: a randomized controlled study. J Urol. 2006 Jun;175(6):2263-8; discussion 2268
26 27 28 29	Koh CE, Young CJ, Young JM, Solomon MJ. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials of the effectiveness of biofeedback for pelvic floor dysfunction. Br J Surg. 2008 Sep;95(9):1079-87
30 31 32 33 34	Kuo LJ, Lin YC, Lai CH, Lin YK, Huang YS, Hu CC, Chen SC. Improvement of fecal incontinence and quality of life by electrical stimulation and biofeedback for patients with low rectal cancer after intersphincteric resection. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015 Aug;96(8):1442-7
35 36 37 38	Lacima G, Pera M, Amador A, Escaramís G, Piqué JM. Long-term results of biofeedback treatment for faecal incontinence: a comparative study with untreated controls. Colorectal Dis. 2009 Apr 10
 39 40 41 42 	Ladi-Seyedian S, Kajbafzadeh AM, Sharifi-Rad L, Shadgan B, Fan E. Management of non- neuropathic underactive bladder in children with voiding dysfunction by animated biofeedback: a randomized clinical trial. Urology. 2015 Jan;85(1):205-10. Doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2014.09.025

Page 59 of 71

1 2	Lee YS, Bae SH, Lee SH, Kim KY. Neurofeedback training improves the dual-task performance ability in stroke patients. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2015;236(1):81-8
3	
4	Leonardo K, Seno DH, Mirza H, Afriansyah A. Biofeedback-assisted pelvic floor muscle
5	training and pelvic electrical stimulation in women with overactive bladder: A
6	systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Neurourol
7	Urodyn. 2022;41(6):1258-1269. Doi:10.1002/nau.24984
8	
9	Li H, Guo C, Gao J, Yao H. Effectiveness of Biofeedback Therapy in Patients with Bowel
10	Dysfunction Following Rectal Cancer Surgery: A Systemic Review with Meta-
11	Analysis. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2022;18:71-93. Published 2022 Feb 2
12	
13	Li RY, Chen KY, Wang XR, Yu Q, Xu L. Comparison of Different Rehabilitation
14	Techniques of Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine in the Treatment of Motor
15	Dysfunction After Stroke Based on Frequency Method: A Network Meta-analysis. Am
16	J Phys Med Rehabil. 2023;102(6):504-512.
17	
18	Lima KHM, Gomes JS, Tucci AM. Electroencephalographic neurofeedback as a tool for
19	reducing harm and risk associated with alcohol use disorder: A critical review. Drug
20	Alcohol Rev. 2022;41(3):594-602. doi:10.1111/dar.13387
21	
22	Lin FL, Sun CK, Cheng YS, et al. Additive effects of EEG neurofeedback on medications
23	for ADHD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):20401.
24	Published 2022 Nov 27.
25	
26	Lirio-Romero C, Torres-Lacomba M, Gómez-Blanco A, Acero-Cortés A, Retana-Garrido
27	A, de la Villa-Polo P, Sánchez-Sánchez B. Electromyographic biofeedback improves
28	upper extremity function: a randomized, single-blinded, controlled trial. Physiotherapy.
29	2021 Mar;110:54-62
30	Literinger 1 Ch 21 starles (southman series disease) In Delet Internetice Medicine 2nd
31	Litvinas, l. Ch 31 – stroke (cerebrovascular disease). In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2 nd
32	ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007
33	Luo, L., Li, L., Chen, X. and Zeng, F. (2021) Research Progress on Compliance of Pelvic
34 25	Floor Muscle Training in Patients with Urinary Incontinence. Open Journal of Urology,
35 26	11, 187-199. doi: 10.4236/oju.2021.116017
36 37	11, $107 - 177$. uol. 10.4230/0ju.2021.110017
38	Luctkar-Flude M, Groll D. A Systematic Review of the Safety and Effect of Neurofeedback
38 39	on Fatigue and Cognition. Integr Cancer Ther. 2015 Jul;14(4):318-40

