Clinical Practice Guideline: Direct Moxibustion

Date of Implementation: February 9, 2006

Product: Specialty

• Policy terminated. Content has been incorporated into CPG 48 Revision 17 – S.

GUIDELINES

American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers direct moxibustion not medically necessary due to risk of direct harm.

The potential for direct harm from burns and the availability of the safer alternative of indirect moxibustion has led ASH clinical committees to only allow the use of the indirect form of moxibustion by contracted practitioners. When indirect moxibustion is used, there is no direct contact between the patient's skin and the moxa (e.g., warming needle, moxa box, or placing the moxa on ginger, garlic, aconite, or another appropriate physical barrier). Creams, oils, and other non-solid substances are not considered acceptable barriers for adequate patient safety. For more information, see the *Indirect Moxibustion (CPG 48 - S)* policy and *Techniques and Procedures Not Widely Supported as Evidence Based (CPG 133 - S)* policy.

DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

Direct moxibustion is also known as "festering moxibustion, open moxibustion, or scarring moxibustion." Traditionally, this technique involves placing a small cone-shaped amount of an herb called *moxa* (dried Mugwort [Artemesia vulgaris]) on acupuncture points on the body. The moxa is then lit and permitted to burn down to the skin.

 When lit, moxa burns slowly and provides a penetrating heat which in Traditional East Asian Medicine is believed to enter the meridians (energetic pathways throughout the body) to enhance the circulation of blood and qi (vital energy). The purpose is to warm, stimulate, and strengthen the blood and qi of the body to promote healing or normal functioning of the body.

EVIDENCE AND RESEARCH

Yamashita et al. (1999) reviewed all relevant cases of adverse events of acupuncture (including moxibustion) reported by therapists at the Tsukuba College of Technology Clinic in Japan over a six-year period. A total of 84 therapists participated in this study which included a total of 65,482 treatments. Among the 94 adverse events, seven cases of burn injury and one case of numbness in the extremities were reported. An adverse event was defined as an unfavorable medical event that occurred during or after the treatment

Page 1 of 3

regardless of causal relationships. No serious or severe cases were reported by the participants. The results indicate that serious or severe adverse events are rare in standard practice. The reviewers suggest that most severe or serious cases of adverse events caused by acupuncture reported in journals are actually cases of negligence.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

A literature review by Dharmananda (2004) was inconclusive as to whether moxibustion is more effective than acupuncture or other stimulus methods administered for the same condition. In the absence of more detailed studies, moxa is applied primarily on the basis of the traditional acupuncture point therapeutic indications, such as treating syndromes associated with cold, retention of food, and local stagnation of fluids with formation of masses. Moxa may be utilized in some cases of heat syndromes.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

One systematic review evaluating the effectiveness of direct and indirect moxibustion was found. The study found two (2) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving direct moxibustion that met all inclusion criteria. These suggested positive effects for *indirect or* direct moxibustion on pain in scleroma or herpes zoster compared with drug therapy. Due to only a few studies, most with a high risk of bias, the authors concluded that more rigorous studies are needed to determine the effectiveness of moxibustion (Lee, Choi, Kang, Lee, & Ernst, 2010). Another review by Lee et al. (2010) assessed the effectiveness of moxibustion for supportive cancer care. The authors concluded again that the evidence is limited to suggest moxibustion is an effective supportive cancer care in nausea and vomiting. All studies had a high risk of bias so there was not enough evidence to draw any conclusions. No controlled trials (randomized or non-randomized) evaluating the effectiveness of *direct* moxibustion were found. Yuan et al. (2015) reviewed the use of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) for neck pain (NP) and low back pain (LBP). As part of a larger review, the authors concluded that the efficacy of moxibustion is unknown because no direct evidence was obtained. A pilot study completed in 2014 by Thorne et al. using direct moxibustion to treat a form of fatigue could not be considered as evidence due to lack of robust study design.

293031

32 33

References

Cardini, F., & Weixin, H. Moxibustion for correction of breech presentation: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1998;280(18):1580-1584.

343536

37

Deng, H., & Shen, X. (2013). The mechanism of moxibustion: Ancient theory and modem research. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2013, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/379291

38 39 40

41 42 Dharmananda, S. (2004). Moxibustion: Practical considerations for modern use of an ancient technique. Retrieved July 6, 2020 from http://www.itmonline.org/arts/moxibustion.htm

Page 2 of 3

| 1 | Guo, Z., & Cheng, M. Treatment of tennis elbow by acupuncture and moxibustion. Journal |
|----------|---|
| 2 | of Traditional Chinese Medicine. 1998;18(4):304-307. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Kim, S., Chae, Y., Lee, S., Lee, H., & Park, H. (2011). The effectiveness of moxibustion: |
| 5 | An overview during 10 years. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative |
| 6 | Medicine, 2011, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecam/nep163 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Lee, M. S., Choi, T. Y., Kang, J. W., Lee, B. J., & Ernst, E. Moxibustion for treating pain: |
| 9 | a systematic review. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. Am J Chin Med. |
| 10 11 | 2010;38(5):829-838. |
| | Lea MC Chai TV Dark IE Lea CC Ernet E Mayibuction for concer corresponding |
| 12 13 | Lee MS, Choi TY, Park JE, Lee SS, Ernst E. Moxibustion for cancer care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2010 Apr 7;10:130. |
| 14 | Teview and meta-analysis. Bivic Cancer. 2010 Apr 7,10.130. |
| 15 | Moon, S. K., Whang, Y. K., Park, S. U., Ko, C. N., Kim, Y. S., Bae, H. S., et al. Antispastic |
| 16 | effect of electroacupuncture and moxibustion in stroke patients. American Journal of |
| 17 | Chinese Medicine. 2003;31(3):467-474. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Thorne TL, Hanes DA, Wild H, Colbert A. Direct moxibustion to treat spleen qi and yang |
| 20 | deficiency fatigue: a pilot study. J Acupunct Meridian Stud. 2014;7(2):76-82. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Weiner, D. K., & Ernst, E. Complementary and alternative approaches to the treatment of |
| 23 | persistent musculoskeletal pain. Clinical Journal of Pain. 2004;20(4): 244-255. |
| 24 | X 1' H T 1 H T X 0 X' 1'' K A 1 |
| 25 | Yamashita, H., Tsukayama, H., Tanno, Y., & Nishijo, K. Adverse events in acupuncture |
| 26 | and moxibustion treatment: A six-year survey at a national clinic in Japan. Journal of |
| 27 28 | Alternative and Complementary Medicine. 1999;5(3):229-236. |
| 29 | Yuan QL, Guo TM, Liu L, Sun F, Zhang YG. Traditional Chinese medicine for neck pain |
| 30 | and low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10(2): |

e0117146.

31