Clinical Practice Guideline: **Thermography**

Date of Implementation: **February 9, 2006**

Product: **Specialty**

GUIDELINES

The use of all forms of thermography is considered unproven and not medically necessary.

DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

Thermography is a diagnostic procedure that measures surface temperature in various parts of the body. Thermography is used in various fields of medicine to help identify temperature abnormalities. There are four major types of thermography: liquid crystal; magnetic resonance (MR); thermocouple; and infrared thermography.

Liquid crystal thermography involves the use of thermochromic liquid crystals that change their reflected color as a function of temperature. The simplest medical use of these is the forehead thermometer that is laid on the forehead to detect fever. They have also been used in the past to study skin diseases and lesions. This is an older technology which is no longer frequently used in medicine. Currently the most common use of liquid crystal thermography is in the electronics and technical arena.

Magnetic resonance thermography uses the same technology as other magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) but incorporates the variable of temperature into the evaluation. The benefit of MR thermography is that it is very sensitive and can detect very small temperature changes, which are necessary for some uses.

Thermocouples are temperature sensors that measure the change in voltage generated when any conductor is subjected to a thermal gradient (Seebeck effect). This voltage change is then transformed into a temperature reading. These devices are most commonly used in electronics and industrial contexts. In 1924, a chiropractor developed the neurocalometer – a handheld device with two thermocouples placed along the spine to measure temperature differences. The inventor theorized this device could detect subluxations through temperature differentials. The current version of the neurocalometer is the Nervoscope, a tool currently used by some chiropractors. Proponents believe viewing a patient's skin thermal patterns provides valuable information on the normal and abnormal functioning of the underlying neurophysiology.

Infrared thermography uses equipment sensitive only to infrared frequencies which convert the infrared radiation emitted from the skin surface into electrical impulses that may be visualized. The majority of these devices are large pieces of equipment, which need to be

used in a special climate-controlled room under controlled conditions. This type may be used for certain conditions including complex regional pain syndromes, carpal tunnel syndrome, disc herniation, and radiculopathy. There are also handheld infrared tools for which there is no reliable evidence of accuracy.

EVIDENCE REVIEW

The literature review focused on the use of thermography for musculoskeletal and related disorders. As such MRI thermography, which is used primarily for non-neuromusculoskeletal (NMS) conditions, was not considered in this evaluation. No randomized clinical trials for thermography in the peer review literature were found. Most studies of thermography have been performed comparing it with other diagnostic tests, the most common being clinical exam, computed tomography (CT), electromyography (EMG) and myelography. The bulk of the evidence regarding thermography is from methodological studies which provide little insight into the actual performance or utility of the instruments under investigation.

Gulevich et al. (1997) found that infrared thermography was useful in the diagnosis of complex regional pain syndrome with a predictive validity of 90%. Herrick and Herrick (1987) and Ming et al. (2005) found that infrared thermography might be useful in diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome and associated neuropathies. Ping and You (1993) and Zhang et al. (1999) found that infrared thermography may have utility as a diagnostic tool for patients with lumbar and cervical disc herniations respectively. Takahashi et al. (1994) concluded that thermography may be clinically useful in diagnosing radiculopathy based on the finding that the thermograms agreed with the findings of the clinical exam; however, they do not mention what type of thermography was utilized.

Leclaire et al. (1996) found that thermography was not a useful diagnostic tool for low back pain but do not mention the type of thermography used; in addition, their paper had significant methodological flaws. So et al. (1989) found that thermographic findings were of little diagnostic value in the evaluation of lumbosacral radiculopathy, but they do not mention what type of thermography was used.

Plaugher (1992) performed a systematic review of the literature on thermography for neuromusculoskeletal abnormalities of the spine, evaluating many different types of thermography. Plaugher concluded that full scan (non-handheld) infrared thermography was a sensitive diagnostic procedure for detecting spinal abnormalities such as disc protrusion. The review found that the evidence for liquid crystal thermography is weak and inconclusive and as such should not be recommended. For thermocouple and other handheld devices, the review found that there was no evidence supporting the use of these tools.

A literature review and meta-analysis by Hoffman et al. (1991) to determine the role of thermography for diagnosing lumbar radiculopathy produced no clear-cut results. The role of thermography remains unclear. Rigorous clinical research is required to establish its diagnostic accuracy and clinical utility. Thermography cannot be recommended currently for routine clinical use in evaluating low-back pain.