Page 60 of 71

 StatPearls Publishing; 2021 Jan Martino Cinnera A, Morone G, Bisirri A, Lucenti T, Rotundo M, Monaci S, Berton C, Paoluzzi M, Iosa M, Ciancarelli I. Headaches treatment with EMG biofeedback: a focused systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2023 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	1 2	Malenfant D, Catton M, Pope JE. The efficacy of complementary and alternative medicine in the treatment of Raynaud's phenomenon: a literature review and meta-analysis.
 Malik K, Dua A. Biofeedback. 2020 Dec 5. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 Jan Martino Cinnera A, Morone G, Bisirri A, Lucenti T, Rotundo M, Monaci S, Berton C, Paoluzzi M, Iosa M, Ciancarelli I. Headaches treatment with EMG biofeedback: a focused systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2023 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in wome with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		Rheumatology (Oxford). 2009 Jul;48(7):791-5
 Martino Cinnera A, Morone G, Bisirri A, Lucenti T, Rotundo M, Monaci S, Berton C, Paoluzzi M, Iosa M, Ciancarelli I. Headaches treatment with EMG biofeedback: a focused systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2023 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2010 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	5	Malik K, Dua A. Biofeedback. 2020 Dec 5. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing: 2021 Jan
 Martino Cinnera A, Morone G, Bisirri A, Lucenti T, Rotundo M, Monaci S, Berton C, Paoluzzi M, Iosa M, Ciancarelli I. Headaches treatment with EMG biofeedback: a focused systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2023 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		Stati Caris i ubrishing, 2021 Jan
 Paoluzzi M, Iosa M, Ciancarelli I. Headaches treatment with EMG biofeedback: a focused systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2023 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		Martino Cinnera A. Morone G. Bisirri A. Lucenti T. Rotundo M. Monaci S. Berton C.
 focused systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2023 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 Dec;59(6):697-705 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	11	
 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	12	
 McDonough-Means SI, Cohen MW. Chapter 11. Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel: Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		McConaghy JR. Headache in primary care. Prim Care. 2007 Mar;34(1):83-97
 Integrative Medicine, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier, 2007 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		McDonough-Means SI Cohen MW Chapter 11 Attention deficit disorder. In: Rakel:
 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		5
 McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA. Biofeedback-assisted relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		integrative wediente, 2 - ed. 1 infaderprint. Saunders Elsevier, 2007
 relaxation in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005 Sep;28(9):2145-9 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		McGinnis RA, McGrady A, Cox SA, Grower-Dowling KA, Biofeedback-assisted
 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		•
 McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		McGrady A. The effects of biofeedback in diabetes and essential hypertension. Cleve Clin
 McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	22	J Med. 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S68-71
 25 2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	23	
 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	24	McKee MG, Moravec CS. Biofeedback in the treatment of heart failure. Cleve Clin J Med.
 Medlicott MS, Harris SR. A systematic review of the effectiveness of exercise, manual therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	25	2010 Jul;77 Suppl 3:S56-9
 therapy, electrotherapy, relaxation training, and biofeedback in the management of temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	26	
 temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 	27	
 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 Middaugh SJ, Haythornthwaite JA, Thompson B, Hill R, Brown KM, Freedman RR, Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		temporomandibular disorder. Phys Ther. 2006 Jul;86(7):955-73
 Attanasio V, Jacob RG, Scheier M, Smith EA. The Raynaud's Treatment Study: biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 biofeedback protocols and acquisition of temperature biofeedback skills. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		U I I I
 Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
 Minardi D, d'Anzeo G, Parri G, Polito M Jr, Piergallina M, El Asmar Z, Marchetti M, Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2001 Dec;26(4):251-78
Muzzonigro G. The role of uroflowmetry biofeedback and biofeedback training of the pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010		Minardi D. d'Anzas C. Darri C. Dalita M. Ir. Diangalling M. El Asman 7. Marshatti M.
 pelvic floor muscles in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in women with dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010 		
39 dysfunctional voiding: a randomized controlled prospective study. Urology. 2010		
		· ·
	40	Jun;75(6):1299-304

