

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline:** **Organ/Visceral Manipulation**

2
3 **Date of Implementation:** **February 9, 2006**

4
5 **Product:** **Specialty**

6
7
8 **POLICY**

9 American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) clinical committees have determined that
10 organ/visceral manipulation is not established as clinically effective, is not professionally
11 recognized, poses a health and safety risk through substitution harm and labeling effects,
12 and is considered to be unsafe. For more information, see ASH policy Techniques and
13 Procedures Not Widely Supported as Evidence Based - CPG 133 – S.

14
15 **PROCESS AND DEFINITIONS**

16 When developing, reviewing, and approving clinical policy, ASH peer-review
17 committees consider whether the technique/procedure:

- 18 • Is established as clinically effective by:
 - 19 ○ Scientific information published in an acceptable peer-reviewed clinical
 - 20 science resource, and
 - 21 ○ The consensus opinion of the Evidence Evaluation Committee (EEC)
 - 22 when available;
- 23 • Is professionally recognized by:
 - 24 ○ Inclusion in the educational standards accepted by the majority of the
 - 25 professions' educational institutions,
 - 26 ○ Wide acceptance and use of the practice, and
 - 27 ○ Recommendations for use made by healthcare practitioners practicing in
 - 28 the relevant clinical area;
- 29 • Poses a health and safety risk; and
- 30 • Is plausible or implausible
 - 31 ○ A belief, theory, or mechanism of health and disease that can be
 - 32 explained within the existing framework of scientific methods, reasoning,
 - 33 and available knowledge is considered plausible.
 - 34 ○ A treatment intervention or diagnostic procedure that requires the
 - 35 existence of forces, mechanisms, or biological processes that are not
 - 36 known to exist within the current framework of scientific methods,
 - 37 reasoning, and available knowledge is considered implausible.

38
39 **Substitution harm (indirect harm):** Compromised clinical outcomes caused by:

- 40 • Utilizing a specific diagnostic or therapeutic procedure when the safety,
- 41 clinical effectiveness, or diagnostic utility is either unknown or is known to
- 42 be unsafe, ineffective, or of no diagnostic utility, *instead of* a diagnostic or

1 therapeutic procedure known to be safe, be clinically effective, or to have
2 diagnostic utility; or

- 3 • The utilization of a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure that is substantially
4 less effective or safe than another procedure with established safety, and
5 clinical effectiveness or utility.

6
7 **Labeling effects (non-specific harm):** The harm that results from identifying in a
8 patient a condition or a finding that is not clinically valid.

9
10 **Safe:** The terms “safe” and “safety,” are used only with specific reference to the
11 absence of direct harm. Direct harm would include any injury to a patient caused
12 by the mechanical, thermal, biological, chemical, pharmacological, electrical,
13 electromagnetic, or psycho-dynamic properties of a diagnostic or therapeutic
14 procedure, and as such, the procedure would be considered unsafe.

15
16 **Direct harm:** Any injury to a patient caused by the mechanical, thermal, biological,
17 chemical, pharmacological, electrical, electromagnetic, or psycho-dynamic
18 properties of a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure.

19
20 **Benefit versus risk profile:** The relative effectiveness or utility of a therapeutic
21 intervention or diagnostic procedure versus its potential for direct harm.

- 22 • Positive (benefits outweigh risks),
- 23 • Negative (risks outweigh benefits), or
- 24 • Equivocal (available information is inconclusive).

25 26 **Description/Background**

27 Visceral manipulation (VM) uses very specific soft manual forces to encourage normal
28 mobility, tone, and motion of the viscera (internal organs) and their connective tissues.
29 Typical organs treated with VM include the liver, stomach, gall bladder, pancreas, and
30 intestines. Practitioners theorize VM can alter and improve the entire body by enhancing
31 the function of the organs and organ systems through manipulation of their structure and
32 motion.

33
34 According to VM proponents, at optimum health, there is a synchronistic, interconnected
35 motion when all the body’s organs and connective tissue are freely functioning and open.
36 Restriction can occur due to surgery and scar tissue formation, stress, and trauma.
37 Hypertonicity, displacement, and adhesions can all cause organs to work against each
38 other as well as against the body’s muscular, membranous, fascial, and osseous
39 structures. Proponents of VM believe these disturbances can create chronic irritation and
40 abnormal points of tension that can lead to dysfunction and disease. By encouraging the

1 normal, healthy mobility of the viscera and their connective tissues, practitioners believe
2 their specific manual techniques of visceral manipulation can help the body regain or
3 maintain its natural health.

4
5 **Evidence and Research**

6 Based on the review conducted, ASH is unaware of any valid, published, peer reviewed
7 studies sufficiently supporting the diagnostic utility of this specific procedure or any
8 evidence on the clinical effectiveness of interventions using this technique.

9
10 **References**

11 Barral, J. P. (1989). *Visceral manipulation II*. Vista, CA: Eastland Press.

12
13 Hammer, W. (1994, September 1). Visceral manipulation. *Dynamic Chiropractic*, 12(18).
14 Retrieved August 19, 2004, from <http://www.chiroweb.com/archives/12/18/29.html>