DiBenedetto et al. (2002) reported that thermograms of injured feet show areas of increased heat, but excessive weight-bearing pressure on feet, new shoes, or boots also cause increased infrared emission even without discomfort. Differentiation remains difficult; thermography may detect early injury; however, it does not reveal exact diagnoses.

To evaluate the perceived status of thermography in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal disorders, Awerbuch (1991) used the following data sources: medical and legal journals published from 1956 onward; report of the United States Office of Health Technology Assessment and personal communication with the author of that report. The selection of studies was confined to application of thermography to musculoskeletal and neurological medicine. Awerbuch concluded that little evidence exists of any application of thermography in which it is unequivocally superior to conventional diagnostic imaging methods.

Meeker and Gahlinger (1986) provide a review and summary of research and a comparison with myelography, computerized tomography, electromyography, and clinical and surgical findings in cases of presumed musculoskeletal pain syndromes. The importance of diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, and accuracy (validity) are discussed. In general, the literature reports high sensitivity and negative predictive value, but lower specificity and positive predictive value. They examine the implications of these findings in regard to clinical case management, with emphasis on potential usefulness to chiropractors. There remain a number of questions about the scientific validity of this research.

A systematic review by Triano et al. (2013) found that there was good evidence for the reliability of thermography in identifying lower limb sciatica. However, the review concluded that there was no good evidence to support the use of thermography to measure paraspinal temperatures for the purpose of localizing the site of care. Sanchis- Sánchez et al. (2014) completed a systematic review and meta-analysis on infrared thermal imaging in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal injuries. The authors concluded there is a lack of support for the usefulness of infrared thermal imaging in musculoskeletal injury diagnosis. Dibai-Filho and Guirro (2015) did a critical review of the literature on the evaluation of myofascial trigger points using infrared thermography. The authors concluded that currently, there are few studies evaluating the accuracy and reliability of infrared thermography for the diagnosis and assessment of myofascial trigger points.

Schiavon et al. (2021) aimed at assessing infrared thermography potential and limitations in these pathologies in a systematic review. Of 718 screened articles, 32 were found to be eligible for inclusion, for a total of 2,094 patients. Nine studies reported the application to osteoarthritis, 21 to rheumatic diseases, 2 on both. The publication trend showed an increasing interest in the last decade. Seven studies investigated the correlation of temperature changes with osteoarthritis, 16 with rheumatic diseases, and 2 with both, whereas 2 focused on the pre-post evaluation to investigate treatment results in patients with osteoarthritis and 5 in patients with rheumatic diseases. A correlation was shown between thermal findings and disease presence and stage, as well as the clinical assessment of disease activity and response to treatment, supporting infrared thermography role in the study and management of rheumatic diseases and osteoarthritis. Authors conclude that this systematic literature review showed an increasing interest in this technology, with several applications in different joints affected by inflammatory and degenerative pathologies. Infrared thermography proved to be a simple, accurate, noninvasive, and radiation-free method, which could be used in addition to the currently available tools for screening, diagnosis, monitoring of disease progression, and response to medical treatment.

Albuquerque et al. (2021) evaluated the role of infrared thermography as a helpful outcome measure tool in subjects with back and neck syndromes in a systematic review. From these, 268 duplicates were removed, and only 16 were in line with the aim of this review. Ultimately, only seven precisely fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria and were included in the review. According to the articles reviewed, thermography seems to give an objective notion of change in inflammatory activity, which can corroborate the usefulness of treatment or the improvement/worsening of the patient's symptoms. The overall quality of research was uneven in the study design, endpoint measures, and sample characteristics. Authors concluded that the number of high-quality studies of the role of infrared thermography in patients with back and neck syndromes remains limited. More than a diagnostic tool, thermography can be an objective tool for monitoring the effectiveness of treatment by identifying deviations from a healthy state.