1 2 3 4	Moen MD, Noone MB, Vassallo BJ, Elser DM; Urogynecology Network. Pelvic floor muscle function in women presenting with pelvic floor disorders. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2009;20(7):843-846. doi:10.1007/s00192-009-0853-6
5 6 7	Moore D, Young CJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis of biofeedback therapy for dyssynergic defaecation in adults. Tech Coloproctol. 2020 Sep;24(9):909-918
8 9 10	Morrill MMD, Lukacz ESMD, Lawrence JMMPHS, Nager CWMD, Contreras RMS, Luber KMMD. Seeking healthcare for pelvic floor disorders: a population-based study. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2007;197(1):86.e81–86.e86
11 12 13 14 15	Nagai Y, Goldstein LH, Fenwick PB, Trimble MR. Clinical efficacy of galvanic skin response biofeedback training in reducing seizures in adult epilepsy: a preliminary randomized controlled study. Epilepsy Behav. 2004 Apr;5(2):216-23
16 17 18 19	Nakao M, Yano E, Nomura S, Kuboki T. Blood pressure-lowering effects of biofeedback treatment in hypertension: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Hypertens Res. 2003 Jan;26(1):37-46
20 21 22	Narayanan SP, Bharucha AE. A Practical Guide to Biofeedback Therapy for Pelvic Floor Disorders. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2019 Apr 23;21(5):21
23 24 25 26	Nascimento RP, Falsetta ML, Maurer T, Sarmento ACA, Gonçalves AK. Efficacy of Physiotherapy for Treating Vulvodynia: A Systematic Review. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2024 Jan 1;28(1):54-63.
20 27 28 29 30	National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM). Terms related to complementary and integrative health. Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from http://nccam.nih.gov/health/providers/camterms.htm
31 32 33 34	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clinical guideline 61. Irritable bowel syndrome in adults. Diagnosis and management. Feb 2008; updated Apr 2017. Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG61
35 36 37 38	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clinical guideline 99. Constipation in children and young people: diagnosis and management. May 26, 2010; updated 2017. Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg99
 39 40 41 42 	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clinical guideline 137. Epilepsies in children, young people and adults. April 2022. Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng217

Page 62 of 71

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clinical guideline 49. Faecal 1 incontinence in adults: management. Jun 2007. Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from 2 http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG49/ 3 4 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clinical guideline 123. 5 Urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse in women: management. Jun 2019. 6 Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng123 7 8 NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology[®]. ©2019 National Comprehensive Cancer 9 Network, Inc. Adult Cancer Pain. Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from 10 11 https://www.nccn.org/framework/ 12 Neblett R, Mayer TG, Brede E, Gatchel RJ. Correcting abnormal flexion-relaxation in 13 chronic lumbar pain: responsiveness to a new biofeedback training protocol. Clin J Pain. 14 2010 Jun;26(5):403-9 15 16 Nelson LA. The role of biofeedback in stroke rehabilitation: past and future directions. Top 17 Stroke Rehabil. 2007 Jul-Aug;14(4):59-66 18 19 20 Nestoriuc Y, Martin A. Efficacy of biofeedback for migraine: a meta-analysis. Pain. 2007 Mar;128(1-2):111-27 21 22 Nestoriuc Y, Rief W, Martin A. Meta-analysis of biofeedback for tension-type headache: 23 Efficacy, specificity, and treatment moderators. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2008 24 Jun;76(3):379-96 25 26 27 Norton C, Chelvanayagam S, Wilson-Barnett J, Redfern S, Kamm MA. Randomized controlled trial of biofeedback for fecal incontinence. Gastroenterol. 2003 28 Nov;125(5):1320-9 29 30 Norton C, Cody JD. Biofeedback and/or sphincter exercises for the treatment of faecal 31 incontinence in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012, Issue 7. Art. 32 33 No.: CD002111 34 Norton C, Cody JD, Hosker G. Biofeedback and/or sphincter exercises for the treatment of 35 faecal incontinence in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Jul 19;3:CD002111 36 37 Norton C, Hosker G, Brazzelli M. Biofeedback and/or sphincter exercises for the treatment 38 39 of faecal incontinence in adults. The Cochrane Review. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 2, 2000. Update Jan 31, 2002. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & sons, Ltd.; 2002 40

Page 63 of 71

1	Ostelo RW, van Tulder MW, Vlaeyen JW, Linton SJ, Morley SJ, Assendelft WJ.
2	Behavioural treatment for chronic low-back pain. The Cochrane Review. In: The
3	Cochrane Library, Issue 2, 2000. Update Oct 1, 2003. Chichester, UK: John Wiley &
4	sons, Ltd.; 2005
5	
6	Paquette IM, Varma M, Ternent C, Melton-Meaux G, Rafferty JF, Feingold D, Steele SR.
7	The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons' Clinical Practice Guideline for
8	the Evaluation and Management of Constipation. Dis Colon Rectum. 2016
9	Jun;59(6):479-92. doi: 10.1097/DCR.000000000000599. PMID: 27145304
10	
11	Paquette IM, Varma MG, Kaiser AM, Steele SR, Rafferty JF. The American Society of
12	Colon and Rectal Surgeons' Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Fecal
13	Incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. 2015 Jul;58(7):623-36. doi:
14	10.1097/DCR.00000000000397. PMID: 26200676
15	101107 //2 01110000000000000000000000000000000
16	Patcharatrakul T, Rao SS. Update on the Pathophysiology and Management of Anorectal
17	Disorders. Gut Liver. 2018 Jul; 12(4): 375–384
18	Disorders. Gut Erver. 2010 Jul, 12(1). 575 501
19	Patel K, Sutherland H, Henshaw J, Taylor JR, Brown CA, Casson AJ, Trujillo-Barreton
20	NJ, Jones AKP, Sivan M. Effects of neurofeedback in the management of chronic pain:
21	A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. Eur J Pain. 2020
22	Sep;24(8):1440-1457
23	
24	Patil AU, Lin C, Lee SH, et al. Review of EEG-based neurofeedback as a therapeutic
25	intervention to treat depression. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2023;329:111591
26	
27	Payne, CK. Chapter 63 – Conservative management of urinary incontinence: behavioral
28	and pelvic floor therapy, urethral and pelvic devices. Pelvic floor rehabilitation. In;
29	Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA, editors. Wein: Campbell-
30	Walsh Urology, 9 th ed. Orlando, Fl. WB Sanders Company. 2007
31	
32	Peirce C1, Murphy C, Fitzpatrick M, Cassidy M, Daly L, O'Connell PR, O'Herlihy C.
33	Randomised controlled trial comparing early home biofeedback physiotherapy with
34	pelvic floor exercises for the treatment of third-degree tears (EBAPT Trial). BJOG.
35	2013 Sep;120(10):1240-7
36	
37	Pindi P, Houenou J, Piguet C, Favre P. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback as a new treatment
38	for psychiatric disorders: A meta-analysis. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol
39	Psychiatry. 2022;119:110605. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2022.110605

1	Pollock A, Baer G, Pomeroy V, Langhorne P. Physiotherapy treatment approaches for the
2	recovery of postural control and lower limb function following stroke. Cochrane
3	Database Syst Rev. 2007 Jan 24;(1):CD001920
4	
5	Pollock A, Baer G, Pomeroy V, Langhorne P. Physiotherapy treatment approaches for the
6	recovery of postural control and lower limb function following stroke. Cochrane
7	Database Syst Rev. 2003;(2):CD001920
8	
9	Pollock A, Baer G, Campbell P, et al. Physical rehabilitation approaches for the recovery
10	of function and mobility following stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
11	2014;2014(4):CD001920. Published 2014 Apr 22.
12	doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001920.pub3
13	
14	Porena M, Costantini E, Rociola W, Mearini E. Biofeedback successfully cures detrusor-
15	sphincter dyssynergia in pediatric patients. J Urol. 2000 Jun;163(6):1927-31
16	
17	Porta Roda O, Díaz López MA, Vara Paniagua J, Simó González M, Díaz Bellido P,
18	Espinós Gómez JJ. Adherence to pelvic floor muscle training with or without vaginal
19	spheres in women with urinary incontinence: a secondary analysis from a randomized
20	trial. Int Urogynecol J. 2016;27(8):1185-1191. doi:10.1007/s00192-015-2941-0
21	
22	Pun MY, Leung PH, Chan TC, Pang C, Chan KH, Kannan P. The effectiveness of
23	physiotherapy interventions on fecal incontinence and quality of life following
24	colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled
25	trials. Support Care Cancer. 2024 Jan 13;32(2):103
26	
27	Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and
28	Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of
29	Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166(7):514-530. doi:10.7326/M16-2367
30	
31	Qi W, Zhou Y, Zhong M, et al. The effect of biofeedback treatment for children with non-
32	neurogenic voiding dysfunction: A systematic review and meta-analysis [published
33	online ahead of print, 2022 Feb 22]. Neurourol Urodyn. 2022;10.1002/nau.24886
34	
35	Rahmani E, Mahvelati A, Alizadeh A, et al. Is neurofeedback effective in children with
36	ADHD? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurocase. 2022;28(1):84-95.
37	doi:10.1080/13554794.2022.2027456
38	
39	Ramaratnam S, Baker GA, Goldstein LH. Psychological treatments for epilepsy. Cochrane
	Ramaratnam S, Baker GA, Goldstein LH. Psychological treatments for epilepsy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD002029. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002029.pub3