Park et al. (2021) investigated the thermographic findings of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS). They enrolled 304 hands with electrodiagnostically identified CTS and 88 control hands. CTS hands were assigned to duration groups (D1, < 3 months; D2, 3–6 months; D3, 6–12 months; D4, ≥ 12 months) and severity groups (S1, very mild; S2, mild; S3, moderate; S4, severe). The temperature difference between the median and ulnar nerve territories (ΔM-U territories) decreased as CTS duration and severity increased. Significant differences in ΔM-U territories between the D1 and D3, D1 and D4, D2 and D4, and S1 and S4 groups were observed. Thermal anisometry increased as CTS duration and severity increased. Significant differences in thermal anisometry between the D1 and D4 as well as the D2 and D4 groups were noted. Thermal anisometry was higher in the S4 group than in the S1, S2, and S3 groups. As CTS progresses, skin temperature tends to decrease and

thermal variation tends to increase in the median nerve-innervated area. Thermographic findings reflect the physiological changes of the entrapped median nerve.

Dias de Lacerda et al. (2022) systematically reviewed the literature on diagnosis of tendinopathy using infrared thermography (IT). Seven studies were included in the meta-analyses, which showed that the IT has an overall sensitivity of 72% and specificity of 95%. The IT showed adequate accuracy to detect tendon injuries, with high specificity in the evaluation of lateral epicondylitis and shoulder tendinopathy.

References

Albuquerque NF, Lopes BS. Musculoskeletal applications of infrared thermography on back and neck syndromes: a systematic review. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2021;57(3):386-396

Awerbuch MS. Thermography--its current diagnostic status in musculoskeletal medicine. Med J Aust. 1991;154(7):441-444. doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.1991.tb121171.x

BenEliyahu, D. J. Protocols and standards for thermography imaging—Part I. Dynamic Chiropractic. 1992;10(22). Retrieved March 26, 2024, from http://www.dynamicchiropractic.com/mpacms/dc/article.php?id=43558

BenEliyahu, D. J. Thermography in clinical practice: The rebuttal. Dynamic Chiropractic. 1993;11(14). Retrieved March 26, 2024, from http://www.dynamicchiropractic.com/mpacms/dc/article.php?id=42385

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Thermography (220.11). Retrieved on March 26, 2024 from https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=164&ncdver=1&bc=AAAAgAAAAAAA&

Dias de Lacerda AP, Rodrigues de Andrade P, Kamonseki DH, et al. Accuracy of infrared thermography in detecting tendinopathy: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Phys Ther Sport. 2022;58:117-125. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.10.005

Dibai-Filho AV, Guirro RRJ. Evaluation of myofascial trigger points using infrared thermography: a critical review of the literature. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2015;38(1):86-92. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2014.10.010

DiBenedetto M, Yoshida M, Sharp M, Jones B. Foot evaluation by infrared imaging. Mil Med. 2002;167(5):384-392

Edeiken J, Shaber G. Thermography: a reevaluation. Skeletal Radiol. 1986;15(7):545-548. doi:10.1007/BF00361052

Fitzgerald A, Berentson-Shaw J. Thermography as a screening and diagnostic tool: a systematic review. N Z Med J. 2012;125(1351):80-91. Published 2012 Mar 9

Gulevich SJ, Conwell TD, Lane J, et al. Stress infrared telethermography is useful in the diagnosis of complex regional pain syndrome, type I (formerly reflex sympathetic dystrophy). Clin J Pain. 1997;13(1):50-59. doi:10.1097/00002508-199703000-00008

Han, S. S., Jung, C. H., Lee, S. C., Jung, H. J., & Kim, Y. H. Does skin temperature difference as measured by infrared thermography within 6 months of acute herpes zoster infection correlate with pain level? Skin research and technology: official journal of International Society for Bioengineering and the Skin (ISBS) [and] International Society for Digital Imaging of Skin (ISDIS) [and] International Society for Skin Imaging (ISSI). 2010; 16(2), 198–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0846.2009.00417.x

Herrick, R. T. and S. K. Herrick. Thermography in the detection of carpal tunnel syndrome and other compressive neuropathies. Journal of Hand Surgery [Am]. 1987; 12(5 Pt 2): 943-9.