1 2 3	Rao SS, Benninga MA, Bharucha AE, Chiarioni G, Di Lorenzo C, Whitehead WE. ANMS- ESNM position paper and consensus guidelines on biofeedback therapy for anorectal disorders. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2015 May;27(5):594-609
4	
5 6	Rao SS, Bharucha AE, Chiarioni G, Felt-Bersma R, Knowles C, Malcolm A, Wald A. Functional Anorectal Disorders. Gastroenterology. 2016 Mar 25. pii: S0016-
7	5085(16)00175-X
8	
9	Rao SS, Patcharatrakul T. Diagnosis and Treatment of Dyssynergic Defecation. J
10	Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2016 Jul; 22(3): 423–435
11	
12 13	Rao SS, Seaton K, Miller M, Brown K, Nygaard I, Stumbo P, Zimmerman B, Schulze K. Randomized controlled trial of biofeedback, sham feedback, and standard therapy for
14	dyssynergic defecation. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007 Mar;5(3):331-8
15	
16	Raynaud's Treatment Study Investigators. Comparison of sustained-release nifedipine and
17	temperature biofeedback for treatment of primary Raynaud phenomenon: Results from
18	a randomized clinical trial with 1-year follow-up. Arch Intern Med. 2000 Apr
19	24;160(8):1101-8. doi:10.1001/archinte.160.8.1101
20	
21	Reiner R. Integrating a portable biofeedback device into clinical practice for patients with
22	anxiety disorders: results of a pilot study. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2008
23	Mar;33(1):55-61
24	
25	Reiter K, Andersen SB, Carlsson J. Neurofeedback Treatment and Posttraumatic Stress
26	Disorder: Effectiveness of Neurofeedback on Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and the
27	Optimal Choice of Protocol. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2016 Feb;204(2):69-77
28	
29	Remes-Troche JM, Coss-Adame E, García-Zermeño KR, Gómez-Escudero O, Amieva-
30	Balmori M, Gómez-Castaños PC, Charúa-Guindic L, Icaza-Chávez ME, López-
31	Colombo A, Morel-Cerda EC, Pérez Y López N, Rodríguez-Leal MC, Salgado-Nesme
32	N, Sánchez-Avila MT, Valdovinos-García LR, Vergara-Fernández O, Villar-Chávez
33	AS. The Mexican consensus on fecal incontinence. Rev Gastroenterol Mex (Engl Ed).
34	2023 Oct-Dec;88(4):404-428.
35	
36	Reneau M. Heart Rate Variability Biofeedback to Treat Fibromyalgia: An Integrative
37	Literature Review. Pain Manag Nurs. 2020 Jun;21(3):225-232. doi:
38	10.1016/j.pmn.2019.08.001
39	Denten T Tibbles A Tenelouse Vrenie I Marshell and fam. 6
40	Renton T, Tibbles A, Topolovec-Vranic J. Neurofeedback as a form of cognitive rehabilitation therapy following strake: A systematic raviau PLoS One 2017 May
41	rehabilitation therapy following stroke: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2017 May 16;12(5):e0177290
42	10,12(<i>J</i>).C01//270

1 2 3	Richards R, van den Noort JC, Dekker J, Harlaar J. Gait Retraining With Real-Time Biofeedback to Reduce Knee Adduction Moment: Systematic Review of Effects and Methods Used. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2017 Jan;98(1):137-150
4	
5	Sadeghi A, Akbarpour E, Majidirad F, et al. Dyssynergic Defecation: A Comprehensive
6	Review on Diagnosis and Management. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2023;34(3):182-195.
7	doi:10.5152/tjg.2023.22148
8	
9	Sam E, Cinislioglu AE, Yilmazel FK, Demirdogen SO, Yilmaz AH, Karabulut I. Is
10 11	biofeedback-assisted pelvic floor muscle training superior to pelvic floor muscle training alone in the treatment of dysfunctional voiding in women? A prospective
12	randomized study. Int Braz J Urol. 2022;48(3):501-511. Doi:10.1590/S1677-
12	5538.IBJU.2021.0687
13	5550.1 D 0.2021.0007
15	Skardoon GR, Khera AJ, Emmanuel AV, Burgell RE. Review article: dyssynergic
16	defaecation and biofeedback therapy in the pathophysiology and management of
17	functional constipation. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2017 Aug;46(4):410-423
18	
19	Shamliyan TA, Kane RL, Wyman J, Wilt TJ. Systematic review: randomized, controlled
20	trials of nonsurgical treatments for urinary incontinence in women. Ann Intern Med.
21	2008 Mar 18;148(6):459-73. Epub 2008 Feb 11
22	
23	Shannon MB, Genereux M, Brincat C, et al. Attendance at Prescribed Pelvic Floor Physical
24	Therapy in a Diverse, Urban Urogynecology Population. PM R. 2018;10(6):601-606.
25	doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2017.11.008
26	
27	Shannon MB, Adams W, Fitzgerald CM, Mueller ER, Brubaker L, Brincat C. Does Patient
28	Education Augment Pelvic Floor Physical Therapy Preparedness and Attendance? A
29	Randomized Controlled Trial. Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg. 2018;24(2):155-160.
30	doi:10.1097/SPV.000000000000516
31	
32	Shedden Mora MC1, Weber D, Neff A, Rief W. Biofeedback-based cognitive-behavioral
33	treatment compared with occlusal splint for temporomandibular disorder: a randomized
34	controlled trial. Clin J Pain
35	
36	Sokhadze TM, Cannon RL, Trudeau DL. EEG biofeedback as a treatment for substance
37	use disorders: review, rating of efficacy, and recommendations for further research.
38	Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2008 Mar;33(1):1-28
39 40	Spanger I Wolf SI Kesser TM Diefoodhaak for Dest strake Cait Detraining: A Deview of
40	Spencer J, Wolf SL, Kesar TM. Biofeedback for Post-stroke Gait Retraining: A Review of Current Evidence and Future Research Directions in the Context of Emerging
41 42	Technologies. Front Neurol. 2021;12:637199
+2	1001110105103. 1 10111 100101. 2021,12.03/17/