Hoffman RM, Kent DL, Deyo RA. Diagnostic accuracy and clinical utility of thermography for lumbar radiculopathy. A meta-analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1991;16(6):623-628. doi:10.1097/00007632-199106000-00005

International Academy of Clinical Thermology. Breast. Retrieved on April 2, 2024 from http://www.iact-org.org

Jones B, Hassan I, Tsuyuki RT, Dos Santos MF, Russell AS, Yacyshyn E. Hot joints: myth or reality? A thermographic joint assessment of inflammatory arthritis patients. Clin Rheumatol. 2018;37(9):2567-2571. doi:10.1007/s10067-018-4108-0

Knowitz, K. Thermography: A new perspective on an old test. Dynamic Chiropractic. 2000;18(13). Retrieved March 26, 2024 from http://www.dynamicchiropractic.com/mpacms/dc/article.php?id=31740

Leclaire R, Esdaile JM, Jéquier JC, Hanley JA, Rossignol M, Bourdouxhe M. Diagnostic accuracy of technologies used in low back pain assessment. Thermography, triaxial dynamometry, spinoscopy, and clinical examination. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1996;21(11):1325-1331. doi:10.1097/00007632-199606010-00009

Meeker WC, Gahlinger PM. Neuromusculoskeletal thermography: a valuable diagnostic tool?. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 1986;9(4):257-266

Ming Z, Zaproudina N, Siivola J, Nousiainen U, Pietikainen S. Sympathetic pathology evidenced by hand thermal anomalies in carpal tunnel syndrome. Pathophysiology. 2005;12(2):137-141. doi:10.1016/j.pathophys.2005.05.002

Omranipour R, Kazemian A, Alipour S, et al. Comparison of the Accuracy of Thermography and Mammography in the Detection of Breast Cancer. Breast Care (Basel). 2016;11(4):260-264. doi:10.1159/000448347

Park D, Kim BH, Lee SE, et al. Application of digital infrared thermography for carpal tunnel syndrome evaluation. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):21963. Published 2021 Nov 9

Ping Z, You FT. Correlation study on infrared thermography and nerve root signs in lumbar intervertebral disk herniation patient: a short report [published correction appears in J Manipulative Physiol Ther 1993 Oct;16(8):560]. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 1993;16(3):150-154

Plaugher G. Skin temperature assessment for neuromusculoskeletal abnormalities of the spinal column. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 1992;15(6):365-381

Robinson V, Brosseau L, Casimiro L, et al. Thermotherapy for treating rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;(1):CD002826. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002826

Sanchis-Sánchez E, Vergara-Hernández C, Cibrián RM, Salvador R, Sanchis E, Codoñer-Franch P. Infrared thermal imaging in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2014;203(4):875-882. doi:10.2214/AJR.13.11716

Schiavon G, Capone G, Frize M, Zaffagnini S, Candrian C, Filardo G. Infrared Thermography for the Evaluation of Inflammatory and Degenerative Joint Diseases: A Systematic Review. Cartilage. 2021;13(2_suppl):1790S-1801S

Story, R. J. (1994, January 14). Chiropractic thermography: Objectifying subluxation. Dynamic Chiropractic, 12(2). Retrieved March 26, 2024, from http://www.dynamicchiropractic.com/mpacms/dc/article.php?id=41044

So YT, Aminoff MJ, Olney RK. The role of thermography in the evaluation of lumbosacral radiculopathy. Neurology. 1989;39(9):1154-1158. doi:10.1212/wnl.39.9.1154

Takahashi Y, Takahashi K, Moriya H. Thermal deficit in lumbar radiculopathy. Correlations with pain and neurologic signs and its value for assessing symptomatic severity. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1994;19(21):2443-2450

Triano JJ, Budgell B, Bagnulo A, et al. Review of methods used by chiropractors to determine the site for applying manipulation. Chiropr Man Therap. 2013;21(1):36. Published 2013 Oct 21. doi:10.1186/2045-709X-21-36

Vreugdenburg TD, Willis CD, Mundy L, Hiller JE. A systematic review of elastography, electrical impedance scanning, and digital infrared thermography for breast cancer screening and diagnosis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013;137(3):665-676. doi:10.1007/s10549-012-2393-x

Woźniak K, Szyszka-Sommerfeld L, Trybek G, Piątkowska D. Assessment of the Sensitivity, Specificity, and Accuracy of Thermography in Identifying Patients with TMD. Med Sci Monit. 2015;21:1485-1493. Published 2015 May 23. doi:10.12659/MSM.893863

Zhang HY, Kim YS, Cho YE. Thermatomal changes in cervical disc herniations. Yonsei Med J. 1999;40(5):401-412. doi:10.3349/ymj.1999.40.5.401