Page 67 of 71

1 2 3	Stanton R, Ada L, Dean CM, Preston E. Biofeedback improves activities of the lower limb after stroke: a systematic review. J Physiother. 2011;57(3):145-55
4 5	Stanton R, Ada L, Dean CM, Preston E. Biofeedback improves performance in lower limb activities more than usual therapy in people following stroke: a systematic review. J
6 7	Physiother. 2017 Jan;63(1):11-16
8	Steingrimsson S, Bilonic G, Ekelund AC, et al. Electroencephalography-based
o 9	neurofeedback as treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and
10	meta-analysis. Eur Psychiatry. 2020;63(1):e7. Published 2020 Jan 31.
11	doi:10.1192/j.eurpsy.2019.7
12	
13	Sterman MB. Biofeedback in the treatment of epilepsy. Cleve Clin J Med. 2010 Jul;77
14	Suppl 3:S60-7
15	
16	Stockdale CK, Lawson HW. 2013 Vulvodynia Guideline update. J Low Genit Tract Dis.
17	2014 Apr;18(2):93-10
18	
19	Tabbers MM, DiLorenzo C, Berger MY, Faure C, Langendam MW, Nurko S, Staiano A,
20	Vandenplas Y, Benninga MA; European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology,
21	Hepatology, and Nutrition; North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology.
22	Evaluation and treatment of functional constipation in infants and children: evidence-
23	based recommendations from ESPGHAN and NASPGHAN. J Pediatr Gastroenterol
24	Nutr. 2014 Feb;58(2):258-74. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000000266. PMID:
25	24345831
26	
27	Tate JJ, Milner CE. Real-time kinematic, temporospatial, and kinetic biofeedback during
28	gait retraining in patients: a systematic review. Phys Ther. 2010 Aug;90(8):1123-34
29	
30	Tiryaki P, Çelik D, Bilsel K, Erşen A. Effectiveness of Exercises With Electromyographic
31	Biofeedback in Conservative Treatment of Massive Rotator Cuff Tears: A Randomized
32	Controlled Study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2023;102(5):419-426
33	
34	Todhunter-Brown A, Hazelton C, Campbell P, Elders A, Hagen S, McClurg D.
35	Conservative interventions for treating urinary incontinence in women: an Overview
36	of Cochrane systematic reviews. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2022;9(9):CD012337.
37	Published 2022 Sep 2. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012337.pub2
38	
39	U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Title 21—food and drugs. Biofeedback device.
40	Retrieved on March 25, 2024 from https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-21/chapter-
41	I/subchapter-H/part-882/subpart-F/section-882.5050

Page 68 of 71

1 2	Vasudeva S, Claggett AL, Tietjen GE, McGrady AV. Biofeedback-assisted relaxatior migraine headache: relationship to cerebral blood flow velocity in the middle cerebra
3	artery. Headache. 2003;43:245-50
4 5	Vitton V, Damon H, Benezech A, Bouchard D, Brardjanian S, Brochard C, Coffin B,
6	Fathallah N, Higuero T, Jouët P, Leroi AM, Luciano L, Meurette G, Piche T, Ropert
7	A, Sabate JM, Siproudhis L; SNFCP CONSTI Study Group. Clinical practice
8 guidelines from the French National Society of Coloproctology in t	guidelines from the French National Society of Coloproctology in treating chronic
9	constipation. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018 Apr;30(4):357-363
10	Vonthain D. Haimarl T. Sahwandnar T. Ziaglar A. Electrical stimulation and hisfaadhaak
11 12	Vonthein R, Heimerl T, Schwandner T, Ziegler A. Electrical stimulation and biofeedback for the treatment of fecal incontinence: a systematic review. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2013
13	Nov;28(11):1567-77
14	
15 16	Wagner B, Steiner M, Huber DFX, Crevenna R. The effect of biofeedback interventions on pain, overall symptoms, quality of life and physiological parameters in patients with
17	pelvic pain : A systematic review. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2022;134(Suppl 1):11-48
18	
19	Wahbeh H, Elsas SM, Oken BS. Mind-body interventions: applications in neurology.
20	Neurology. 2008 Jun 10;70(24):2321-8
21	
22 23	Wang LF1, Long H, Deng M, Xu H, Fang J, Fan Y, Bai D, Han XL. Biofeedback treatment for sleep bruxism: a systematic review. Sleep Breath. 2014 May;18(2):235-42
23 24	for sleep bruxisiii. a systematic review. Sleep breath. 2014 May,10(2).255-42
24 25	Wang R, Zhang S, Zhang J, Tong Q, Ye X, Wang K, Li J. Electromyographic biofeedback
26	therapy for improving limb function after stroke: A systematic review and meta-
27	analysis. PLoS One. 2024 Jan 11;19(1):e0289572
28	We deliver the NL Dedice TM Reader RM Freeheading of the deciman we had been
29 20	Wasielewski NJ, Parker TM, Kotsko KM. Evaluation of electromyographic biofeedback for the quadriceps femoris: a systematic review. J Athl Train. 2011;46(5):543-54
30 31	for the quadriceps femoris. a systematic review. J Aun Train. 2011,40(3).543-54
32	Wattchow KA, McDonnell MN, Hillier SL. Rehabilitation Interventions for Upper Limb
33	Function in the First Four Weeks Following Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-
6	Analysis of the Evidence. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2018 Feb;99(2):367-382
35	
36	Weber W, Newmark S. Complementary and alternative medical therapies for attention-
37	deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2007 Dec;54(6):983-
38	1006; xii
39	
40	Wegh CAM, Baaleman DF, Tabbers MM, Smidt H, Benninga MA. Non-pharmacological
41	Treatment for Children With Functional Constipation: A Systematic Review and Meta-

Page 69 of 71

1 2 3	analysis [published online ahead of print, 2021 Sep 15]. J Pediatr. 2021;S0022-3476(21)00883-0
4 5	Weise C, Heinecke K, Rief W. Biofeedback-based behavioral treatment for chronic tinnitus: results of a randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2008
6 7	Dec;76(6):1046-57
8	Whitehead WE, Chaussade S, Corazziari E, Kumar D: Report of an international workshop
9	on management of constipation. Gastroenterol Int 4:99–113, 1991
10	
11 12	Woodford H, Price C. EMG biofeedback for the recovery of motor function after stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007 Apr 18;(2):CD004585
13	
14	Wu JM, Hundley AF, Fulton RG, Myers ER. Forecasting the prevalence of pelvic floor
15	disorders in U.S. Women: 2010 to 2050. Obstet Gynecol. 2009;114(6):1278-1283.
16	doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181c2ce96
17	
18	Wu X, Zheng X, Yi X, Lai P, Lan Y. Electromyographic Biofeedback for Stress Urinary
19	Incontinence or Pelvic Floor Dysfunction in Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-
20	Analysis. Adv Ther. 2021;38(8):4163-4177
21	
22	Xie YJ, Wang S, Gong QJ, et al. Effects of electromyography biofeedback for patients after
23 24	knee surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Biomech. 2021;120:110386
25	Yagci S, Kibar Y, Akay O, Kilic S, Erdemir F, Gok F, Dayanc M. The effect of biofeedback
26	treatment on voiding and urodynamic parameters in children with voiding dysfunction.
27	J Urol. 2005 Nov;174(5):1994-7; discussion 1997-8
28	
29	Yang JM, Ye H, Long Y, et al. Effect of pelvic floor muscle training on urinary
30	incontinence after radical prostatectomy: An umbrella review of meta-analysis and
31	systematic review. Clin Rehabil. 2023;37(4):494-515
32	
33	Yao L, Sadeghirad B, Li M, Li J, Wang Q, Crandon HN, Martin G, Morgan R, Florez ID,
34	Hunskaar BS, Wells J, Moradi S, Zhu Y, Ahmed MM, Gao Y, Cao L, Yang K, Tian J,
35	Li J, Zhong L, Couban RJ, Guyatt GH, Agoritsas T, Busse JW. Management of chronic
36	pain secondary to temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review and network
37	meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ. 2023 Dec 15;383:e076226
38	Vilmon OO Canaaaly O Calin E Davidan M. Calinata C Director O Alman C Diff.
39 40	Yilmaz OO, Senocak O, Sahin E, Baydar M, Gulbahar S, Bircan C, Alper S. Efficacy of EMG-biofeedback in knee osteoarthritis. Rheumatol Int. 2010 May;30(7):887-92

1	Yucha CB, Clark L, Smith M, Uris P, LaFleur B, Duval S. The effect of biofeedback in
2	hypertension. Applied Nursing Research. 2001;14(1):29-35
3	
4	Zhang Y, Hou S, Qi Z, Wu S, Zhu K, Wang W. Non-pharmacological and nonsurgical
5	interventions in male urinary incontinence: A scoping review. J Clin Nurs. 2023;32(17-
6	18):6196-6211
7	
8	Zijlstra A, Mancini M, Chiari L, Zijlstra W. Biofeedback for training balance and mobility
9	tasks in older populations: a systematic review. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2010 Dec 9;7:58